VPC, LLC

# PRELIMINARY PLAN REVIEW PROPOSED SOLAR DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

PLAT 275, LOTS 38 AND 52, KNIGHT STREET, WARWICK RI 02886

JANUARY 03, 2024





January 03, 2024

City of Warwick Planning Board c/o Kevin Flynn, Vice Chair 3275 Post Road Warwick, RI 02886

#### Subject: Preliminary Plan Review Proposed Solar Development Project Plat 275, Lots 38 and 52, Knight Street, Warwick RI 02886

Dear Members of the Planning Board:

On behalf of VCP, LLC (Applicant), WSP USA Environment and Infrastructure Inc. (WSP) is pleased to submit this Preliminary Plan Application to the City of Warwick Planning Board for a proposed 998.4± kilowatt (KW) Direct Current (DC) solar development project (the Project) to be located on Knight Street in Warwick, Rhode Island (the Site).

The Project received approval on the application for Conditional Master Plan Approval of a Major Land Development with the following conditions of approval in a letter dated May 11, 2023. Language and numbering have been maintained per the initial letter for consistency, followed by WSP's comments in **bold italics**.

#### **Conditions of Approval**

 That all Plans shall comply with "Rules and Regulations for Professional Land Surveying in the State of Rhode Island", effective date November 25, 2015.

## The Site Plans comply with "Rules and Regulations for Professional Land Surveying in the State of Rhode Island."

 That the Applicant shall submit a Preliminary Land Development Plan that complies with Appendix C of the City's <u>Development Review</u> <u>Regulations Governing Subdivisions, Land Development Projects, and</u> <u>Development Plan Review</u>, last amendment dated March 14, 2001.

#### The Site Plans comply with the City's <u>Development Review</u> <u>Regulations Coverning Subdivisions, Land Development Projects, and</u> <u>Development Plan Review</u>.

3. That a Storm Water Management Plan shall be submitted in accordance with the Rhode Island Storm Water Design and Installation Standards

WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. 100 Apollo Drive, Suite 302 Chelmsford, MA 01824

Manual, dated December 2010, that is designed to demonstrate zero-net rate of runoff from the proposed development.

#### A Stormwater Management Plan is included as Attachment C.

4. That an Operation and Maintenance Plan for stormwater treatment be included with the Preliminary submission to the Planning Department.

#### An Operation and Maintenance Plan is included as Attachment E.

5. That, the Applicant shall submit an independent, pre-development sound study to establish a baseline of general background noise in perimeter areas adjacent to the property. The sound study shall be averaged over several weeks. A post startup sound study shall be executed to ensure no increase in noise occurs from the facility. Noise mitigation must be employed if there is an increased decibel level of 3dB.

#### A pre-development noise study is included as Attachment H.

6. That the Applicant shall provide a decommissioning plan and cost estimate with the Preliminary and Final Plan application, with surety funds to be provided to the City prior to the issuance of a building permit, to ensure adequate removal at the end of the useful life or abandonment.

## A Decommissioning Plan and Cost Estimate is included as Attachment F.

7. That all RIDEM, RIDOT, and other related state permits must be obtained prior to the Preliminary phase submission.

#### All relevant state permits are included as Attachment G.

8. That an adequate number of fire hydrants be provided throughout the development spaced 300 Ft. apart and providing a minimum of 1000 GPM, or as determined by the Fire Marshall. In addition, the Fire Department shall review all plans for compliance with all life safety codes and issues.

WSP will submit a copy of the Site Plans to the Fire Marshall concurrently to this Planning Board submittal. There is an existing Fire Hydrant across Knight Street from the parcel.

9. All corners shall be negotiable by vehicles having an outer tire turning radius of 50 Feet, Left or Right.

All corners have been designed to be negotiable by vehicles having an outer tire turning radius of 50 feet.

10. A Clear Area of ten feet (10') around ground-mounted photovoltaic installations shall be provided.

#### A Clear Area of ten feet will be provided.

 A noncombustible base acceptable to the Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) shall be installed and maintained under and around the installation.

## A noncombustible base acceptable to the Authority shall be installed and maintained.

12. As part of Preliminary Plan application, an updated narrative shall be submitted addressing project conformance with each required performance measures contained within Section 509 of the Warwick Zoning Ordinance.

#### A narrative addressing project conformance with the performance measures contained in Section 509 of the Warwick Zoning Ordinance is included below.

- 13. That the Preliminary phase submission include a landscape plan prepared by a registered landscape architect, approved by the City's Landscape Coordinator, that meets all requirements under Section 505 of the City's Zoning Ordinance, and includes the following.
  - a. Access gate shall be 7' Ht. black ornamental style with 2 'x2' brick pillars in place of steel gate posts. The remainder of exterior fencing shall be 7' Ht. black, coated, chain link and steel posts.
  - b. All proposed evergreen planting buffers shall be arranged in a tight IO' staggered layout not in a straight line.
  - c. All proposed evergreen plantings shall be 5'minimum at installation.
  - d. Western property line planting buffer shall extend south to the end of the proposed fencing.
  - e. A berm shall be installed along the Knight Street property line ending at the Knight St. and Bleachery Court intersection. The

berm shall be 5' in height from the top of the highest point of proposed grade on site. On top of the berm, a tight 10' staggered evergreen buffer shall be installed.

- f. The existing vegetation along Knight St. from the intersection of Knight St. and Bleachery Court to the eastern property line shall remain. If this vegetation is to be removed/cleared then the applicant must extend the berm and evergreen planting buffer to the end of the furthest property line on Knight Street.
- g. Pollinator mix is required, shall be supported by a maintenance plan with annual reports by the applicant's landscape architect until the pollinator mix approved by RIDEM is established. Disturbed topsoil shall remain onsite unless removal is required by remediation permits.
- h. The Landscape Plan shall include all existing trees (at the time of application), requiring removal that are of 20 inches in diameter or larger with a plan for I to I replacement.

Updated Landscape Plans and Details, including Planting Maintenance Specifications prepared by a registered landscape architect are included as Sheets L-1 and L-2 in the Site Plans, Attachment B. Proposed Gate to be Black Ornamental Style with Black Ornamental Posts as approved by the Historic District Commission - See Detail. The remainder of site security fencing to be black, color-coated chain link fence, see Engineer's Plans and Details.

14. That the Preliminary Plan submittal shall include a signage plan, to include all sign locations, sizes, and style. Signage and gate shall be subject to approval by the Historic District Commission. Signage shall include clearly marked procedures for shutting down the solar system. A sign shall be posted at the entry displaying the name of the owner and the operator of the system with a twenty-four (24) hour emergency contact number.

Signage information is included in the Site Plans per electrical codes and standards, Attachment B. The Applicant acknowledges the signage is subject to approval.

<u>Code of Ordinances City of Warwick Rhode Island Section 509. –</u> <u>Administrative procedures for solar energy systems on contaminated sites.</u> This Application, Site Plans, and supporting documentation were prepared in accordance with Section 509 of the Warwick Zoning Ordinance. Information required in Section 509.2 *Performance standards* is included in the attached Site Plans, supporting documentation and narrative below, followed by WSP's comments in **bold italics**.

509.2 *Performance standards*. These standards shall be required in addition to the major land development review procedures set forth by RIGL 45-23 and the city's subdivision and land development regulations. The standards set forth herein will ensure that solar energy systems are compatible with the surrounding area, provide for public safety, and minimize impacts on wildlife; scenic, natural and historic resources, and abutting properties.

## The Applicant acknowledges the purpose of these standards and regulations.

(A) The applicant is required to provide verification from a RI licensed landscape architect at the preliminary stage of review that the landscape buffer is adequate to thoroughly screen the solar energy facility year round. In addition, the required vegetated buffer/screening shall be maintained for the life of the solar energy facility. The property owner and/or facility owner shall be required to replant any section of the buffer/screening found not to meet the requirements of this section as determined by the zoning enforcement officer with consultation from the city planner.

## A landscape plan prepared by a registered landscape architect is included as Sheets L-1 and L-2 in the Site Plans, Attachment B.

(B) All solar energy systems shall, at minimum, employ the zoning setback requirements in Table 2 A & B, entitled Dimensional Regulations. The planning board shall reserve the right to increase setbacks to minimize visibility of the system as a result of information learned through public hearings.

## The Project complies with the zoning setback. The Site Plans are included in Attachment B.

(C) The maximum height of a ground-mounted solar energy system shall be ten feet.

The Project complies with the height requirements. The array panels will extend to a height of approximately 10 feet.

(D) To prevent glare on adjacent properties and mitigate public safety potential, only matte finish, and non-reflective panels shall be utilized.

# The panels are equipped with anti reflective coating, First Solar modules.

(E) The applicant shall submit an independent, pre-development noise study for which a baseline shall be established indicating general background noise in perimeter areas adjacent to neighbors averaged over several weeks. A post startup noise study shall be executed to ensure no increase in noise occurs from the facility. Noise mitigation must be employed for solar energy systems responsible for an increased decibel level of 3dB.

#### A pre-development noise study is included as Attachment H.

(F) Accessibility for emergency service vehicles is required along with clearly-marked procedures for shutting down the solar energy system.

#### The proposed access road will be accessible for emergency service vehicles. The proposed signage is included in the Site Plans, Attachment B.

(G) A public safety preparedness and response plan detailing the standards, procedures, and communication protocol to be utilized for the system and in the event of an emergency shall be provided to the city's emergency management agency director, as well as documentation indicating that the plan has been distributed to the fire department.

#### A public safety preparedness and response plan will be submitted to the city and the fire department prior to construction.

(H) Contaminated sites shall be remediated and properly capped in accordance with state or federal remediation standards as part of the development.

An engineered (geomembrane) cap will be placed over areas of the Site that contain soil exceeding the GB leachability criteria for soils. The location of the cap is included in the Site Plans, Attachment B. The Remedial Decision Letter is included in Attachment G.

 Unless required by ELUR, no substantial clearing or grading of the proposed project site shall have occurred five years prior to submission of the application for an SES based on a review of aerial photography provided by the applicant.

#### No substantial clearing or grading has occurred five years prior, the site has been undeveloped except for a small shed utilized for a landscaping company.

- (J) Clearcutting outside of the immediate array area is prohibited unless required by remediation permit. A reforestation plan prepared by a certified forester (CF) or registered landscape architect shall be required to minimize view shed nuisance from the perspective of abutters.
  - A combination of natural vegetation, berms, fencing, walls, and other similar features shall be used to visually buffer the system(s) from the view of abutting properties, as well as mitigate noise, glare, or other potential nuisances.
  - (2) No chemicals, solvents, herbicides, or insecticides, excluding water, will be used in the operation and maintenance of the site landscaping requirements, (such as pollinator cover and buffer plantings).
  - (3) Buffer plantings shall be maintained for the life of the project by the owner, applicant, and or operator of the facility.
  - (4) A one to one tree replacement effort shall occur within the city for all trees requiring removal that are of 20 inches in diameter or larger. All newly-planted trees shall be a minimum of three-inch caliper at breast height.
  - (5) Soil erosion and sediment control systems shall be maintained at all times in accordance with RIDEM wetlands permit(s), and local regulations.
  - (6) Clearing, cutting, girdling, and any other form of disturbance to an old growth tree or old growth forest is prohibited.

A landscape plan prepared by a registered landscape architect is included as Sheet L-1 and L-2 in the Site Plans, Attachment B. A

#### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan is included in Attachment D.

(K) Neither blasting nor removal of ledge by mechanical means is allowed.

#### No removal of ledge is required for the Project.

(L) Pollinator mix is required, shall be supported by a maintenance plan, and contain annual reports supplied by the applicant's landscape architect until the pollinator mix approved by RIDEM is established. Disturbed topsoil shall remain onsite unless removal is required by remediation permit(s).

#### The Seeding and Revegetation Plan is included in the Site Plans, Attachment B.

- (M) Utility connections shall be underground, equipment screened from view with plantings or fencing, and approved by the utility company as part of the final plan application.
  - interconnection agreement shall be compliant with code of ordinance section 74-52, renewable energy system tax exemption, and submitted with the final plan application.
  - (2) A comprehensive development pro forma including, but not limited to, land cost (lease or purchase, equipment cost, construction, decommission cost etc.) shall be submitted with final plan application.

## The interconnection agreement and comprehensive development pro forma will be submitted with the final plan application.

(N) Perimeter fencing shall be raised a minimum of eight inches for wildlife passage and be comprised of black coated chain link fence.

## The chain link fence will have an 8-inch critter gap. Fence details are included in the Site Plans, Attachment B.

(O) A sign shall be posted at the entry of the SES displaying the name of the owner and operator of the system and a 24-hour emergency contact number.

#### Signage information is included in the Site Plans, Attachment B.

(P) SES systems shall provide for motion detect lighting in maintenance areas and dark sky compliant lighting elsewhere.

#### There is no proposed lighting for the Project.

- (Q) Applicant shall provide a decommissioning plan and cost estimate with the preliminary application, and surety funds provided with the final plan application to ensure adequate removal at the end of useful life or abandonment.
  - (1) Funds deposited shall be equal in amount to removal of the system, as verified by the city's peer review engineer, inclusive of two percent annual inflation over life of the system with funds deposited into an interest bearing escrow account under city control.
  - (2) The calculation of the decommissioning reserve shall be predicated upon the assumption that 100 percent of the retired solar panels will be recycled by an accredited solar panel waste recycler, without any credit on the financial guarantee amount for anticipated salvage value or reuse of and project components. City peer review engineers shall afford the city the right to evaluate the inflation rate every five years.
  - (3) A separate surety of an amount equal to the cost of repairing 100 percent of the pollinator mix, as established by the city's peer review engineer during preliminary application review, shall be submitted with the final plan application.
  - (4) Within one week after permanent shutdown, the owner, applicant, and or operator shall notify the building official and remove the system within six months of said notification. The city shall utilize escrow funds to remove all or remaining system components beyond six months, with owner, applicant, and or operator liable for all expenses beyond escrow, should escrow be exceeded. City shall retain the right to fine the owner in accordance with local ordinances.

## A Decommissioning Plan and Cost Estimate is included as Attachment F.

(R) Maintenance. The contaminated site solar energy system shall be maintained by the solar energy owner and/or operator and shall be cleared of debris, weeds, trash, etc. Maintenance shall include, but not be limited to, painting, structural repairs, maintenance of

the landscape buffers, care and replanting if necessary, of any vegetative screening, cleaning clearing and repairing of stormwater and drainage infrastructure, and integrity of security measures.

#### An Operation and Maintenance Plan is included as Attachment E.

(S) Enforcement. The building/zoning official and city engineering consultant a have the power to inspect any solar energy system at any time to ensure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. Any entity who fails or refuses to adhere to all of the provisions of this ordinance or any other conditions imposed by the city, State of Rhode Island or federal government, shall be deemed to be in violation and liable to the City of Warwick for penalties not to exceed \$500.00 per day for each violation. Each day of existence of a violation shall be deemed a separate offense.

#### The Applicant understands the enforcement of this ordinance.

(T) Inspection. The city's engineer or designee shall inspect any contaminated site solar energy system at the expense of the applicant on a weekly basis during construction, and during the month of April each year after completion of construction. Said inspection will include a review of any and all reports as required by the State of Rhode Island, the City of Warwick and the federal government. The applicant and any successor shall reimburse the city for any cost incurred as specified in the stormwater facility maintenance agreement.

#### The Applicant acknowledges the inspection requirements.

Should you have any questions regarding this application, please do not hesitate to contact me at (978) 483-6771 or andrew.vardakis@wsp.com.

Sincerely,

WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc.

anda P. Valles

Andrew P. Vardakis, P.E. Vice President, Civil Engineer

Mykel D. Mendes

Mykel Mendes Senior Consultant, Environmental Engineer

Attachments:

Attachment A: Preliminary Plan Checklist Attachment B: Site Plans Attachment C: Stormwater Management Plan Attachment D: Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Attachment E: Operation and Maintenance Plan Attachment F: Decommissioning Plan and Cost Estimate Attachment G: State Permits Attachment H: Pre-Development Noise Study

cc: Brad Parsons, PE, PMP- VPC, LLC

# ATTACHMENT

# A PRELIMINARY PLAN CHECKLIST

مت ہے

#### APPENDIX C CITY OF WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND INSTRUCTIONS AND CHECKLIST FOR MAJOR SUBDIVISIONS AND MAJOR LAND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

# These Instructions and Checklist apply to Major Subdivisions, as defined in Section 2.3.3, and Major Land Development Projects.

There are four (4) stages of review - Preapplication, Master Plan, Preliminary Plan and Final Plan. See Article 5 for purposes, meetings and other requirements. The Checklist below is intended to guide the Applicant through each stage by noting submission requirements.

### 1. Preapplication

### Application Form for Submission of Major Subdivision and Major Land Development Plans and submit to the Administrative Officer with the following:

One (1) copy of a proposed subdivision or land development plan, which shall at least be a copy of the appropriate sheet(s) of the City of Warwick Assessors Plat. The Applicant need only depict an illustrative site plan, sufficient for general discussion and concept review. Required submissions are noted with a • in the Checklist under Column 1.

### 2. Master Plan

### Application Form for Submission of Major Subdivision Plan and Major Land Development (new copy not necessary, if Preapplication stage complete) and submit to the Administrative Officer with the following:

Five  $\cancel{5}$  copies of a narrative report (8 1/2 x 11 sheets, stapled or bound) providing a general description of the existing physical environment and existing use(s) of the property along with a general description of the uses and type of development proposed by the Applicant. Required submissions are noted with a • in the Checklist under Column 2. In addition, the report shall include items noted below:

- A. An aerial photograph or a copy of an existing aerial photograph of the proposed subdivision or land development parcel and surrounding area may be required by the Administrative Officer.
- B. A copy of the soils map of the subdivision or land development parcel(s) and surrounding area, and a general analysis of soil types and suitability for the development proposed.
- C. Site Plan suitable for public presentation.

- D. An estimate of the approximate number of people, including school-aged children to be housed in the proposed or land development.
- E. Proposed phasing, if any.
- F. A vicinity map (which may be drawn or copied from the City of Warwick Assessors Plats or other such map at an appropriate scale) to show the area within one-half mile of the or land development parcel(s) depicting the locations of all streets, existing lot lines, and zoning district boundaries. Schools, parks, fire stations and other significant public facilities shall be indicated by shading and labelling the specific use.
- G. Initial written comments on the Master Plan from the following agencies:

City of Warwick: Building Official, Public Works, City Engineer, Sewer, Water and other department or commission as may be required by the Administrative Officer.

Adjacent communities: Only where the proposed subdivision or land development is within 2,000 feet of the City's borders.

State agencies, if applicable: Departments of Environmental Management, Transportation, Coastal Resources, and other\_\_\_\_\_\_ (specify).

Federal agencies, if applicable: U.S. Army Corps Engineers and Federal Emergency Management Agency if deemed appropriate by the Administrative Officer.

- H. The Administrative Officer shall determine whether or not the plans are complete prior to submitting them for Planning Board review. Incomplete plans shall be returned to the Applicant.
- I. Plans shall include a certification that all plans and improvements conform to all existing and amended standards of the State of Rhode Island, Board of Registration of Land Surveyors.
- J. Archaeological Assessment When a proposed major subdivision is located within an area marked as archaeologically sensitive on the City's Archaeological Sensitivity Map, an archaeological assessment shall be required, if in the opinion of the Board, there is a likelihood that cultural resources or undetected human remains will be adversely impacted by construction activities associated with the proposed development.

To assist in reaching its decision to require an archaeological assessment, the Board may request an advisory pursuant to RIGL 42-45 and 45-22 from the Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission (RIHP&HC)

Appendix C

ĩ

concerning the documented or potential archaeological importance of the area and whether archaeological studies are warranted.

When required, the archaeological assessment and any additional studies shall be conducted by a professional archaeologist according to standards outlined in the RIHP&HC's <u>Standards</u> for Archaeological Survey. (Note: The RIHP&HC maintains a list of archaeologists working in Rhode Island who meet the required professional qualification standards.)

When required by the Board, the applicant shall perform such measures necessary to identify, evaluate, protect or properly remove significant archaeologic sites within the project area. The applicant shall submit a report to the Board prepared by a professional archaeologist that includes an assessment of the project's impact, recommendations regarding the need for additional archaeological studies, and recommended alternatives to avoid or mitigate adverse impacts from the project.

The Board may request an advisory from the RIHP&HC concerning the adequacy of the archaeological study, the need for additional archaeological studies, the impacts of the project to significant archaeological sites, and the adequacy of any recommended mitigation strategies.

Where the Board determines that the proposed subdivision will adversely impact a significant archaeological site, the Board) shall not approve the subdivision unless the plan is revised or modified to protect significant resources and mitigate adverse impacts.

#### 3. Preliminary Plan

### Application Form for Submission of Major Subdivision Plan and Major Land Development (new copy not necessary, if Preapplication and Master Plan stages complete) and submit to the Administrative Officer with the following:

Required submissions are noted with a - in the Checklist under Column 3. In addition, the Preliminary Plan shall include items noted below:

- A. One (1) copy of the preliminary site plans drawn to a scale of one inch equals two hundred feet (1 " = 200') with a radius of two hundred feet (200') or four hundred feet (400') (see section 8.5.3.a) drawn thereon.
- B. Six (6) copies of the preliminary site plans drawn to a scale of one inch equals forty feet (1 " = 40').
- C. Size of sheets shall be 16" X 22".
- D. Multiple sheets shall include Key Map and shall be numbered sequentially (e.g., sheet 1 of 3, 2 of 3, etc.).

- E. The Administrative Officer shall determine whether or not the plans are complete prior to submitting them for Planning Board review. Incomplete plans shall be returned to the Applicant. The Administrative Officer shall distribute complete copies of plans to appropriate agencies named in 2G above.
- F. Plans shall include a certification, with signature and seal, that all plans and improvements conform to all existing and amended standards of the State of Rhode Island, Board of Registration of Land Surveyors as follows:

This survey and plan conform to a Class 1 (or 2) standard as adopted by the Rhode Island Board of Registration for Professional Land Surveyors.

I hereby certify that this survey was actually made on the ground as per record description and is correct. There are no encroachments either way across property lines except as shown.

By: Registered Professional Land Surveyor (SEAL) / Date

Plans shall also include, prominently displayed with the certification, the following statement:

Lots depicted on this plat (or plan) shall not be altered dimensionally or in form, including the enlargement of lots or moving of any lot line for any purpose whatsoever, without first filing a new subdivision application in accordance with the City of Warwick Development Review Regulations of December 31, 1995. (as an example)

In addition, any plans requiring a landscape plan in accordance with these Regulations shall have such plans drawn by a registered landscape architect and shall be so noted on the plans.

### 4. Final Plan

Application Form for Submission of Major Subdivision Plan and Major Land Development (new copy not necessary, note any changes from Preliminary Plan stage) and submit to the Administrative Officer with the following:

Required submissions are noted with a • in the Checklist under Column 4. In addition, the Final Plan shall include items noted below:

A. Plans to be Recorded - One (1) mylar, plus two (2) linen, plus five (5) blue print copies of the Final Plan, which shall be an approved version of the Preliminary Plan, showing all required elements thereon, drawn on mylar to a scale of one

Appendix C

6

inch equals forty feet (1" = 40') on sheets measuring 16" X 22".

- B. Construction Drawings -2ne(1) original mylar (16" X 22"), plus five (5) blue print copies of construction plans drawn to a scale of no less than one inch equals forty feet (1" = 40').
- C. Any changes or requirements voted upon by the Planning Board at the Preliminary Plan stage.

	1	2	3	4	Required, if shown with a •
1	•	•	•	•	Application Form with name and address of Applicant and/or property owner
2		•	•	•	Date of plan preparation, with revision date(s) (if any).
З		•	•	•	Graphic scale and true north arrow.
4	•	•	•	•	Plat and lot number(s) of the parcel being subdivided.
5	•	•	•	•	Zoning district(s) of the parcel being subdivided. If more than one district, zoning boundary lines must be shown.
6		0	•	•	Deed Book and Page References must be shown.
7			6		Perimeter boundary lines of the subdivision or land development, drawn so as to distinguish them from other property lines.
8			•	•	Perimeter boundary lines - Curves shall include radius, arc length, central angle, tangent and chord length.
9		•			Location and dimensions of existing property lines within or adjacent to the subdivision or land development parcel.
10			. 0	•	Existing property lines shall show interior angles and distances.
11		•	•	•	Location and dimensions of existing easements and rights-of- way, including, buildings, water courses, railroads, utilities, and other similar features.
12		•	•	•	Location, width and names of existing streets within and adjacent to the subdivision or land development parcel.
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11	1         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12	121 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 2 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 3 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 4 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 5 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 6 $\circ$ $\circ$ 7 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 8 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 9 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 10 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 12 $\cdot$ $\cdot$	1       2       3         1       •       •       •         2       •       •       •         3       •       •       •         4       •       •       •         5       •       •       •         6       •       •       •         7       •       •       •         8       •       •       •         10       •       •       •         12       •       •       •	12341 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 2 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 3 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 4 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 5 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 6 $\circ$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 7 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 8 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 9 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 10 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$ 12 $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $\cdot$

### **CHECKLIST OF REQUIRED INFORMATION**

Appendix C

	ſ	4				
			2	3	4	Required, if shown with a •
<b>~</b>	13					The names and addresses of abutting property owners, within a two hundred (200) foot radius or four hundred (400) foot (see Section 8.5.3.a) of the subject lot(s) to be subdivided or developed (taken from the most recent records of the City Assessor) and names and, addresses of agencies or communities requiring notification as required by these Regulations.
	14		0	•		Required Public Hearing: Certified mail receipts. Return receipts (green post-cards) to be addressed to the Planning Board, c/o the Administrative Officer. See Section 8.5.3.
$\checkmark$	15		•	•	•	Location of wooded areas, if any, and notation of existing groundcover.
$\checkmark$	16	6				Location of wetlands, watercourses or coastal features, if present on or within two hundred (200) feet of the property being subdivided to be generally identified on a plat map.
$\checkmark$	17		8			Location of wetlands, watercourses or coastal features, if present on or within 200 feet of the property being subdivided to be identified and flagged by a biologist.
~	18			ð		Written confirmation from the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM) pursuant to its Rules and Regulations Governing the Enforcement of the Freshwater Wetlands Act, and any subsequent amendments thereto, that plans of the proposed subdivision or land development, including any required off-site construction, have been reviewed and indicating that the Wetlands Act either does not apply to the proposed site alteration or that approval has been granted for the proposed site alteration.
<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	19			•		Location and dimension of all existing and proposed utilities within and immediately adjacent to the subdivision or land development, including sewer, water, gas, electric, telephone, cable TV, fire alarm, hydrants, existing utility poles, (including location and type of proposed poles and fixtures), stormwater drainage or other existing above or underground utilities.
N/A	20			•	6	If wells and/or ISDS are proposed, indicate stage of RIDEM approval: Preliminary suitability determination or receipt of final approval.

City of Warwick

ſ		1	2	3	4	Required, if shown with a •
<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	21		•			Location and approximate size of existing buildings or significant above-ground structures on or immediately adjacent to the subdivision or land development.
✓	22			•		Provisions for collecting and discharging stormwater.
N/A	23		•			Location of properties within the local historic zoning district and National Register District. Historic cemeteries and stone walls on or immediately adjacent to the subdivision or land development (if any).
N/A	24		6	•	•	Proposed improvements including streets, lots, lot lines, with lot areas and dimensions. Proposed lot lines shall be drawn so as to distinguish them from existing property lines.
X-FEMA Map	25			•	•	Base flood elevation data.
$\checkmark$	26			•		Soil erosion and sediment control plan.
N/A	27		•			Conceptual Landscape Plan.
~	28			2		Landscaping plan to show all significant proposed clearing of land, removal of existing vegetation, revegetation and/or landscaping on street rights-of-way and upon individual lots if part of proposed subdivision or land development improvements, signed and stamped by a registered landscape architect.
$\checkmark$	<ul> <li>architect.</li> <li>Grading plan to show existing and proposed contours at foot intervals for all grading proposed for on and off-site construction, sewer and water installations, drainage fac and upon individual lots if part of proposed subdivision of development improvements.</li> </ul>		Grading plan to show existing and proposed contours at two- foot intervals for all grading proposed for on and off-site street construction, sewer and water installations, drainage facilities and upon individual lots if part of proposed subdivision or land development improvements.			
N/A	30			•		Proposed street plan, profiles and cross-sections drawn at a scale of not less than $1^{"} = 40^{"}$ .
N/A	31			•	•	Monuments - See Appendix D, Section D.5.a
N/A	32	1		•	•	Proposed street names.

.

City of Warwick

ſ		1	2	3	4	Required, if shown with a •				
<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	33			•		Two (2) copies of a drainage plan and calculations showing the measures to be taken to control erosion and sedimentation during and following the development of the subdivision and the measures planned to provide for the control of stormwater runoff.				
	34			•	•	Location, dimension and area of any land proposed to be dedicated to the City of Warwick or payment in lieu of such dedication.				
N/A	35			P		Written approval of the proposed subdivision or land development, including any required off-site construction, from the Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Council in the form of an Assent as provided in the Rhode Island Coastal Resources Management Program, (if necessary).				
N/A	36			0	•	A Physical Alteration Permit issued by the RI Department or Transportation for any connection to or construction work within a State highway or other right-of-way (if necessary).				
	37					Copies of all legal documents describing the property, proposed easements and rights-of-way, dedications, restrictions, or other required legal documents.				
$\checkmark$	38			•		Written comments on the Preliminary Plan - Referral Form and attachments.				
N/A	39	_		•	•	Documents of incorporation of any homeowners' association for any cluster development.				
	40				•	Compliance with any additional improvements or conditions as required by the Planning Board in the Preliminary Plan stage.				
$\checkmark$	41			•	•	Certification by a Registered Land Surveyor that a perimeter survey of the land being subdivided has been performed and conforms to the survey requirements of these Regulations.				
	42				•	Deed or instrument transferring to the City all public streets and/or other public improvements.				

:

Ī

-

-

City of Warwick

Development Review Regulations

~

	1	2	3	4	Required, if shown with a •					
43				•	Deed transferring land proposed for dedication to the City or other qualified group or agency for open space purposes (if applicable). These might be private non-profit or homeowner associations for cluster developments.					
44					Payment of Required Fees					
a.	•				Preapplication Fee					
b.			•		Filing Fee: See Section 7.4					
с.		•			Application Filing Fee - See Section 7.4.1					
d.				•	Engineering Inspection Fees - See Section 7.4.2					
e.				•	Recording Fee					
45				0	Either of the following:					
					a. A letter stating it is the intent of the applicant to complete the required improvements; or,					
					<ul> <li>A letter requesting that security be set by the Board sufficient to cover the cost of required improvements:</li> </ul>					
46				•	Performance bond or other financial guarantees (Initial amount and date set by Planning Board)					
47				0	Maintenance bond for acceptance of public improvements, if applicable.					
48		•			If applicable, letter from the Rhode Island Historical Preservation & Heritage Commission for archaeological assessment.					

N/A

\*\* 1 .

÷

# ATTACHMENT

# **B** SITE PLANS

# **KNIGHT STREET SOLAR** 998.40 KW DC GROUND-MOUNT SOLAR PV DEVELOPMENT **240 KNIGHT STREET** WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND LAST ISSUED ON JANUARY 03, 2024 **ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION**



LOCUS MAP 1" = 2000'



SHEET NUMBER
1
2
3
4
5
6
-
-
-

**AERIAL IMAGE** NOT TO SCALE

### DRAWING INDEX

DRAWING TITLE	DRAWING NUMBER
COVER SHEET	
EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN	V-101
POST-TENANT EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN	V-102
PROPOSED SITE PLAN	C-101
GRADING PLAN	C-102
CONSTRUCTION, EROSION, AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DETAILS AND NOTES	C-501
CONSTRUCTION, EROSION, AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL DETAILS	C-502
LANDSCAPE PLAN	L-1
LANDSCAPE NOTES AND DETAILS	L-2
BOUNDARY & TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY, PLAT 275 LOTS 38 & 52, KNIGHT STREET, WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND	N/A

PROPERTY OWNER

### SUNSHINE PROPERTIES, LLC 181 KNIGHT ST.

WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND 02886

DEVELOPED BY

VCP, LLC



150 TRUMBULL ST. HARTFORD, CONNECTICUT 06103

PREPARED BY







GENERAL NOTES:

1. RECORD OWNER OF THE PROPERTY: SUNSHINE PROPERTIES, LLC AS SHOWN ON THE CITY OF WARWICK PLAT 275, PARCELS 38 & 52. FOR FURTHER REFERENCES SEE DEED BOOK 9496, PAGE 178.

2. HORIZONTAL DATUM IS BASED ON RHODE ISLAND STATE GRID COORDINATE SYSTEM NAD83 (2011) AND THE VERTICAL DATUM IS REFERENCED TO NAVD 29.

3. WETLANDS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN WERE DELINEATED BY OTHERS. WETLAND FLAGS WERE LOCATED ON 2/4/2021 BY NATURAL RESOURCE SERVICES, INC., OF HARRISVILLE, RHODE ISLAND.

4. FLOOD ZONE INFORMATION FROM FIRM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP CITY OF WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND, KENT COUNTY, PANEL 2 OF 9, COMMUNITY - PANEL NUMBER 445409 0002 D, MAP REVISED APRIL 16, 1991.

5. FLOOD ZONE AREA WAS REVISED FOR LOCUS PARCEL PER: FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY, LETTER OF MAP REVISION FLOODWAY DETERMINATION DOCUMENT (REMOVAL), DATED MARCH 20, 2007, CASE NO. 07-01-0070A (100-YEAR FLOODPLAIN AT ELEVATION 29).

6. WSP USA ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. HAS NOT INDEPENDENTLY VERIFIED THE LOCATION, EXISTENCE, AND SERVICEABILITY FOR ANY UTILITIES IN THIS VICINITY. WSP ALSO MAKES NO GUARANTEE TO THE COMPLETENESS - MISSING - ACCURACY -DAMAGES INCURRED OF ANY UTILITIES. ACTUAL LOCATIONS MUST BE FIELD DETERMINED PRIOR TO EXCAVATION OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. CALL "DIG SAFE" AT 811.

7. EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN FROM BOUNDARY & TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY, PREPARED FOR KNIGHT STREET GROUP, LLC, PLAT 275 LOTS 38 & 52, KNIGHT STREET, WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND, JOB NO. 208064, DATED MARCH 27, 2009, BY CHERENZIA & ASSOCIATES, LTD., OF PAWTUCKET, CONNECTICUT.

8. LANDSCAPE MATERIAL STOCKPILES WILL BE REMOVED WHEN CURRENT TENANT VACATES THE SITE.

### EXISTING LEGEND — — — -20- — — MAJOR CONTOUR MINOR CONTOUR \_\_\_\_\_ ------ SITE PROPERTY LINE ----- PARCEL LINE ---------- OFF-SITE PROPERTY LINE ZONING SETBACK FENCE LINE SANITARY SEWER UTILITY GAS UTILITY WATER UTILITY STORM DRAIN UTILITY WETLAND LINE WITH FLAG AND ID 50' WETLAND BUFFER DIRT DRIVEWAY TREE LINE SCRUB LINE UTILITY POLE MONITORING WELL SHRUBS ລັລ • 00 ROCKS AND BOULDERS AP 274 PARCEL ID LOT 204 ZONE AE FEMA 100-YR FLOOD LINE WITH ZONE ID (LOCATED AT 28' ELEVATION) ZONE X APPROXIMATE LIMIT OF WASTE ZONE: LI WARWICK ZONING BOUNDARY WITH ID ZONE: OS



V-101

1 OF 6

SHEET NUMBER:

**ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION** 

24" x 36" SHEET

Route



![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

					_	_		_	_	
		$\Big\rangle$								
				WSP	USA	ENVI	RONN	ИENT	AND	
	RE BROK			IN 100 A CH	FRAS POLL IELM	STRU LO DF SFOF	CTUR RIVE, RD, M	RE, INO SUITE A 0182	C. E 302 24	
	AP 275 LOT 43				9	78-69 WSP	2-909 .COM	0		
100 100	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	and a	APV	APV	APV	APV	GA	GA	GA	PROVED
to an	the first of the manual in the manual is the interview of the second of	1								APF
C. C	S 2		RM	DG	DG	DG	CR	R	R	SUED
	to the second se		Σ	<u>د</u>	۵.	۵.	0			<u>ISS</u>
	1.83.7 1.24 1.2 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24 1.24									
		```	EW			NOI				
			LAN REVI	TS	S	<b>NSTRUCT</b>	JTS	UTS		N
			Y/FINAL P	COMMEN	COMMENT	FOR CO	COMMEN	COMMEN	AMENTS	SCRIPTIC
			LIMINARY	RIDEM	ER CITY C	NG / NOT	O RIDEM	O RIDEM	SED CON	ISION DE
6			CITY PRE	ISED PE	VISED PE	ERMITTI	PONSE T	PONSE T	ADDRES	UE / REV
		/	ED FOR (	REV	RE	ED FOR F	RES	RES		ISS
SB SB SB SE		^	ISSI			NSSI				
B LOD LOD	$S_{B} = S_{B} = S_{B$									
and a for the second of the se	- Contraction of the second se	<u> </u>	3/2024	/2023	/2023	/2023	/2021	/2021	/2021	ATE
	Star of Star o		01/03	6/07	2/09	1/19	9/10	8/20	6/28	
A8	To see		7	9	5	4	S	7	-	REVISION
	<u>EXISTING LEGEND</u> — — -20- — MAJOR CONTOUR		DUNT	NT	1	ND			Z	
			ND-MC	DPME	REFT	ISLA				
			ROUN	SVELO	IT STF	HODE		НU		
	ZO         ZO         ZONING SETBACK		DC G	⊃V DE		CK, RI			200	
	SANITARY SEWER UTILITY		0 KW	LAR I	240 K	NIC			С Г С	
	WATER UTILITY		998.4	SO		٨A			Ĺ	
	A17 WETLAND LINE WITH FLAG AND ID		JECT:				نن			
<i>م</i> ر	50' WETLAND BUFFER DIRT DRIVEWAY		PROU				דודנו			
	TREE LINE					03		>	-	ċ
					LS	061		(	5	)
	SHRUBS ROCKS AND BOULDERS			LLC	BUL	CT	1	(		
	AP 274 LOT 204 PARCEL ID			, СР	MUF	ORD		C	Y	
	ZONE AE FEMA 100-YR FLOOD LINE WITH ZONE ID (LOCATED AT 28' ELEVATION)			>	O TF	3TF(		L	L	
NOTES	APPROXIMATE LIMIT OF WASTE		.IENT:		10 1	HAF		1		•
1. LOCAT 2. ELECT SHOW	TIONS OF ALL PROPOSED FEATURES ARE CONCEPTUAL. RICAL DESIGN, INCLUDING UTILITY POLES, PERFORMED BY OTHERS. ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT AND COMPONEN N TO ILLUSTRATE LOCATIONS ONLY.	тs	ට SEAL:							
A17 3. ALL PL ISLANE	ANS AND IMPROVEMENTS CONFORM TO ALL EXISTING AND AMENDED STANDARDS OF THE STATE OF RHODE D, BOARD OF REGISTRATION OF LAND SURVEYORS AND ENGINEERS.			ANI	DREI	W P	VA NE IS		KIS	
م محمور 4. THE PF AND TI ANTICI	ROPOSED PROJECT SITE IS BEING CONCURRENTLY PERMITTED THROUGH RIDEM OFFICE OF WATER RESOURCE HE RIDEM OFFICE OF LAND REVITALIZATION AND SUSTAINABLE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT (LRSMM). A SOIL CAP IPATED ON THE PROJECT SITE, HOWEVER, FINAL LIMITS OF THE CAP ARE NOT YET DETERMINED. SOIL CAPPING	S IS		No.	<u>م</u>			~~ 12/	219	
5. EXISTI	E AS APPROVED BY LRSMM WITHIN THE LIMITS OF DISTURBANCE NOTED ON THE PLAN.			DP ^-	RI	EGIS	TERI	ED		
ACCOF DIREC 6. EXISTI	NG MONITORING WELLS () = 7 TOTAL) TO BE ADJUSTED TO GRADE AS NECESSARY.			PROF	ress 0	10NA (CI) 1/0:	AL E VIL) 3/20	NGIN 24	EER	
7. EXISTI 8. עדו וודנו	NG LANDSCAPE PILE, MATERIALS, AND EQUIPMENT TO BE REMOVED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. Y POLES TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO FINAL CAPPING.		DESI MDM	GNEI I	D BY:		DRA MRN	WN E	3Y:	
9. NO PR CLEAN	OPOSED GRADE CHANGE IN FEMA ZONE AE. IF CAPPING IS NECESSARY, IMPACTED SOIL WILL BE RELOCATED A I SOIL WILL BE USED AS FILL TO MAINTAIN EXISTING GRADES.	ND	APV PRO	URED	, by: NUM	IBER:	SCA AS S	ALE: SHOW	/N	
ES, LLC 178) 10. 103,170 275,81	0 SF: AREA OF SOLAR FIELD FOOTPRINT INCLUDING ACCESS ROAD 0' 15' 30' 45' 7 SF: AREA WITHIN LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE.		DRA	WING	NUM	IBER	3	65220	0299	-
	1" = 30' WHEN PLOTTED ON 24" x 36" SHEET		SHE		IMRE		10	1		

**ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION** 

3 OF 6

PROPOSED LEGEND AP 274 LOT 258  $\mathcal{O}$ UTILITY POLE SOLAR ARRAY EQUIPMENT PAD AP 274 LOT 281 GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE 4 C-501 ----- FENCE LINE  $\begin{pmatrix} 8 \\ C-502 \end{pmatrix}$ -0--UNDERGROUND CONDUIT — EC — EC 10 OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE ----- TC ----- LIMIT OF TREE CLEARING — TC · - LOD - LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE – LOD –  $\left(\begin{array}{c} 3\\ \hline C-501 \end{array}\right)$ HISTORIC ZONING AREA — — — — — — — — — — LIMITS OF BERM MAJOR CONTOUR MINOR CONTOUR 6 5' BUILDING . SETBACK -6 

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

UNLESS OTHERWISE AGREED IN A WRITTEN CONTRACT BETWEEN WSP USA ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. AND ITS CLIENT: (I) THIS DOCUMENT MAY ONLY BE USED BY THE CLIENT IN THE CONTEXT AND FOR THE EXPRESS PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT HAS BEEN DELIVERED. ANY OTHER USE OR RELIANCE ON THIS DOCUMENT BY ANY THIRD PARTY IS AT THAT PARTY'S SOLE RISK AND RESPONSIBILITY.

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

UPON COMPLETION OF SITE CONSTRUCTION, ALL AREAS PREVIOUSLY DISTURBED SHALL BE TREATED AS STATED BELOW. THESE AREAS WILL BE CLOSELY MONITORED BY THE CONTRACTOR UNTIL SUCH TIME AS A SATISFACTORY GROWTH OF VEGETATION IS

D		WSP IN 100 / Cl	9 USA IFRAS APOLI HELM 9	ENVI STRU LO DF SFOF 78-69 WSP	RONN CTUR {IVE, : {D, M/ 2-909 COM	MENT E, INC SUITE A 0182 0	AND C. E 302 24	
	APV	APV	APV	APV	GA	GA	GA	APPROVED
	MRM	PDG	PDG	PDG	CR	CR	CR	ISSUED
	ISSUED FOR CITY PRELIMINARY/FINAL PLAN REVIEW	REVISED PER RIDEM COMMENTS	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	ADDRESSED COMMENTS	ISSUE / REVISION DESCRIPTION
	01/03/2024	6/07/2023	2/09/2023	1/19/2023	9/10/2021	8/20/2021	6/28/2021	DATE
	7	Q	5	4	3	7	1	REVISION
	PROJECT: 998.40 KW DC GROUND-MOUNT	SOLAR PV DEVELOPMENT	240 KNIGHT STREET	WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND	TITLE: CONSTRUCTION EROSION			DE LAILS AND NOLES
	CLIENT:	VCP, LLC	150 TRUMBULL ST.	HARTFORD. CT 06103				
)	DES			W P. B EGIS EGIS 1/0:	VA		KIS 219 EER	
- )	MDN CHE APV PRC		D BY:	1BER:	MRN SCA AS S	A LE: SHOW	/N	
N	DRA SHE		G NUN	ивек <b>С-</b> гк: <b>5</b>	50 50	1 	.02.99	

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

### NOTES:

- 1. CONTACT NUMBER TO BE FINALIZED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- 2. SIGN TO BE MADE OF WOOD AND MOUNTED TO THE GATE.

ACCESS SIGN DETAIL 7 NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

NOTE:

1. ALL SECURITY FENCING TO BE BLACK, COLOR-COATED CHAINLINK FENCE.

THE FENCE BALLAST DESIGN DOES NOT IMPLY COMPLIANCE WITH THE

– 10'-0" O.C. (MAX) –

FENCE ELEVATION NOT TO SCALE

SEE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT'S PLAN FOR FENCE GATE DETAIL

FENCE GATE DETAIL NOT TO SCALE

								_
		WSP IN 100 A CH	USA IFRAS APOLI HELM 9	ENVII STRUC LO DF SFOR 78-69	RONN CTUR RIVE, S RD, MA 2-909	MENT E, INC SUITE A 0182	AND 2. 302 24	
	APV	APV	APV	APV VAA	COW GA	GA	GA	APPROVED
	MRM	PDG	PDG	PDG	CR	CR	CR	ISSUED
	ISSUED FOR CITY PRELIMINARY/FINAL PLAN REVIEW	REVISED PER RIDEM COMMENTS	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	ADDRESSED COMMENTS	ISSUE / REVISION DESCRIPTION
	7 01/03/2024	6 6/07/2023	5 2/09/2023	4 1/19/2023	3 9/10/2021	2 8/20/2021	1 6/28/2021	REVISION DATE
PLIANCE WITH THE IS.	PROJECT: 998.40 KW DC GROUND-MOUNT	SOLAR PV DEVELOPMENT	240 KNIGHT STREET	WARWICK, RHODE ISLAND	TITLE: CONSTRUCTION EROSION			
10'-0" O.C. (MAX)	CLIENT:	VCP, LLC	150 TRUMBULL ST.	HARTFORD, CT 06103	VA			
ACK, COLOR-COATED CHAINLINK	DES MDM CHE APV		RI RI DD BY:	EGIS' IONA (CIV	TIERE TIERE DRA MRN SCASS	122 D NGIN 24 M LE: SHOW	EER Y:	
	PRO DRA SHE			IBER: MBER: <b>C-</b> R:	30 50	65220 <b>2</b>	0299	
ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	l			6	OF	<b>-</b> F	5	

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

KEY	Scientific Name Common Name	QTY	Size Comment	Spacing
CA'RS'	Clethra alnifolia 'Ruby Spice' Ruby Spice Sweet Pepperbush	6	#7 (7 Gal.) Container	As Shown
I'NS'	Ilex 'Nellie Stevens' Nellie Stevens Holly	8	6'-7' B&B	As Shown
JV'H'	Juniperus virginiana 'Hillspire' Hillspire Eastern Red Cedar	14	6'-7' B&B	As Shown
PA	Picea abies Norway Spruce	10	6'-7' B&B	As Shown
PG	Picea glauca White Spruce	20	6'-7' B&B	As Shown
PL'S'	Prunus laurocerasus 'Schipkaensis' Schip's Laurel	20	#7 (7 Gal.) Container	As Shown
TP'GG'	Thuja plicata 'Green Giant' Green Giant Western Red Cedar	33	6'-7' B&B	As Shown

### **REVISION HISTORY:**

#### DATE REVISION Revised per HDC Comments: Plant Installation Size, Berm 6/5/23 Extension, and Gate Height 1/3/24 Issued for City Preliminary/Final Plan Review: Revised per City

Comments and Adjusted Array Layout

### **REFERENCES:**

- Plan Entitled, 'PROPOSED SITE PLAN', Drawing Number: C-101, Sheet 3 of 6; Prepared for: VCP, LLC; Prepared By: WSP USA Environment and
- Infrastructure, Inc.; Dated with Revisions Through 1/3/24, as amended. . Site Observations made by Kevin M. Alverson, LA, March 1, 2023

# Knight Street Solar

998.4 KW DC Ground-Mount Solar PV Development

Plat 275, Lots 38 and 52 240 Knight Street Warwick, RI 02886

**Property Owner:** Sunshine Properties, LLC 181 Knight Street Warwick, RI 02886

Developed By:

![](_page_30_Picture_27.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_28.jpeg)

Kevin M. Alverson 401.338.0044 360 Annaquatucket Road Wickford, RI 02852 KevinMAlversonLA.com

![](_page_30_Picture_30.jpeg)

# Landscape Plan

Project #: 2.261.319	Sheet 1 of 2
Scale: As Shown Drawn By: KMA Checked By: KMA	L-1
Date: April 26, 2023	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING

### LANDSCAPE NOTES:

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

ALONG KNIGHT STREET

#### 10. PRUNING: PRUNE ALL PLANT MATERIAL TO REMOVE ANY AND ALL DEADWOOD. ALL PLANT MATERIAL SHALL BE PRUNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOOD HORTICULTURAL PRACTICES, AND IN SUCH A MANNER AS TO MAINTAIN THE NATURAL FORM OF THE PLANT. PRUNING SHALL BE COMPLETED UTILIZING ONLY CLEAN SHARP TOOLS. USE

L. FERTILIZER: UTILIZE ONLY SLOW AND/OR CONTROLLED RELEASE FERTILIZER, APPLIED AT THE MINIMUM APPLICATION RATES NEEDED TO ESTABLISH NEW VEGETATIVE GROWTH. APPLICATION RATES SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH FERTILIZER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS, ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL

2. MULCH: ALL PLANTINGS SHALL BE MULCHED AS INDICATED ON DRAWINGS. PLANTINGS INSTALLED IN CLUSTERS, OR WITHIN PLANTING BEDS SHALL BE MULCHED AS SUCH, INSTALLING MULCH THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE PLANTING BED. MULCH TO BE 3"-4", OR AS OTHERWISE NOTED, IN DEPTH AND REDUCED TO LESS THAN 1" AT

12.1. MULCH SHALL BE WELL-AGED, SHREDDED BARK MULCH, NATURAL DARK BROWN IN COLOR AND NON-DYED.

13.1. LOAM AND SEED ALL DISTURBED AREAS, IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROJECT SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN AND AS OUTLINED IN THE PROJECT STORMWATER OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN PREPARED BY THE PROJECT ENGINEER (SEE REFERENCES). ALL NEW LAWN/SEEDED AREAS SUBJECT TO EROSION SHALL BE STABILIZED UTILIZING EXCELSIOR BLANKET, JUTE MESH OR APPROVED

LOAM UTILIZED OR RETAINED FOR LAWN AREAS SHALL BE SANDY LOAM, 4"-6" IN DEPTH, AND CONFORMING TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE USGA FOR LAWN PLANTINGS. ON-SITE NATIVE LOAM MAY BE UTILIZED FOR LAWN AREAS, BUT SHALL BE AMENDED AND RAKED AS NECESSARY TO CONFORM TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF

UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED, SEED MIX UTILIZED SHALL BE SIMILAR TO 'LOW-GROWING WILDFLOWER 66.9% Festuca ovina, Variety Not Stated (Sheep Fescue, Variety Not Stated)

SEED MIX IN DESIGNATED STORMWATER FACILITIES, BASINS, ETC., SHALL BE AS NOTED ON ENGINEER'S

IF INSTALLING SEED UTILIZING HYDROSEEDER DEVICE, PROVIDE FIBER HYDRO MULCH AND STARTER FERTILIZER MIX. IF BROADCAST SEEDING, APPLY STARTER FERTILIZER PRIOR TO SEEDING AND TOPDRESS WITH 2" STRAW OR FIBER HYDRO MULCH (NO SEED) FOLLOWING SPREADING OF SEED.

ALL FERTILIZER SHOULD BE APPLIED IN THE MINIMUM RECOMMENDED APPLICATION RATE TO ENSURE GERMINATION AND ESTABLISHMENT OF SEEDING. APPLICATION RATES SHALL BE IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH FERTILIZER MANUFACTURER'S SPECIFICATIONS, ALL LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL REGULATIONS, AND WITH ANY SECURED ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING

MAINTENANCE AND MONITORING OF SEEDED AREAS: SEEDED AREAS WILL BE REVIEWED AND MONITORED ON AN ANNUAL BASIS BY THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE (LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR OTHER QUALIFIED

FOLLOWING EACH SITE REVIEW. IF REPAIR OR OTHER TREATMENTS TO SEEDED AREAS ARE REQUIRED DURING THIS PERIOD TO FURTHER THE PROGRESS OF SEEDED AREAS TO REACH ESTABLISHMENT, REPRESENTATIVE SHALL PROVIDE OWNER WITH SUGGESTED REPAIRS OR TREATMENT OPTIONS TO BE

POLLINATOR MEADOW GRASSES TO BE MOWED ONCE ANNUALLY IN LATE FALL AFTER FIRST FROST OR BEFORE PLANT GROWTH BEGINS IN LATE WINTER OR EARLY SPRING. ADDITIONAL MOWINGS ARE DISCOURAGED UNLESS WHEN REQUIRED FOR MAINTENANCE SUCH AS REPAIR OF EROSION OR REQUIRED MAINTENANCE OF SOLAR ARRAY EQUIPMENT, OR AS DIRECTED BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.

14. LANDSCAPE BUFFER VERIFICATION BY OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT: THE DESIGN INTENT FOR ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND PUBLIC ROADWAYS, BASED ON ANTICIPATED FINAL SOLAR ARRAY AND DRAINAGE DESIGN LAYOUT. TOTAL QUANTITIES AND PLACEMENT OF PLANTINGS WILL BE AS NEEDED TO SUPPLEMENT EXISTING WOODED BUFFER FOLLOWING SITE CLEARING PROCEDURES AND TO ENSURE THAT SOLAR ARRAY IS

14.1. FINAL LOCATIONS OF ANY SUPPLEMENTAL PLANTINGS SHALL BE REVIEWED AND VERIFIED BY LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT AND FIELD ADJUSTED AS-NEEDED PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES TO MAXIMIZE BUFFERING OF THE ARRAY TO MINIMIZE ANY CONFLICTS WITH EXISTING WOODED BUFFER VEGETATION TO REMAIN AND FINAL AS-BUILT LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED PROJECT ELEMENTS, AND TO INFILL GAPS IN EXISTING VEGETATION, WHEN NEEDED, TO SUITABLY AND ADEQUATELY BUFFER SOLAR ARRAY AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT FROM ABUTTING PROPERTIES AND PUBLIC ROADWAYS.

> - 2.1 MAX. SIDE SLOPE OF LANDSCAPE BERM: APPLY EROSION CONTROL MAT AND LOAM AND SEED SIDE SLOPES WITH WILDFLOWER AND GRASS MIX (TYPICAL): SEE LANDSCAPE NOTES

![](_page_31_Figure_20.jpeg)

NOT TO SCALE

![](_page_31_Figure_22.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_23.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

DISTRICT DIMENSIONAL REGULATIONS

District	LI
Use	Non-Residential
Min. Lot Area	6,000 Sq. Ft.
Min. Frontage	60 feet
Min. Lot Width	60 feet
Min. Front Yard Depth	25 feet
Min. Cor. Side Yard Depth	25 feet
Min. Side Yard Depth	15 feet **
Min. Rear Yard Depth	20 feet **
Max. Structure Height	45 feet
Min. Landscaped Open Space	10%
· ·	
	anne ann an an an Arthur an Arthur an Arthur an Arthur ann an Arthur an Arthur an Arthur an Arthur an Arthur an

\*\* A commercial building or use shall be setback a minimum of 40 feet from an Open Space district parcel of 5,000 square feet or more.

An industrial building or use shall be setback a minimum of 40 feet from an abutting commercial district and 100 feet from an Open Space district parcel of 5,000 square feet or more.

A 20 foot wide landscaped buffer shall be provided along any property line that abuts a residence district, PDR overlay district, residential PUD overlay district, or an open space district where such lot contains at least 5,000 square feet including any coastal or freshwater wetlands.

Parking requirements for wholesale business, storage space and warehouses: 1 per 500 sq.ft. of gross floor area.

### NOTES:

AP 275 LOT 57

1.) Reference is made to the following deed:

- A.) A Warranty Deed from Towanda Associates, Inc. granted to Knight Street Group, LLC recorded August 16, 2007 in the City of Warwick Land Evidence Book 6649 Page 245.
- 2.) Reference is made to the following plan:
- A.) Existing Conditions Plan Figure 2 Site Investigation Report Former Pontiac Mills Property Plat 275 Lots 38 & 52 Warwick, Rhode Island Date 1-07-2008 Scale 1<sup>"</sup>=125' EA Engineering, Warwick, Rl.
- 3.) The planimetric features and topography was compiled from the plan referenced in Note #2A. The bearings and north arrow orientation depicted hereon are based upon NAD-83 per plan reference #2A. The topographic features depicted hereon are based upon NGVD-29 per plan reference #2A.
- 4.) The utility information depicted hereon was compiled from information provided by the City of Warwick Department of Public Works and the City of Warwick Water Department July, 2008.
- 5.) The gas line location depicted hereon was compiled from information provided by National Grid.
- 6.) The wetlands depicted hereon were field survey located July 30, 2008 by Cherenzia & Associates, Ltd.
- 7.) Flood Zone information from FIRM Flood Insurance Rate Map City Of Warwick, Rhode Island Kent County Panel 2 Of 9 Community—Panel Number 445409 0002 D Map Revised: April 16, 1991.
- 8.) Flood Zone area was revised for locus parcel per: Federal Emergency Management Agency Letter Of Map Revision Floodway Determination Document (Removal) Date: March 20, 2007 Case No.: 07—01—0070A (100—year floodplain at elevation 29)

![](_page_32_Picture_21.jpeg)

# ATTACHMENT

# C STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

VPC, LLC

### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

### PROPOSED SOLAR DEVELOPMENT

KNIGHT STREET

PLAT 275 LOTS 38 AND 52 KNIGHT STREET WARWICK, RI

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

PROPOSED SOLAR DEVELOPMENT – KNIGHT STREET PLAT 275 LOTS 38 AND 52 KNIGHT STREET WARWICK, RI

VPC, LLC

PROJECT NO.: 3652-200299 DATE: NOVEMBER 30, 2022

WSP 100 APOLLO DRIVE, SUITE 302 CHELMSFORD, MA 01824

T: +1 978-692-9090 F: +1 833-774-2707 wsp.com
## SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Mykel D. Mendes

Mykel Mendes Project Engineer

**APPROVED BY** 

Enla P. Valle

Andrew Vardakis, PE Project Manager

WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, RIDOT Environmental Division – Office of Stormwater, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance, or decisions. WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION	4			
2.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS					
	2.1	2.1 Wetlands				
	2.2 Historic Contamination and Proposed Remediation					
	2.3 Watersheds					
	2.4 Groundwater and Soil Evaluation					
	2.5	Natural Heritage Area	6			
	2.6	FEMA	6			
	2.7	Design Points	6			
	2.8	Pre-development Analysis	6			
3.0	PROPOSED CONDITIONS					
	3.1	Proposed Conditions Contributing Areas	8			
4.0	Hydrologic Analysis					
5.0	CONC	CLUSIONS	10			
	5.1	Minimum Standard 1: LID Site Planning and Design Strategies	10			
	5.2	Minimum Standard 2: Groundwater Recharge	10			
	5.3	Minimum Standard 3: Water Quality	10			
	5.4	Minimum Standard 4: Conveyance and Natural Channel Protection	10			
	5.5	Minimum Standard 5: Overbank Flood Protection	10			
	5.6	Minimum Standard 6: Redevelopment and Infill Projects	11			
	5.7	Minimum Standard 7: Pollution Prevention	11			
	5.8	Minimum Standard 8: LUHPPLs	11			
	5.9	Minimum Standard 9: Illicit Discharges	11			
	5.10	Minimum Standard 10: Construction ESC	11			
	5.11	Minimum Standard 11: Stormwater Management O&M	12			

## FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location	4
Figure 2: Pre-Development Drainage Figure	7
Figure 3: Sample Installed Solar Array	8
Figure 4: Post-Development Drainage Figure	8

## TABLES

Table 1: Soils	6
Table 2: Hydrologic Analysis Summary – Peak Discharge Rates	9

## APPENDICES

Appendix A: Back	ground Information
Appendix A.1	NRCS Soils Maps
Appendix A.2	Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)
Appendix B: Hydro	CAD Analyses
Appendix B.1	Existing HydroCAD Analysis
Appendix B.2	Proposed HydroCAD Analysis
Appendix C: Existi	ng and Proposed Development Drainage Figures
Appendix D: Ballas	t Block and Gravel Pad Area Calculations

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of VCP, LLC, WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. (WSP) has prepared this Stormwater Management Report in support of a proposed solar development project (roughly 998.4 kW DC) to be located on Knight Street in Warwick, Rhode Island.

The proposed solar facility (the "Site" or the "Project") will be located on a portion of the property defined by the City of Warwick as Assessor's Plat (AP) 275 Lot 38 and 52 (16.3 $\pm$  acres). The subject parcels are located more than 1,500 feet south of the municipal boundary between the Cities of Cranston and Warwick. The Site is located in a developed area that currently contains trailers utilized for material and equipment storage, retaining walls used to contain



Figure 1: Site Location

landscaping material stockpiles, and a small shed utilized as an office for a landscaping company. The Site is bounded by wetlands and the Pawtuxet River to the South; forest, wetlands, and commercial properties to the east; industrial, commercial, and higher elevation residential properties to the north; and industrial properties including the former Pontiac Mills property and wetlands to the west.

The City of Warwick allows the use of an "Electric Power Plant" with a Special Use Permit in Zone GI General Industrial zoning district only. The entirety of the project site is zoned "LI", Limited Industrial; therefore, a zone petition application and special use permit will be submitted to the City Council.

Access to the site is from Knight Street. The proposed access road to the site will be a minimum of 15 feet wide with a 24-foot-wide gate at the fence line and designed to conform to all City requirements. The entire project will be fenced and gated for safety.

# 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site is currently vacant land that was formerly an undeveloped portion of the Pontiac Mill Property. The Site is currently developed with a small shed utilized as an office for a landscaping company, and is occupied by equipment and material storage, as well as retaining walls used to contain landscaping materials such as stone and mulch. Based on the City of Warwick Web GIS Maps and RIGIS 2011 Land Use Data, surrounding land uses include industrial properties, water, wetlands, mixed forest, and high density residential. There are no structural best management practices in place for controlling stormwater runoff under existing conditions. Overall topography indicates surface stormwater flows from the northwest corner towards the southeast portion of the Site.

#### 2.1 Wetlands

A letter titled, "Freshwater Wetland Delineations" was provided by Natural Resource Services, Inc. (NRS) for the Project. Based on NRS' report, the property contains wetland resource areas within the jurisdiction of the Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management (RIDEM). These include a swamp contiguous to a pond and the Pawtuxet River which borders the southern edge of the property. The RIDEM jurisdictional areas associated with these wetlands are as follows:

- Swamps: 50-foot perimeter wetland
- River > 10 feet in width: 200-foot riverbank wetland

All work is proposed in upland areas.

#### 2.2 Historic Contamination and Proposed Remediation

The Site is currently considered a brownfield based on contamination idented from historic operations that occurred between 1800s to 1996 by textile manufacturers, artisans, craftsmen, and retailers. From 1995 to 2008, multiple site inspections and site investigations identified the presence of contaminants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), metals, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) through soil and groundwater sampling.

In addition to filing a Preliminary Determination Application with the (RIDEM) Freshwater Wetlands (FWW) Section and Rhode Island Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (RIPDES) Permit with the RIPDES Section for the Project, VCP, LLC and WSP are coordinating with with RIDEM's Office of Revitalization and Sustainable Materials Management to remediate and redevelop the Site. The preferred remedial alternative includes an engineered cap designed in accordance with RIDEM's Site Remediation and Landfill Closure Programs. Following remediation, the Site will be redeveloped by placing the proposed solar development above the engineered cap.

#### 2.3 Watersheds

The project site ultimately drains to the Pawtuxet River (ID Number: RI0006017R-03). According to the report titled, "State of Rhode Island 2016 Impaired Waters Report, Final, March 2018" published by the RIDEM Office of Water Resources and RIDEM mapping, Pawtuxet River is an Integrated Report Category 5, Class B1 waterbody and listed with a TMDL schedule for Cadmium, Enterococcus, Non-Native Aquatic Plants, TotalPhosphorus, and Mercury in Fish Tissue.

#### 2.4 Groundwater and Soil Evaluation

The Natural Resources Conservation Services (NRCS) Web Soil Survey mapping identified the soils on-site as follow: **See Appendix A.1** for NRCS Web Soil Survey Map – Hydrologic Soil Group.

#### Table 1: Soils

Map Unit	Map UnitName	Hydrologic Soil Group
MmB	Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	А
Ur	Urban Land	n/a
UD	Udorthents-Urban land complex	n/a
W	Water	n/a
RU	Rippowam fine sandy loam	B/D

The development area of the Site is predominantly Udorthents-Urban land complex (UD), which is defined as human transported material. The areas in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development include water, merrimac fine sandy loam, rippowam fine sandy loam, and urban land.

Based on RIDEM's Environmental Resource Map, groundwater at the site is classified as GB. From the NRCS online database, the groundwater table within the parcel boundary ranges from 1 to >6.5. The groundwater table for the proposed solar development area is approximately  $4\pm$  feet. According to the report titled, "Site Investigation Report Former Pontiac Mills Property Plat 275, Lots 38 and 52 Warwick, Rhode Island, Final, March 2008" prepared by EA Engineering Science and Technology, Inc., groundwater has been measured at depths ranging from 6 feet to 16 feet below ground surface at the Site.

#### 2.5 Natural Heritage Area

Based on RIDEM's Environmental Resource Map, there no Natural Heritage Areas located within the project site.

#### 2.6 FEMA

Based on the most recent Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM) 44003C0127H, dated 10/02/2015 for the City of Warwick, Kent County, Rhode Island, most of the Site is located within Flood Zone X (areas determined to be inside of the 0.2% annual chance floodplain). An area designated as "Flood Zone AE" (areas determined to be inside of the 1% annual chance floodplain and Regulatory Floodway) are located along the southern boundary; however, all currently proposed development areas are located within Zone X; therefore, there are no anticipated flood zone issues. See **Appendix A.3** for FEMA Flood Maps.

#### 2.7 Design Points

WSP conducted an evaluation of the existing site conditions and topography to determine the most-suitable Design Point for analysis of pre- and post-development peak development flow rates, as described in the following sections of this Stormwater Management Report. The stormwater runoff generated by the area of contribution flows to the same Design Point in both pre- and post-development conditions. One design point was analyzed:

• Design Point 1 "DP-1" is a wetland, which spans adjacent to the southern portion of the Site.

#### 2.8 Pre-development Analysis

Under pre-development conditions, the Site was divided into one (1) sub-watershed (total area =  $8.4\pm$  acres) which contribute to the above-referenced Design Point. Peak discharge rates were evaluated for the 1-year, 2-year, 10-year, 25-year and 100-year storm events. See **Appendix B.1** for Pre-Development HydroCAD Calculations and Appendix I for a 24"x36" sheet Pre-development Drainage Figure.



Figure 2: Pre-Development Drainage Figure

• Sub-watershed "DA-1" is 8.4± acres and encompasses the entire the Site. It is comprised of pervious surface including woods and grass combination in good condition, bare soil, and a small area of impervious cover (driveway and roadway). Runoff flows overland to the south directly to the wetland (DP-1) as described above.

## 3.0 PROPOSED CONDITIONS

Under proposed conditions, a proposed 998.4 kW DC solar array will occupy approximately  $3.9\pm$  acres (within perimeter fence) of the  $16.3\pm$  acre property. The proposed solar development project will be sited predominantly within the cleared developed open area. Earthwork operations are anticipated as part of the preferred remedial alternative to bring the Site into compliance with RIDEM Rules and Regulations for the Investigation and Remediation of Hazardous Materials Releases (250-RICR-140-30-1). The proposed solar development will emulate existing drainage patterns, with stormwater runoff from the project flowing towards the southern portion of the Site.

The proposed solar panels will be placed on a ballasted racking system set at a tilt angle above finished grade (see an example in Figure 3). Panel rows will be oriented due south, separated by grass strips.

The proposed access road will conform to Town and RIDOT requirements and will be compose of angular crushed stone for the purpose of maintaining infiltration capacity. The project will also include one equipment pad and a 7-foot chain link fence.



Figure 3: Sample Installed Solar Array Note: proposed site will be mounted on ballast blocks rather than embedded posts.

#### 3.1 Proposed Conditions Contributing Areas

Under post-development conditions, the Site was divided into one (1) sub-watershed (total area =  $8.4\pm$  acres) contributing to the previously described Design Point, where peak discharge rates were evaluated for the 1-year, 2-year, 10-year, 25-year, and 100-year storm events. See **Appendix B.2** for Proposed HydroCAD calculations and Appendix C for a 24" x 36" Proposed Drainage Figure.



Figure 4: Post Development Drainage Figure

• Sub-watershed "DA-1" is 8.4± acres and includes the entire Site. It is comprised of pervious surface including woods and grass in good condition and meadow grass, paved roads, one concrete equipment pad, ballast blocks, gravel pads, and a pervious crushed stone access drive. Runoff flows overland to the south directly to the adjacent wetland (DP-1) as described above.

## 4.0 Hydrologic Analysis

The hydrologic analysis was performed using HydroCAD software for a 24-hour, Type III rainfallevent for for Kent County (1-year: 2.7 inches; 2-year: 3.3 inches; 10-year: 4.8 inches; 25-year: 6.2 inches; 100-year: 8.7 inches) and one overall points of analysis, "Design Point 1" (DP-1) to the wetlands. The point of analysis was previously described as the wetlands along the southern border of the site. Table 2 and Table 3 provides a summary of this analysis, which shows that post-development peak discharge rates will be less than or equal to pre-development peak discharge rates for the 1-, 2-, 10-, 25- and 100-year events.

Design point	Description	Design Storm	Peak Flow (CFS	
			Existing	Proposed
DP-1		1-Year	2.61	0.01
Wetlands		2-Year	4.74	0.06
		10-Year	11.26	0.90
		25-Year	18.24	3.15
		100-Year	31.75	9.57

#### Table 2: Hydrologic Analysis Summary – Peak Discharge Rates

## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

This project has been designed in accordance with the latest edition of the RISDISM, Section 3.2 Minimum Stormwater Management Standards, as summarized below and provided in other submission documents.

#### 5.1 Minimum Standard 1: LID Site Planning and Design Strategies

"LID Site planning and design strategies must be used to the maximum extent practicable in order to reduce the generation of the water runoff volume for both new and redevelopment projects."

#### Standard Met

LID site planning and design strategies have been employed on this project to the maximum extent practicable. The Stormwater Management Plan checklist from "*Appendix A*" of the (RISDISM) has been prepared and is provided under separate cover. This document provides data to show compliance with this standard.

#### 5.2 Minimum Standard 2: Groundwater Recharge

"Stormwater must be recharged within the same sub-watershed to maintain base flow at pre-development recharge levels to the maximum extent practicable."

#### Standard Met

The proposed development introduces a negligible amount of new impervious area to the site. Furthermore, proposed loaming and seeding of the existing bare soil provides additional groundwater recharge to ensure pre-development base flow is maintained to the maximum extent practicable; therefore, the requirements of Minimum Standard 2 have been met.

#### 5.3 Minimum Standard 3: Water Quality

"Stormwater runoff must be treated before discharge."

#### **Standard Not Applicable**

The proposed development introduces a negligible amount of impervious area to the site and the area designated for the solar PV array installation will be utilized and maintained similarly to the surrounding meadow. No discernable changes to runoff patterns are anticipated because of the proposed development. Therefore, Minimum Standard 3 is not applicable.

#### 5.4 Minimum Standard 4: Conveyance and Natural Channel Protection

"Protection for natural channels downstream must be supplied by providing 24-hour extended detention of the oneyear, 24-hour Type III design storm event runoff volume."

#### Standard Waived

In accordance with 3.3.4 of the RISDISM, the proposed development will introduce less than one acre of impervious area (0.163 acre) and therefore Minimum Standard 4 is waived.

#### 5.5 Minimum Standard 5: Overbank Flood Protection

"Downstream overbank flood protection must be provided by attenuating the post-development peak discharge rate to the pre-development levels for the 10-year and 100-year, 24-hour Type III design storm

events. In addition, designers must demonstrate that runoff from the Site for storms up to the 100-year, 24hour Type III design storm events actually reach proposed structural practices designed to meet this criterion

#### Standard Met

The proposed site has been designed to attenuate the post-development peak discharge rates to pre-development levels for the 10- and 100-year storm events in accordance with the requirements of Minimum Standard 5.

#### 5.6 Minimum Standard 6: Redevelopment and Infill Projects

"The purpose of this minimum standard is to establish the alternative requirements for projects or portions of a project where existing impervious areas will be redeveloped or where the Site qualifies as infill."

#### Standard Not Applicable

This project is not considered a redevelopment of existing impervious areas or infill project; therefore, Minimum Standard 6 is not applicable to this project.

#### 5.7 Minimum Standard 7: Pollution Prevention

"All development Sites require the use of source control and pollution prevention measures to minimize the impact that the land use may have on stormwater runoff quality."

#### Standard Met

Pollution prevention is addressed in the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan provided under separate cover, which confirms that the requirements of Minimum Standard 7 have been met.

#### 5.8 Minimum Standard 8: LUHPPLs

"Stormwater discharges from land uses with higher potential pollutant loads (LUHPPLs) require the use of specific source control and pollution prevention measures and the specific stormwater BMPs approved for such use."

#### **Standard Not Applicable**

Prior to construction of the solar array, the existing Site contamination will be remediated with an engineered cap. No BMPs are proposed because post-development peak discharge rates will be less than pre-development peak discharge rates for the 1-, 2-, 10-, 25- and 100-year events. The stormwater discharge reduction is due to ground cover change from the existing bare soil to a capped loamed and seeded surface; Therefore, Minimum Standard 8 is not applicable to this project.

#### 5.9 Minimum Standard 9: Illicit Discharges

"All illicit discharges to stormwater management systems are prohibited."

#### Standard Met

There are no existing or proposed illicit discharges from the Site; therefore, Minimum Standard 9 has been met.

#### 5.10 Minimum Standard 10: Construction ESC

"Erosion and sedimentation control (ESC) practices must be utilized during the construction phase as well as during any land disturbing activities. ESC practices must meet the following minimum design criteria: temporary sediment trapping practices must be sized to store 1 inch of runoff from the contributing area or per the sediment volume method (Rhode Island Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook), whichever is greater; and temporary conveyance practices must be sized to handle the peak flow from the 10-year, 24-hour Type III design storm."

#### Standard Met

Soil erosion and sedimentation controls have been incorporated into the proposed site design. A Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan has been developed and is provided under separate cover. This document demonstrates compliance with Minimum Standard 10.

#### 5.11 Minimum Standard 11: Stormwater Management O&M

"The stormwater management system, including all structural stormwater controls and conveyances, must have an operation and maintenance plan to ensure that it continues to function as designed."

#### Standard Met

An Operations and Maintenance Plan has been developed and is provided under separate cover. This document demonstrates compliance with Minimum Standard 11.







# A.1 NRCS SOILS MAP



United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants Custom Soil Resource Report for State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and Washington Counties



## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	12
Map Unit Descriptions	12
State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and	
Washington Counties	
MmB—Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	14
Ru—Rippowam fine sandy loam	15
UD—Udorthents-Urban land complex	17
Ur—Urban land	
W—Water	20
References	21
Glossary	23

## **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION		
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest	erest (AOI)	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:12,000.		
Soil Map U Soil Map U Soil Map U Soil Map U	Init Polygons 🔊	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot Other Special Line Features	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of		
Special Point Feature Blowout	res Water Fe	atures Streams and Canals	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.		
Clay Spot	Transpor +++ pression	tation Rails Interstate Highways	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.		
Gravel Pit Gravelly S	iravel Pit  VIS Routes Veb Soil Surv Sravelly Spot  Major Roads Coordinate Sy	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)			
<ul> <li>Landfill</li> <li>Lava Flow</li> <li>Marsh or s</li> </ul>	Backgro wamp	Local Roads u <b>nd</b> Aerial Photography	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more		
Mine or Qu Miscellane	uarry ous Water		accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.		
✓ Rock Outc ↓ Saline Spo	rop It		Soil Survey Area: State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and Washington Counties Survey Area Data: Version 20, Jun 9, 2020		
Sandy Spo Severely E	ot roded Spot		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.		
Slide or Sli Sodic Spot	p t		Date(s) aerial images were photographed: May 24, 2020—Jul 18, 2020 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were		

#### MAP LEGEND

#### MAP INFORMATION

imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
MmB	Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes	0.3	1.7%
Ru	Rippowam fine sandy loam	4.7	27.7%
UD	Udorthents-Urban land complex	7.1	42.2%
Ur	Urban land	0.2	1.4%
W	Water	4.6	27.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		16.9	100.0%

## **Map Unit Legend**

## Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate

pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# State of Rhode Island: Bristol, Kent, Newport, Providence, and Washington Counties

#### MmB—Merrimac fine sandy loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2tyqs Elevation: 0 to 1,290 feet Mean annual precipitation: 36 to 71 inches Mean annual air temperature: 39 to 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 140 to 240 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Merrimac and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Merrimac**

#### Setting

Landform: Outwash plains, kames, eskers, moraines, outwash terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope, footslope, shoulder, summit Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, crest, riser, tread Down-slope shape: Convex

Across-slope shape: Convex

*Parent material:* Loamy glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite, schist, and gneiss over sandy and gravelly glaciofluvial deposits derived from granite, schist, and gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

Ap - 0 to 10 inches: fine sandy loam Bw1 - 10 to 22 inches: fine sandy loam Bw2 - 22 to 26 inches: stratified gravel to gravelly loamy sand 2C - 26 to 65 inches: stratified gravel to very gravelly sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 3 to 8 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat excessively drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to very high (1.42 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.0 to 1.4 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 1.0
Available water capacity: Low (about 4.6 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 2s Hydrologic Soil Group: A *Ecological site:* F145XY008MA - Dry Outwash *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces, deltas Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Hinckley

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Kames, deltas, outwash plains, eskers Landform position (two-dimensional): Summit, shoulder, backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, side slope, crest, head slope, rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex, linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Windsor

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains, deltas, dunes Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, riser Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Linear, convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Agawam

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, outwash plains, kames, eskers, stream terraces, moraines Landform position (three-dimensional): Rise Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Ru—Rippowam fine sandy loam

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lx2 Elevation: 0 to 810 feet Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 115 to 190 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Rippowam and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Rippowam**

#### Setting

Landform: Flood plains Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Coarse-loamy alluvium over sandy and gravelly alluvium derived from granite and gneiss

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 5 inches: fine sandy loam Bg1 - 5 to 12 inches: fine sandy loam Bg2 - 12 to 19 inches: fine sandy loam BCg1 - 19 to 24 inches: sandy loam BCg2 - 24 to 27 inches: sandy loam Cg1 - 27 to 31 inches: loamy sand Cg2 - 31 to 65 inches: stratified very gravelly coarse sand to loamy fine sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 5.95 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 to 18 inches
Frequency of flooding: FrequentNone
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.9 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4w Hydrologic Soil Group: B/D Ecological site: F144AY014CT - Wet Sandy Low Floodplain Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Swansea

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Kettles, depressions, bogs, swamps, marshes Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### Pootatuck

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Landform: Flood plains *Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### UD—Udorthents-Urban land complex

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lxj Elevation: 0 to 670 feet Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 211 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Udorthents and similar soils: 70 percent Urban land: 20 percent Minor components: 10 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Udorthents**

#### Setting

*Down-slope shape:* Linear *Across-slope shape:* Linear *Parent material:* Human transported material

#### **Typical profile**

A - 0 to 12 inches: sandy loam C1 - 12 to 25 inches: sandy loam C2 - 25 to 60 inches: stratified sand to very gravelly coarse sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Runoff class: Very low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (2.00 to 6.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 42 to 54 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water capacity: Low (about 5.5 inches)

#### Description of Urban Land

#### Setting

Parent material: Human transported material

#### **Typical profile**

R - 0 to 6 inches: variable

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8s Hydric soil rating: No

#### Minor Components

#### Quonset

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Outwash terraces, terraces, outwash plains, eskers Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Kames, outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Ur—Urban land

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: 9lxk Elevation: 0 to 810 feet Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 100 to 211 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Urban land:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Urban Land**

#### Setting

Parent material: Human transported material

#### **Minor Components**

#### Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Canton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Charlton

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Hills Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Pittstown

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Drumlins Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

#### Merrimac

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Kames, outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sudbury

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Outwash plains, terraces Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

#### Newport

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Drumlins Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

#### Sutton

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Down-slope shape: Linear, concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

#### W-Water

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 9lxl Mean annual precipitation: 44 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 50 degrees F Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Water:* 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

## References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf


# A.2 FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP (FIRM)



71°26'14.57"W 41°43'N

## **FLOOD HAZARD INFORMATION**

#### SEE FIS REPORT FOR DETAILED LEGEND AND INDEX MAP FOR DRAFT FIRM PANEL LAYOUT



## **NOTES TO USERS**

For information and questions about this Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM), available products associated with this FIRM, including historic versions, the current map date for each FIRM panel, how to order products, or the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) in general, please call the FEMA Map Information eXchange at 1-877-FEMA-MAP (1-877-336-2627) or visit the FEMA Flood Map Service Center website at https://msc.fema.gov. Available products may include previously issued Letters of Map Change, a Flood Insurance Study Report, and/or digital versions of this map. Many of these products can be ordered or obtained directly from the website.

Communities annexing land on adjacent FIRM panels must obtain a current copy of the adjacent panel as well as the current FIRM Index. These may be ordered directly from the Flood Map Service Center at the number listed above.

For community and countywide map dates, refer to the Flood Insurance Study Report for this jurisdiction.

To determine if flood insurance is available in this community, contact your Insurance agent or call the National Flood Insurance Program at 1-800-638-6620.

Basemap information shown on this FIRM was provided in digital format by the United States Geological Survey (USGS). The basemap shown is the USGS National Map: Orthoimagery. Last refreshed October, 2020.

This map was exported from FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL) on 2/18/2021 2:04 PM and does not reflect changes or amendments subsequent to this date and time. The NFHL and effective information may change or become superseded by new data over time. For additional information, please see the Flood Hazard Mapping Updates Overview Fact Sheet at https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/118418

This map complies with FEMA's standards for the use of digital flood maps if it is not void as described below. The energies with reside standards to the use of logical noot maps in its not void as described below. The energies of the provided the second standards in the second standards. This was no second as described below. Shown to think for white scraph for the the present standards in the normal standards in the second standard standards in the second standard standards in the second standard standard standards in the second standard standard standard standards in the second standard standard standard standards in the second standard stand inside this boundary on the FIRM panel has been republished from the previous effective (historic) FIRM for this area, after being converted from NGVD 29 to NAVD 88.

## SCALE

Map Projection: GCS, Geodetic Reference System 1980; Vertical Datum: NAVD88

For information about the specific vertical datum for elevation features, datum conversions, or vertical monuments used to create this map, please see the Flood Insurance Study (FIS) Report for your community at https://msc.fema.gov





### NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP KENT COUNTY, RHODE ISLAND ALL JURISDICTIONS

PANEL 127 OF 251

#### **Panel Contains:**

COMMUNITY CITY OF CRANSTON CITY OF WARWICK

NUMBER PANEL 445396 445409

0127

0127

MAP NUMBER 44003C0127H EFFECTIVE DATE October 02, 2015



# B HYDROCAD ANALYSES



# **B.1** EXISTING HYDROCAD ANALYSIS



Existing Conditions Knight Street Prepared by Wood HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(sq-ft)		(subcatchment-numbers)
184,717	77	Fallow, bare soil, HSG A (1)
68,183	94	Fallow, bare soil, HSG D (1)
7,318	98	Paved roads w/curbs & sewers, HSG A (1)
4,115	98	Paved roads w/curbs & sewers, HSG D (1)
93,777	32	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG A (1)
9,121	79	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG D (1)
367,231	69	TOTAL AREA

### Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(sq-ft)	Group	Numbers
285,812	HSG A	1
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
81,419	HSG D	1
0	Other	
367,231		TOTAL AREA

Existing Conditions Knight Street Prepared by Wood HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 8/31/2021 Page 4

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground
 (sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	Cover
184,717	0	0	68,183	0	252,900	Fallow, bare soil
7,318	0	0	4,115	0	11,433	Paved roads w/curbs & sewers
93,777	0	0	9,121	0	102,898	Woods/grass comb., Good
285,812	0	0	81,419	0	367,231	TOTAL AREA

#### Ground Covers (all nodes)

Type III 24-hr 1-yr Rainfall=2.70" Printed 8/31/2021 C Page 5

Time span=5.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 381 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Runoff Area=367,231 sf 3.11% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.51" Flow Length=1,034' Tc=21.8 min CN=69 Runoff=2.61 cfs 15,668 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow=2.61 cfs 15,668 cf Primary=2.61 cfs 15,668 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 15,668 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.51" 96.89% Pervious = 355,798 sf 3.11% Impervious = 11,433 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 2.61 cfs @ 12.38 hrs, Volume= 15,668 cf, Depth> 0.51"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-yr Rainfall=2.70"

A	rea (sf)	CN E	Description					
	93,777	32 V	32 Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG A					
	7,318	98 F	aved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG A			
1	84,717	77 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	A			
	9,121	79 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG D			
	4,115	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG D			
	68,183	94 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	D			
3	67,231	69 V	Veighted A	verage				
3	55,798	g	6.89% Per	vious Area				
	11,433	3	.11% Impe	ervious Area	a			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
5.5	100	0.0100	0.30		Sheet Flow, AB			
					Fallow n= 0.050 P2= 3.00"			
1.3	85	0.0120	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC			
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps			
7.6	251	0.0030	0.55		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD			
			4.00		Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 tps			
3.9	325	0.0190	1.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE			
0.0	007	0.0440	4.40		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps			
3.3	237	0.0140	1.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF			
0.0	20	0 4 4 7 0	2.02		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps			
0.2	30	0.1470	3.83		Snallow Concentrated Flow, FG			
					Nearly Date & Utilited NV-10.0 lps			

21.8 1,034 Total



#### Subcatchment 1: DA-1

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow A	Area =	367,231 st	, 3.11% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0.5	51" for 1-yr event
Inflow	=	2.61 cfs @	12.38 hrs, Volume=	15,668 cf	
Primary	/ =	2.61 cfs @	12.38 hrs, Volume=	15,668 cf, A	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-1: Wetland

Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30" Printed 8/31/2021 C Page 9

Time span=5.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 381 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Runoff Area=367,231 sf 3.11% Impervious Runoff Depth>0.83" Flow Length=1,034' Tc=21.8 min CN=69 Runoff=4.74 cfs 25,441 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow=4.74 cfs 25,441 cf Primary=4.74 cfs 25,441 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 25,441 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.83" 96.89% Pervious = 355,798 sf 3.11% Impervious = 11,433 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 4.74 cfs @ 12.35 hrs, Volume= 25,441 cf, Depth> 0.83"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"

A	<u>rea (sf)</u>	CN E	Description					
	93,777	32 V	32 Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG A					
	7,318	98 F	aved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG A			
1	84,717	77 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	A			
	9,121	79 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG D			
	4,115	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG D			
	68,183	94 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	D			
3	67,231	69 V	Veighted A	verage				
3	55,798	g	6.89% Per	vious Area				
	11,433	3	.11% Impe	ervious Area	а			
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)				
5.5	100	0.0100	0.30		Sheet Flow, AB			
					Fallow n= 0.050 P2= 3.00"			
1.3	85	0.0120	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC			
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps			
7.6	251	0.0030	0.55		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD			
	005		4.00		Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps			
3.9	325	0.0190	1.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE			
0.0	007	0.0440	4.40		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps			
3.3	237	0.0140	1.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF			
0.0	26	0 1 1 7 0	2 0 2		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps			
0.2	30	0.1470	3.83		Snallow Concentrated Flow, FG			
					Nearly Dare & Ununeu NV- 10.0 lps			

21.8 1,034 Total



#### Subcatchment 1: DA-1

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow A	rea =	367,231 sf,	3.11% Impervious,	Inflow Depth > 0.83	for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	4.74 cfs @	12.35 hrs, Volume=	25,441 cf	
Primary	=	4.74 cfs @	12.35 hrs, Volume=	25,441 cf, Att	ten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-1: Wetland

Existing Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	10
Prepared by Wood		
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions	s LLC	

4-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.80" Printed 8/31/2021 Page 13

Time span=5.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 381 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Runoff Area=367,231 sf 3.11% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.80" Flow Length=1,034' Tc=21.8 min CN=69 Runoff=11.26 cfs 55,207 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow=11.26 cfs 55,207 cf Primary=11.26 cfs 55,207 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 55,207 cf Average Runoff Depth = 1.80" 96.89% Pervious = 355,798 sf 3.11% Impervious = 11,433 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 11.26 cfs @ 12.32 hrs, Volume= 55,207 cf, Depth> 1.80"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.80"

A	rea (sf)	CN E	Description		
	93,777	32 V	Voods/gras	s comb., G	Good, HSG A
	7,318	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG A
1	84,717	77 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	A
	9,121	79 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG D
	4,115	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG D
	68,183	<u>94</u> F	allow, bare	<u>ə soil, HSG</u>	D
3	67,231	69 V	Veighted A	verage	
3	55,798	g	6.89% Per	vious Area	
	11,433	3	6.11% Impe	ervious Area	а
Тс	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5.5	100	0.0100	0.30		Sheet Flow, AB
					Fallow n= 0.050 P2= 3.00"
1.3	85	0.0120	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
7.6	251	0.0030	0.55		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
3.9	325	0.0190	1.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
	~~~				Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
3.3	237	0.0140	1.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
0.0	00	0 4 4 7 0	0.00		Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
0.2	36	0.1470	3.83		Shallow Concentrated Flow, FG
					Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps

21.8 1,034 Total



#### Subcatchment 1: DA-1

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow /	Area	=	367,231 sf,	3.11% Imperviou	s, Inflow Depth >	1.80"	for 10-yr event
Inflow		=	11.26 cfs @	12.32 hrs, Volume	= 55,207 cf		
Primar	у	=	11.26 cfs @	12.32 hrs, Volume	= 55,207 cf	, Atter	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-1: Wetland

Existing Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	25-у
Prepared by Wood		Р
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions L	LC	

24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.20" Printed 8/31/2021 Page 17

Time span=5.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 381 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Runoff Area=367,231 sf 3.11% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.86" Flow Length=1,034' Tc=21.8 min CN=69 Runoff=18.24 cfs 87,410 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow=18.24 cfs 87,410 cf Primary=18.24 cfs 87,410 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 87,410 cf Average Runoff Depth = 2.86" 96.89% Pervious = 355,798 sf 3.11% Impervious = 11,433 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 18.24 cfs @ 12.31 hrs, Volume= 87,410 cf, Depth> 2.86"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.20"

A	rea (sf)	CN E	Description		
	93,777	32 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG A
	7,318	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG A
1	84,717	77 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	Α
	9,121	79 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG D
	4,115	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG D
	68,183	94 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	D
3	67,231	69 V	Veighted A	verage	
3	55,798	ç	6.89% Per	vious Area	
	11,433		3.11% Impe	ervious Area	a
Тс	l enath	Slone	Velocity	Canacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	Decemption
5.5	100	0.0100	0.30		Sheet Flow, AB
0.0					Fallow n= 0.050 P2= 3.00"
1.3	85	0.0120	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
7.6	251	0.0030	0.55		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
3.9	325	0.0190	1.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
3.3	237	0.0140	1.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
0.0	20	0 4 4 7 0	0.00		Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 tps
0.2	30	0.1470	3.83		Snallow Concentrated Flow, FG
					Nearly Dare & Unumed NV- 10.0 Ips

21.8 1,034 Total



#### Subcatchment 1: DA-1

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow A	Area	=	367,231 sf,	3.11% Imp	ervious,	Inflow Depth >	2.86"	for 25	-yr event
Inflow	=	=	18.24 cfs @	12.31 hrs, V	olume=	87,410 c	f		
Primary	y =	=	18.24 cfs @	12.31 hrs, V	olume=	87,410 c	f, Atter	า= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

#### Hydrograph Inflow Primary 18.24 cfs 18.24 cfs 20 Inflow Area=367,231 sf 19 18-17 16-15-14 13-12 12· 11· 10· 9· 8 7 6 5-4 3-2 1 0-12 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 5 6 15 Time (hours)

#### Link DP-1: Wetland

Existing Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	100-yr Rain	nfall=8.70"
Prepared by Wood		Printed	8/31/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solution	ns LLC		Page 21

Time span=5.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 381 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 3.11% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.93" Flow Length=1,034' Tc=21.8 min CN=69 Runoff=31.75 cfs 150,871 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=31.75 cfs 150,871 cf Primary=31.75 cfs 150,871 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 150,871 cf Average Runoff Depth = 4.93" 96.89% Pervious = 355,798 sf 3.11% Impervious = 11,433 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 31.75 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 150,871 cf, Depth> 4.93"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.70"

A	rea (sf)	CN E	Description		
	93,777	32 V	Voods/gras	s comb., G	Good, HSG A
7,318 98 Paved roads w/curbs & s					& sewers, HSG A
1	84,717	77 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	A
	9,121	79 V	Voods/gras	ss comb., G	Good, HSG D
	4,115	98 F	Paved road	s w/curbs &	& sewers, HSG D
	68,183	94 F	allow, bare	e soil, HSG	D
3	67,231	69 V	Veighted A	verage	
3	55,798	g	6.89% Per	vious Area	
	11,433	3	.11% Impe	ervious Area	a
Tc	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft)	(ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5.5	100	0.0100	0.30		Sheet Flow, AB
					Fallow n= 0.050 P2= 3.00"
1.3	85	0.0120	1.10		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
					Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
7.6	251	0.0030	0.55		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
			4.00		Nearly Bare & Untilled Kv= 10.0 fps
3.9	325	0.0190	1.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
0.0	007	0.0440	4.40		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps
3.3	237	0.0140	1.18		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
0.0	20	0 4 4 7 0	2.02		Nearly Bare & Untilled KV= 10.0 fps
0.2	30	0.1470	3.83		Sharly Pore & Untilled Ky= 10.0 fpc
					Nearly Dare & Ununed NV- 10.0 lps

21.8 1,034 Total



#### Subcatchment 1: DA-1

#### Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow /	Area	=	367,231 sf,	3.11% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth >	4.93'	' for 10	00-yr event
Inflow		=	31.75 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	150,871 c	f		
Primar	у	=	31.75 cfs @	12.30 hrs,	Volume=	150,871 c	f, Att	en= 0%,	Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



#### Link DP-1: Wetland



# **B**.2 PROPOSED HYDROCAD ANALYSIS



Proposed Conditions Knight Street Prepared by Wood HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

#### Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(sq-ft)		(subcatchment-numbers)
31	98	Ballast Block,Unconnected pavement, HSG D (1)
5,969	98	Ballast Blocks, Unconnected pavement, HSG A (1)
1,111	98	Equipment Pad, Unconnected pavement, HSG A (1)
14	96	Gravel surface, HSG D, Gravel Pad (1)
193,573	30	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG A (1)
68,138	78	Meadow, non-grazed, HSG D (1)
7,318	98	Paved roads w/curbs & sewers, HSG A (1)
4,115	98	Paved roads w/curbs & sewers, HSG D (1)
2,626	76	Pervious Pavemnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A (1)
10,466	76	Pervious Pavemnt, 6"Crushed stone access (1)
64,749	32	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG A (1)
9,121	79	Woods/grass comb., Good, HSG D (1)
367,231	46	TOTAL AREA

### Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(sq-ft)	Group	Numbers
275,346	HSG A	1
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
81,419	HSG D	1
10,466	Other	1
367,231		TOTAL AREA

Proposed Conditions Knight Street Prepared by Wood HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC

Printed 9/9/2021 Page 4

HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground
(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	Cover
0	0	0	31	0	31	Ballast
						Block,Unconnecte
						d pavement
5,969	0	0	0	0	5,969	Ballast Blocks,
						Unconnected
						pavement
1,111	0	0	0	0	1,111	Equipment
						Pad,Unconnected
						pavement
0	0	0	14	0	14	Gravel surface
193,573	0	0	68,138	0	261,711	Meadow,
						non-grazed
7,318	0	0	4,115	0	11,433	Paved roads
						w/curbs & sewers
2,626	0	0	0	0	2,626	Pervious
						Pavemnt, 6"
						Gravel Pad
0	0	0	0	10,466	10,466	Pervious
						Pavemnt,
						6"Crushed stone
						access
64,749	0	0	9,121	0	73,870	Woods/grass
						comb., Good
275,346	0	0	81,419	10,466	367,231	TOTAL AREA

Ground Covers (all nodes)

Proposed Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr
Prepared by Wood	
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LL	C

24-hr 1-yr Rainfall=2.70" Printed 9/9/2021 Page 5

Time span=5.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 5.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.01" Flow Length=1,013' Tc=35.8 min UI Adjusted CN=45 Runoff=0.01 cfs 160 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=0.01 cfs 160 cf Primary=0.01 cfs 160 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 160 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.01" 94.95% Pervious = 348,687 sf 5.05% Impervious = 18,544 sf

#### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 0.01 cfs @ 23.35 hrs, Volume= 160 cf, Depth= 0.01"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 1-yr Rainfall=2.70"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN /	Adj Desc	cription							
		64,749	32	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG A						
		7,318	98	Pave	ed roads w/	/curbs & sewers, HSG A						
	1	93,573	30	Mea	leadow, non-grazed, HSG A							
		9,121	79	Woo	/oods/grass comb., Good, HSG D							
		4,115	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG D						
		68,138	78	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG D						
*		10,466	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6"Crushed stone access						
*		1,111	98	Equi	pment Pad	,Unconnected pavement, HSG A						
*		5,969	98	Balla	ist Blocks,	Unconnected pavement, HSG A						
*		31	98	Balla	ist Block,Ui	nconnected pavement, HSG D						
*		2,626	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A						
*		14	96	Grav	<u>el surface,</u>	HSG D, Gravel Pad						
	3	67,231	46	45 Weig	phted Avera	age, UI Adjusted						
	3	48,687		94.9	5% Perviou	is Area						
		18,544		5.05	% Impervio	bus Area						
		7,111		38.3	5% Unconr	nected						
	-		~		<b>o</b> ''							
		Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description						
_	(min)	(teet)	(π/π)	(Tt/sec)	(CIS)							
	13.4	100	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, AB						
	4.0	0.5	0.0400	0 77		Grass: Short $n = 0.150 P2 = 3.00^{\circ}$						
	1.8	85	0.0120	0.77		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC						
	40.0	000	0 0000	0.00		Short Grass Pasture KV= 7.0 fps						
	10.0	230	0.0030	0.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD						
	E C	205	0.0100	0.06		Short Grass Pasture KV= 7.0 lps						
	0.0	325	0.0190	0.90		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE						
	10	227	0 0140	0 02		Shollow Concentrated Flow FE						
	4.0	237	0.0140	0.85		Short Grass Pasture, Ky= 7.0 fps						
	02	36	0 1/170	2.68		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG						
	0.2	50	0.1470	2.00		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps						
						$\frac{1}{10}$						

35.8 1,013 Total

#### Hydrograph 0.009 Runoff 0.01 cfs 0.009 Type III 24-hr 0.008-0.007 1-yr Rainfall=2.70" 0.007 Runoff Area=367,231 sf 0.006 0.006 Runoff Volume=160 cf 0.005 (c) 0.005 0.004 0.004 Runoff Depth=0.01" Flow Length=1,013' 0.003 Tc=35.8 min 0.003 UI Adjusted CN=45 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.001 0.000 0-10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 6 7 28 29 5 8 9 30 Time (hours)

### Subcatchment 1: DA-1
# Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow Ar	ea =	367,231 sf,	5.05% Imperv	vious,	Inflow Depth =	0.01"	for 1-yr ev	ent
Inflow	=	0.01 cfs @ 2	23.35 hrs, Volu	ume=	160 c	f		
Primary	=	0.01 cfs @ 2	23.35 hrs, Volu	ume=	160 c	f, Atten	= 0%, Lag=	= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Wetland

Proposed Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"
Prepared by Wood	Printed 9/9/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LL	.C Page 9

Time span=5.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 5.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.06" Flow Length=1,013' Tc=35.8 min UI Adjusted CN=45 Runoff=0.06 cfs 1,713 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=0.06 cfs 1,713 cf Primary=0.06 cfs 1,713 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 1,713 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.06" 94.95% Pervious = 348,687 sf 5.05% Impervious = 18,544 sf

### Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 0.06 cfs @ 15.57 hrs, Volume= 1,713 cf, Depth= 0.06"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.30"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN /	Adj Desc	cription	
		64,749	32	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG A
		7,318	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG A
	1	93,573	30	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG A
		9,121	79	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG D
		4,115	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG D
		68,138	78	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG D
*		10,466	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6"Crushed stone access
*		1,111	98	Equi	pment Pad	,Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		5,969	98	Balla	ist Blocks,	Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		31	98	Balla	ist Block,Ui	nconnected pavement, HSG D
*		2,626	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A
*		14	96	Grav	<u>el surface,</u>	HSG D, Gravel Pad
	3	67,231	46	45 Weig	phted Avera	age, UI Adjusted
	3	48,687		94.9	5% Perviou	is Area
		18,544		5.05	% Impervio	us Area
		7,111		38.3	5% Unconr	nected
	т.	ما المربع من الم	01	Mala sites	<b>O</b> a m a site s	Description
	IC (min)	Length (foot)			Capacity	Description
	(11111)	(ieet)			(CIS)	
	13.4	100	0.0100	0.12		Sneet Flow, AB
	10	05	0.0100	0.77		Grass: Short h= 0.150 P2= 3.00
	1.0	00	0.0120	0.77		Shart Cross Desture Ky= 7.0 fps
	10.0	220	0 0030	0.29		Shollow Concentrated Flow CD
	10.0	230	0.0030	0.50		Short Grace Posture, Ky= 7.0 fpc
	56	325	0 0100	0.06		Shallow Concentrated Flow DE
	0.0	525	0.0130	0.50		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
	48	237	0 0140	0.83		Shallow Concentrated Flow FF
	4.0	201	0.0140	0.00		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
	02	36	0 1470	2 68		Shallow Concentrated Flow, FG
	0.2	00	5.1170	2.00		Short Grass Pasture Kv= 7.0 fps
_						

35.8 1,013 Total



# Subcatchment 1: DA-1

# Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow /	Area	=	367,231 sf,	5.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.0	06" for 2-yr event
Inflow		=	0.06 cfs @	15.57 hrs, Volume=	1,713 cf	-
Primary	у	=	0.06 cfs @	15.57 hrs, Volume=	1,713 cf, /	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Wetland

Proposed Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	10-yr Rainfall=4.80"
Prepared by Wood		Printed 9/9/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions	s LLC	Page 13

Time span=5.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 5.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.38" Flow Length=1,013' Tc=35.8 min UI Adjusted CN=45 Runoff=0.90 cfs 11,648 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=0.90 cfs 11,648 cf Primary=0.90 cfs 11,648 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 11,648 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.38" 94.95% Pervious = 348,687 sf 5.05% Impervious = 18,544 sf

# Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 0.90 cfs @ 12.77 hrs, Volume= 11,648 cf, Depth= 0.38"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=4.80"

	A	rea (sf)	CN /	Adj Desc	cription	
		64,749	32	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG A
		7,318	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG A
	1	93,573	30	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG A
		9,121	79	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG D
		4,115	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG D
		68,138	78	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG D
*		10,466	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6"Crushed stone access
*		1,111	98	Equi	pment Pad	,Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		5,969	98	Balla	ist Blocks,	Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		31	98	Balla	ist Block,Ui	nconnected pavement, HSG D
*		2,626	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A
*		14	96	Grav	<u>el surface,</u>	HSG D, Gravel Pad
	3	67,231	46	45 Weig	phted Avera	age, UI Adjusted
	3	48,687		94.9	5% Perviou	is Area
		18,544		5.05	% Impervio	us Area
		7,111		38.3	5% Unconr	nected
	-				<b>O</b>	
		Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description
	(min)			(It/sec)	(CIS)	
	13.4	100	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, AB
	4.0	0.5	0.0400	0 77		Grass: Short $n = 0.150 P2 = 3.00^{\circ}$
	1.8	85	0.0120	0.77		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
	40.0	000	0 0000	0.00		Short Grass Pasture KV= 7.0 fps
	10.0	230	0.0030	0.38		Shallow Concentrated Flow, CD
	FC	205	0.0400	0.00		Short Grass Pasture KV= 7.0 fps
	0.0	325	0.0190	0.90		Shallow Concentrated Flow, DE
	10	007	0.0140	0.02		Shollow Concentrated Flow FF
	4.0	237	0.0140	0.03		Shallow Concentrated Flow, EF
	0.2	26	0 1 1 7 0	2 69		Shollow Concentrated Flow FC
	0.2	30	0.1470	2.00		Shart Grass Dasture Ky= 7.0 fps
						011011 01233 F 231110 1117 1.0 1p3

35.8 1,013 Total



# Subcatchment 1: DA-1

# Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow A	Area =	367,231 sf,	5.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.38"	for 10-yr event
Inflow	=	0.90 cfs @	12.77 hrs, Volume=	11,648 cf	
Primary	/ =	0.90 cfs @	12.77 hrs, Volume=	11,648 cf, Atte	en= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Wetland

Proposed Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	25-yr Rainf	all=6.20"
Prepared by Wood		Printed	9/9/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solution	ns LLC		Page 17

Time span=5.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 5.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.88" Flow Length=1,013' Tc=35.8 min UI Adjusted CN=45 Runoff=3.15 cfs 27,014 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=3.15 cfs 27,014 cf Primary=3.15 cfs 27,014 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 27,014 cf Average Runoff Depth = 0.88" 94.95% Pervious = 348,687 sf 5.05% Impervious = 18,544 sf

# Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 3.15 cfs @ 12.65 hrs, Volume= 27,014 cf, Depth= 0.88"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.20"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN /	Adj Desc	cription	
		64,749	32	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG A
		7,318	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG A
	1	93,573	30	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG A
		9,121	79	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG D
		4,115	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG D
		68,138	78	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG D
*		10,466	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6"Crushed stone access
*		1,111	98	Equi	pment Pad	,Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		5,969	98	Balla	ast Blocks,	Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		31	98	Balla	ast Block,Ui	nconnected pavement, HSG D
*		2,626	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A
*		14	96	Grav	<u>el surface,</u>	HSG D, Gravel Pad
	3	67,231	46	45 Weig	ghted Avera	age, UI Adjusted
	3	48,687		94.9	5% Perviou	is Area
		18,544		5.05	% Impervio	us Area
		7,111		38.3	5% Unconr	nected
	т.	1	01	Mala site :	0	Description
	IC (min)	Length		velocity	Capacity	Description
_		(leet)			(CIS)	
	13.4	100	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, AB
	4.0	05	0 04 00	0.77		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00°
	1.8	80	0.0120	0.77		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
	10.0	220	0 0020	0.20		Shollow Concentrated Flow CD
	10.0	230	0.0030	0.30		Sharlow Concentrated Flow, CD
	56	225	0 0100	0.06		Shollow Concentrated Flow DE
	5.0	325	0.0190	0.90		Short Grass Pasture, Ky= 7.0 fps
	18	237	0 0140	0.83		Shallow Concentrated Flow EF
	4.0	201	0.0140	0.05		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
	02	36	0 1470	2 68		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG
	0.2	00	0.1770	2.00		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps

35.8 1,013 Total



# Subcatchment 1: DA-1

# Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow A	rea =	367,231 sf,	5.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 0.88"	for 25-yr event
Inflow	=	3.15 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume=	27,014 cf	
Primary	=	3.15 cfs @	12.65 hrs, Volume=	27,014 cf, Atter	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Wetland

Proposed Conditions Knight Street	Type III 24-hr	100-yr Rainfall=8.70"
Prepared by Wood		Printed 9/9/2021
HydroCAD® 10.00-24 s/n 04780 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solution	ns LLC	Page 21

Time span=5.00-30.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 501 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

> Runoff Area=367,231 sf 5.05% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.12" Flow Length=1,013' Tc=35.8 min UI Adjusted CN=45 Runoff=9.57 cfs 64,810 cf

Link DP-1: Wetland

Subcatchment1: DA-1

Inflow=9.57 cfs 64,810 cf Primary=9.57 cfs 64,810 cf

Total Runoff Area = 367,231 sf Runoff Volume = 64,810 cf Average Runoff Depth = 2.12" 94.95% Pervious = 348,687 sf 5.05% Impervious = 18,544 sf

# Summary for Subcatchment 1: DA-1

Runoff = 9.57 cfs @ 12.57 hrs, Volume= 64,810 cf, Depth= 2.12"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type III 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=8.70"

_	A	rea (sf)	CN /	Adj Desc	cription	
		64,749	32	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG A
		7,318	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG A
	1	93,573	30	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG A
		9,121	79	Woo	ds/grass co	omb., Good, HSG D
		4,115	98	Pave	ed roads w/	curbs & sewers, HSG D
		68,138	78	Mea	dow, non-g	razed, HSG D
*		10,466	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6"Crushed stone access
*		1,111	98	Equi	pment Pad	,Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		5,969	98	Balla	ast Blocks,	Unconnected pavement, HSG A
*		31	98	Balla	ast Block,Ui	nconnected pavement, HSG D
*		2,626	76	Perv	ious Paven	nnt, 6" Gravel Pad, HSG A
*		14	96	Grav	<u>el surface,</u>	HSG D, Gravel Pad
	3	67,231	46	45 Weig	ghted Avera	age, UI Adjusted
	3	48,687		94.9	5% Perviou	is Area
		18,544		5.05	% Impervio	us Area
		7,111		38.3	5% Unconr	nected
	т.	1	01	Mala site :	0	Description
	IC (min)	Length		velocity	Capacity	Description
_		(leet)			(CIS)	
	13.4	100	0.0100	0.12		Sheet Flow, AB
	4.0	05	0 04 00	0.77		Grass: Short n= 0.150 P2= 3.00°
	1.8	80	0.0120	0.77		Shallow Concentrated Flow, BC
	10.0	220	0 0020	0.20		Shollow Concentrated Flow CD
	10.0	230	0.0030	0.30		Sharlow Concentrated Flow, CD
	56	225	0 0100	0.06		Shollow Concentrated Flow DE
	5.0	325	0.0190	0.90		Short Grass Pasture, Ky= 7.0 fps
	18	237	0 0140	0.83		Shallow Concentrated Flow EF
	4.0	201	0.0140	0.05		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps
	02	36	0 1470	2 68		Shallow Concentrated Flow FG
	0.2	00	0.1770	2.00		Short Grass Pasture Ky= 7.0 fps

35.8 1,013 Total



# Subcatchment 1: DA-1

# Summary for Link DP-1: Wetland

Inflow /	Area	=	367,231 sf,	5.05% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 2.	12" for 100-yr event
Inflow		=	9.57 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	64,810 cf	
Primar	у	=	9.57 cfs @	12.57 hrs, Volume=	64,810 cf,	Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 5.00-30.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs



### Link DP-1: Wetland



C EXISTING AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE FIGURES



		115	
			۰.
		WSP US, 275 PROMENADE S PROVIDENCE RHOU WEB: WWW.WC	A, INC. FREET, SUITE 100 DE ISLAND, 02908 DODPLC.COM
			5-9240
EXISTING LEGEND			GA
—— PL —— PL ——	- SITE PROPERTY LINE		
			CR
ZO ZO	- ZONING SETBACK		
x x x x x x			
	- GAS UTILITY		
			ICTION
	WETLAND LINE WITH FLAG AND ID		NSTRU
	- 50' WETLAND BUFFER		
	- DIRT DRIVEWAY		/ NOT F
	UTILITY POLE MONITORING WELL		PERMI
	SHRUBS		D FOR
<b>•_ G</b> AP 274	ROCKS AND BOULDERS		ISSUE
LOT 204 ZONE AE			
ZONE X	(LOCATED AT 28' ELEVATION)		
	APPROXIMATE LIMIT OF WASTE		/2021
ZONE: LI ZONE: OS	WARWICK ZONING BOUNDARY WITH ID		06/28
PROPOSED LEGEND			0
<i>S</i>	UTILITY POLE		
	SOLAR ARRAY		S
			ΥSI
			IAL
	GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD	D-M EET ISLA	AN
	CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE 4	DUNI STR DE I	ШIJ
	- FENCE LINE (8)	GR0 DEVI NHT RHO	ANI
-000	<ul> <li>FENCE LINE WITH PRIVACY SLATS</li> </ul>	CK, L DC	RA
EC EC	- UNDERGROUND CONDUIT	AR P275 P	
OHE OHE	- OVERHEAD ELECTRIC LINE	8.40 SOI	Ň
— TC — TC — TC —		66	_SIX
— LOD LOD —	- SEDIMENT BARRIER	JECT:	щ <b>Ш</b>
	AREA OF PROPOSED ZONE CHANGE	РВС	
		<i>с</i>	~
Δ		ST.	5
			Q
Bu			()
Ku	SUL MAP UNIT ID	LA , AD BD MD C MD C MD C MD C MD C MD C MD C	
2	SUBCATCHMENT	FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO FO F	
		50 RT	<b>H</b>
			>
DP-1	DESIGN POINT	о sfal	
		DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:
		CR CHECKED BY:	BEG SCALE:
		GAA PROJECT NUMBER	AS SHOWN
0' 2  uuluuluuluu		DRAWING NUMBER:	3652200299
1" = 50'	WHEN PLOTTED ON 24" x 36" SHEET	D	4-1

SHEET NUMBER:

1 OF 2



		WSP US 275 PROMENADE S PROVIDENCE RHO WEB: WWW.WG (401) 64	A, INC. TREET, SUITE 100 DE ISLAND, 02908 DODPLC.COM 8-0240
			0-9240
EXISTING LEGEND			A CVED
<u> </u>	MAJOR CONTOUR		
	MINOR CONTOUR		
PL PL			
	OFF-SITE PROPERTY LINE		CH CH
zo zo	ZONING SETBACK		
	FENCE LINE		
s	SANITARY SEWER UTILITY		
	WATER UTILITY		NO
	STORM DRAIN UTILITY		IRUCT
A17	WETLAND LINE WITH FLAG AND ID		
	50' WEILAND BUFFER		FOR (
	TREE LINE		
Careford and a second	SCRUB LINE		USING STREET
			ERMI
	SHRUBS		FORF
•. 00	ROCKS AND BOULDERS		SUED
AP 274 LOT 204	PARCEL ID		<u> </u>
ZONE AE	FEMA 100-YR FLOOD LINE WITH ZONE ID		
ZONE X	(LOCATED AT 28' ELEVATION)		
	APPROXIMATE LIMIT OF WASTE		2021
ZONE: LI			06/28/
ZONE: OS	WARWICK ZONING BOUNDARY WITH ID		2
			0
,Ω,			(
	SOLAR ARRAY C-501		Sic
	EQUIPMENT PAD	Ę	
	$\overline{2}$		٩N
	GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD	PME SLA	A ∐
	CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE $\begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ C-501 \end{pmatrix}$		Q
			Ž
	FENCE LINE WITH PRIVACY SLATS		RA
		Х С С К Р П С	
		10 K 0LA 27: ARV	)EI
— TC — TC — TC —		98.4 SC	Ő
LOD LOD	LIMIT OF DISTURBANCE	<b>б</b>	OF OF
—SB—SB—SB—SB—SB—	SEDIMENT BARRIER	JJECT	щ <b>С</b>
	AREA OF PROPOSED ZONE CHANGE	РВС	ТІТ
	FROM ZONE LI TO ZONE GI		
A –	SUBCATCHMENT AREA	⊢ 100	Y
A B	TIME OF CONCENTRATION	S OO	(7)
	FLOW DIRECTION		$\varkappa$
Ru	SOIL MAP UNIT ID		
			R
	SUBCATCHMENT		
		50 BT	
			>
DP-1	DESIGN POINT	CLI	
		SEAL:	
		<b>BFO FO</b>	<b>DD</b> 1/1/1/-
		DESIGNED BY: CR	DRAWN BY: BEG
		CHECKED BY: GAA	SCALE: AS SHOWN
	F01 7F1	PROJECT NUMBER:	

**DA-2** 

2 OF 2

DRAWING NUMBER:

SHEET NUMBER:

3652200299

24" x 36" SHEET



D BALLAST BLOCK AND GRAVEL PAD AREA CALCULATIONS

### **Ballas Block Calculations:**

Ballast Block Length	= 7.75 ft.
Ballast Block Width	= 4 ft.
Ballast Block Area	= 7.75 * 4 = 31 sq.ft.
Ballast Block Count	= 192
Total Ballast Block Area	= 31 sq. ft * 192 = <b>5,952 sq.ft</b> .

Actual ballast block length and width may change; Ballast block area shall not exceed 6,000 sq.ft. An area of 6,000 sq.ft is used in the HydroCAD analysis.

### **Gravel Ballast Block Pads:**

Gravel Pads are approximately 8.75 ft. X 5 ft. which provides 6" perimeter skirt around the ballast block. See Detail 5 on Sheet C-501 of the Site Plans.

Gravel Pad Area for Sides along length of Ballast Block	= (0.5 ft. * 8.75 ft) x 2 sides = 8.75 sq. ft.
Gravel Pad Area for Sides along width of Ballast Block	= (0.5 ft. * 5 ft) x 2 sides = 5 sq. ft.
Gravel Pad Area per Pad	= 5 sq.ft. +8.75 sq. ft. = 13.75 sq.ft.
Gravel Pad Count	= 192
Total Gravel Pad Area	= 13.75 sq. ft * 192 = <b>2,640 sq.ft.</b>

# ATTACHMENT

SOIL EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN

# Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan

# For:

Proposed Solar Development Project

Knight Street Plat 275 Lot 38 & 52 Warwick, RI

Owner:	Sunshine Properties, LLC 181 Knight Street Warwick, Rhode Island 02886		
Operator:	VCP, LLC 150 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103 (860) 288-7215 info@verogy.com		
Estimated Project	Start Date: TBD		
Dates:	Completion Date: TBD		
SESC Plan Prepared By:	WSP USA Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc. c/o Andrew Vardakis, PE 100 Apollo Drive St. Suite 302 Chelmsford, MA 01824 Phone: 1.978.483.6771 Email Address: <u>Andrew.Vardakis@wsp.com</u> RI Registered Professional Engineer: License 12219		
SESC Plan Preparation Date:	June 2021		
SESC Plan Revision Date:	December 2022		

# **OWNER CERTIFICATION**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under the direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. I am aware that it is the responsibility of the site owner and operator to implement and amend the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as appropriate in accordance with the requirements of the RIPDES Construction General Permit.

Owner Signature:

Date

Owner Name: Sunshine Properties, LLC Address: 181 Knight Street, Warwick, Rhode Island, 02886 Phone Number: Email Address:

# **OPERATOR CERTIFICATION**

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under the direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete.

I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations. I am aware that it is the responsibility of the owner/operator to implement and amend the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan as appropriate in accordance with the requirements of the RIPDES Construction General Permit.

# Operator Signature:

Date

Contractor Representative: Contractor Title: Developer Contractor Company Name: VCP, LLC Address: 150 Trumbull Street Hartford, CT 06103 Phone Number: (860) 288-7215 Email Address: info@verogy.com

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

OWNE	R CERTIFICATION	ii
OPERA	ATOR CERTIFICATION	iii
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	iv
INTRO		6
ADDITI	ONAL RESOURCES	7
SECTIO	ON 1: SITE DESCRIPTION	8
1.1	Project/Site Information	8
1.2	Receiving Waters	8
1.3	Natural Heritage Area Information	8
1.4	Historic Preservation/Cultural Resources	9
1.5	Site Features and Constraints	9
SECTIO	DN 2: EROSION, RUNOFF, AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	10
2.1	Avoid and Protect Sensitive Areas and Natural Features	10
2.2	Minimize Area of Disturbance	10
2.3	Minimize the Disturbance of Steep Slopes	11
2.4	Preserve Topsoil	11
2.5	Stabilize Soils	11
2.6	Protect Storm Drain Outlets	12
2.7	Establish Temporary Controls for the Protection of Post-Construction	
Storn	nwater Treatment Practices	13
2.8	Divert or Manage Run-on from Up-gradient Areas	13
2.9	Retain Sediment Onsite through Structural and Non-Structural Practices	13
2.10	Properly Design Constructed Stormwater Conveyance Channels	15
2.11	Erosion, Runoff, and Sediment Control Measure List	15
SECTIO	ON 3: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY POLLUTION PREVENTION	17
3.1	Existing Data of Known Discharges from Site	17
3.2	Prohibited Discharges	17
3.3	Proper Waste Disposal	18
3.4	Spill Prevention and Control	18
3.5	Control of Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges	18
3.6	Control Dewatering Practices	19
3.7	Establish Proper Building Material Staging Areas	19
3.8	Minimize Dust	20
3.9	Designate Washout Areas	20
3.10	Establish Proper Equipment/Vehicle Fueling and Maintenance Practices	20
3.11	Chemical Treatment for Erosion and Sediment Control	20
3.12	Construction Activity Pollution Prevention Control Measure List	22
SECTIO	ON 4: CONTROL MEASURE INSTALLATION, INSPECTION, and	
MAINTE		23
4.1	Installation	23
4.2	Monitoring Weather Conditions	23
4.3	Inspections	23
4.4	Maintenance	24

### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project Knight Street, Warwick, RI

4.5 Corrective Actions	25
SECTION 5: AMENDMENTS	
SECTION 6: RECORDKEEPING	27
SECTION 7: PARTY CERTIFICATIONS	
LIST OF ATTACHMENTS	

# INTRODUCTION

This Construction Site Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (SESC Plan) has been prepared for VCP, LLC for the Proposed Solar Development Project on Knight Street, Warwick, Rhode Island. In accordance with the RIDEM Rhode Island Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (RIPDES) General Permit for Stormwater Discharge Associated with Construction Activity (RIPDES Construction General Permit ("CGP")), projects that disturb one (1) or more acres require the preparation of a SESC Plan. This SESC Plan provides guidance for complying with the terms and conditions of the RIPDES Construction General Permit and Minimum Standard 10 of the RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual. In addition, this SESC Plan is also consistent with Part D of the *RI SESC Handbook* entitled "Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plans". This document does not negate or eliminate the need to understand and adhere to all applicable RIPDES regulations.

The purpose of erosion, runoff, and sedimentation control measures is to prevent pollutants from leaving the construction site and entering waterways or environmentally sensitive areas during and after construction. This SESC Plan has been prepared prior to the initiation of construction activities to address anticipated worksite conditions. The control measures depicted on the site plan and described in this narrative should be considered the minimum measures required to control erosion, sedimentation, and stormwater runoff at the site. Since construction is a dynamic process with changing site conditions, it is the operator's responsibility to manage the site during each construction phase so as to prevent pollutants from leaving the site. This may require the operator to revise and amend the SESC Plan during construction to address varying site and/or weather conditions, such as by adding or realigning erosion or sediment controls to ensure the SESC Plan remains compliant with the RIPDES Construction General Permit. Records of these changes must be added to the amendment log attached to the SESC Plan, and to the site plans as "red-lined" drawings. Please Note: Even if practices are correctly installed on a site according to the approved plan, the site is only in compliance when erosion, runoff, and sedimentation are effectively controlled throughout the entire site.

It is the responsibility of the site owner and the site operator to maintain the SESC Plan at the site, including all attachments, amendments and inspection records, and to make all records available for inspection by RIDEM during and after construction. (RIPDES CGP - Part III.G)

The site owner, the site operator, and the designated site inspector are required to review the SESC Plan and sign the Party Certification pages (Section 8). The primary contractor (if different) and all subcontractors (if applicable) involved in earthwork or exterior construction activities are also required to review the SESC Plan and sign the certification pages before construction begins.

Any questions regarding the SESC Plan, control measures, inspection requirements, or any other facet of this document may be addressed to the RIDEM Office of Water Resources, at 401-222-4700 or via email: <u>water@dem.ri.gov</u>.

Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project Knight Street, Warwick, RI

# ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Rhode Island Department of Environmental Management Office of Water Resources 235 Promenade Street Providence, RI 02908-5767 phone: 401-222-4700 email: water@dem.ri.gov

RIDEM <u>*RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual*</u> (RISDISM) (as amended) <u>http://www.dem.state.ri.us/programs/benviron/water/permits/ripdes/stwater/t4guide/desman.htm</u>

<u>*RI Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook*</u> <u>http://www.dem.state.ri.us/soilerosion2014final.pdf</u>

RIDEM 2013 RIPDES Construction General Permit http://www.dem.ri.gov/pubs/regs/regs/water/ripdesca.pdf

Rhode Island Department of Transportation <u>Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge</u> <u>Design and Other Specifications</u> and <u>Standard Details</u> <u>http://www.dot.ri.gov/business/bluebook.php</u>

RIDEM Office of Water Resources Coordinated Stormwater Permitting website <a href="http://www.dem.state.ri.us/programs/benviron/water/permits/swcoord/index.htm">http://www.dem.state.ri.us/programs/benviron/water/permits/swcoord/index.htm</a>

RIDEM RIPDES Stormwater website <a href="http://www.dem.state.ri.us/programs/benviron/water/permits/ripdes/stwater/index.htm">http://www.dem.state.ri.us/programs/benviron/water/permits/ripdes/stwater/index.htm</a>

RIDEM Water Quality website (for 303(d) and TMDL listings) http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/quality/index.htm

RIDEM Rhode Island Natural Heritage Program http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/bpoladm/plandev/heritage/index.htm

RIDEM Geographic Data Viewer – Environmental Resource Map <a href="http://www.dem.ri.gov/maps/index.htm">http://www.dem.ri.gov/maps/index.htm</a>

Natural Resources Conservation Service - Rhode Island Soil Survey Program http://www.ri.nrcs.usda.gov/technical/soils.html

EPA NPDES – Stormwater Discharges from Construction Activities webpage: <u>http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/npdes/stormwater/Stormwater-Discharges-From-Construction-Activities.cfm</u>

EPA Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control BMP Menu http://water.epa.gov/polwaste/npdes/swbmp/Construction-Site-Stormwater-Run-Off-Control.cfm

# **SECTION 1: SITE DESCRIPTION**

### 1.1 Project/Site Information

Project/Site Name:

- Proposed Solar Development Project.
- The proposed solar facility (the "Site" or the "Project") will be located on the property defined by the City of Warwick as Assessor's Plat (AP) 275 Lots 38 and 52 (16.3± acres).
- Under proposed conditions, earthwork operations will be required to remediate the site with an engineered cap. With the exception of crushed stone access roads and an equipment pad, the entire site will be loamed and seeded and maintained as meadow grass for the life of the project.

Project Street/Location:

- Knight Street, Warwick, RI
- See Attachment A for Site Location Map.

The following are estimates of the construction site area:

- Total Project Area
   16.3 ± acres
- Total Project Area to be Disturbed 3.9± acres

### 1.2 Receiving Waters

RIPDES CGP - Parts IV.A.7 & IV.A.8

List/description of separate storm sewer systems or drainage systems that may be impacted during construction and the water bodies that receive discharges from each storm sewer or drainage system:

• Runoff from the project flows overland and into existing wetland areas associated with Pawtuxet River. There are no closed drainage systems down-gradient of the project.

List/description of receiving waters that may be impacted during construction:

- Pawtuxet River Main Stem
- (Water Body ID: RI0006017R-03)

Are any of the receiving waters in the vicinity of the proposed construction project listed as being impaired or subject to a TMDL?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

If yes, List/provide description of 303(d)/TMDL waters and applicable TMDL requirements that must be addressed during construction:

• Total Phosphorous, non-native aquatic plants, mercury in fish tissue, enterococcus.

### 1.3 Natural Heritage Area Information

RIPDES CGP - Part III.H

Are there any Natural Heritage Areas being disturbed by the construction activity or will discharges be directed to the Natural Heritage Area as a result of the construction activity?

#### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project Knight Street, Warwick, RI

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

If yes, describe or refer to documentation which determines the likelihood of an impact on this area and the steps that will be taken to address any impacts.

### 1.4 Historic Preservation/Cultural Resources

Are there any historic properties, historic cemeteries or cultural resources on or near the construction site?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

Describe how this determination was made and summarize state or tribal review comments:

Based on RIDEM's Environmental Resource Map, there no Natural Heritage Areas located within the project site.

If yes, describe or refer to documentation which determines the likelihood of an impact on this historic property, historic cemetery or cultural resource and the steps taken to address that impact including any conditions or mitigation measures that were approved by other parties.

• No work is proposed within the limits of historic resources.

### 1.5 Site Features and Constraints

List All Site Constraints and Sensitive Areas that require avoidance and protection through the implementation of control measures:

• There are no other known site constrains or sensitive areas.

# SECTION 2: EROSION, RUNOFF, AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

RIPDES Construction General Permit – Part III.J.1

The purpose of <u>erosion controls</u> is to prevent sediment from being detached and moved by wind or the action of raindrop, sheet, rill, gully, and channel erosion. Properly installed and maintained erosion controls are the primary defense against sediment pollution.

<u>Runoff controls</u> are used to slow the velocity of concentrated water flows. By intercepting and diverting stormwater runoff to a stabilized outlet or treatment practice or by converting concentrated flows to sheet flow erosion and sedimentation are reduced.

<u>Sediment controls</u> are the last line of defense against moving sediment. The purpose is to prevent sediment from leaving the construction site and entering environmentally sensitive areas.

This section describes the set of control measures that will be installed before and during the construction project to avoid, mitigate, and reduce impacts associated with construction activity. Specific control measures and their applicability are contained in <u>Section Four: Erosion Control Measures</u>, <u>Section Five:</u> <u>Runoff Control Measures</u>, and <u>Section Six: Sediment Control Measures</u> of the *RI SESC Handbook*. The *RI SESC Handbook* can be found at the following address:

http://www.dem.ri.gov/soilerosion2014final.pdf.

#### 2.1 Avoid and Protect Sensitive Areas and Natural Features

Areas of existing and remaining vegetation and areas that are to be protected as identified in the Section 1.6 of the SESC Plan must be clearly identified on the SESC Site Plans for each Phase of Construction. Prior to any land disturbance activities commencing on the site, the Contractor shall physically mark limits of disturbance (LOD) on the site and any areas to be protected within the site, so that workers can clearly identify the areas to be protected.

Feature Requiring Protection	Construction Phase #	Method of Protection	Sheet #
Down Gradient	All	Straw wattle	C-101

#### 2.2 Minimize Area of Disturbance

Will >5 acres be disturbed in order to complete this project?

🛛 Yes 🛛 No

Will <5 acres be disturbed or will disturbance activities be completed within a six (6) month window?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

- Due to the size of the project area, the earthwork and final stabilization (loam and seed) may take more than one construction season to complete;
- Due to the size of the project area, the installation of the solar development components will take more than one construction season to complete. The goal will be to complete the solar installation in one construction season.

Based on the answers to the above questions will phasing be required for this project?

### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project Knight Street, Warwick, RI 2.3 Minimize the Disturbance of Steep Slopes

Are steep slopes (>15%) present within the proposed project area?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

### 2.4 Preserve Topsoil

Site owners and operators must preserve existing topsoil on the construction site to the maximum extent feasible and as necessary to support healthy vegetation, promote soil stabilization, and increase stormwater infiltration rates in the post-construction phase of the project.

Will existing topsoil be preserved at the site?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

- Topsoil will be stockpiled and re-used for vegetative stabilization on the solar development project. Once final grades have been established, the loam stockpiles across the site and seeded with meadow grass.
- Soil compaction must be minimized by maintaining limits of disturbance throughout construction. In instances where site soils are compacted the site owner and operator must restore infiltration capacity of the compacted soils by tilling or scarifying compacted soils and amending soils as necessary to ensure a minimum depth of topsoil is available in these areas. In areas where infiltrating stormwater treatment practices are located compacted soils must be amended such that they will comply the design infiltration rates established in the *RI Stormwater Design and Installation Standards Manual*.
- Notes for erosion controls and infiltration areas are located on Drawing C-501 and C-502. Site grading and installation of the solar array are anticipated to be installed with tracked, low ground-pressure equipment, such as bulldozers, excavators, or skid steer.
- In areas of site soiling capping, existing topsoil will not be preserved (it will be capped).

### 2.5 Stabilize Soils

Upon completion and acceptance of site preparation and initial installation of erosion, runoff, and sediment controls and temporary pollution prevention measures, the operator shall initiate appropriate temporary or permanent stabilization practices during all phases of construction on all disturbed areas as soon as possible, but not more than fourteen (14) days after the construction activity in that area has temporarily or permanently ceased.

Any disturbed areas that will not have active construction activity occurring within 14 days must be stabilized using the control measures depicted in the SESC Site Plans, in accordance with the *RI SESC Handbook*, and per manufacturer product specifications.

Only areas that can be reasonably expected to have active construction work being performed within 14 days of disturbance will be cleared/grubbed at any one time. It is NOT acceptable to clear and grub the entire construction site if portions will not be active within the 14-day time frame. Proper phasing of clearing and grubbing activities shall include temporary stabilization techniques for areas cleared and grubbed that will not be active within the 14-day time frame.

All disturbed soils exposed prior to October 15 of any calendar year shall be seeded by that date if vegetative measures are the intended soil stabilization method. Any such areas that do not have adequate vegetative stabilization, as determined by the site operator or designated inspector, by November 15, must be stabilized through the use of non-vegetative erosion control measures. If work continues within any of these areas during the period from October 15 through April 15, care must be taken to ensure that only the area required for that day's work is exposed, and all erodible soil must be restabilized within 5 working days. In limited circumstances, stabilization may not be required if the

### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project

### Knight Street, Warwick, RI

intended function of a specific area of the site necessitates that it remain disturbed (i.e. construction of a motocross track).

#### Temporary Vegetative Control Measures

• Because the project is anticipated to be completed in one construction season, no temporary vegetative control measures are proposed for the Project. Should land disturbing activities extend beyond one season, temporary vegetative measures may be installed as warranted.

#### Temporary Non-Vegetative Control Measures

- Straw wattle shall be installed and maintained where shown on the Drawings.
- Prior to starting any earthwork operations, Straw wattle shall be installed along the limit-ofdisturbance, down-gradient of the project.
- Accumulated sediment shall be removed when its depth reaches 50% of the height of the wattle. This sediment control structure will remain in place until vegetation is established. Established means approximately 85% (as determined by construction inspector) of the area is vegetated with vigorous growth.
- A stabilized construction entrance shall be installed as shown on the Drawings. This entrance shall remain in place for the duration of the project and will be removed within 30 days after final site stabilization is achieved or after temporary BMP's are no longer needed.
- Erosion control matting shall be installed in other areas of erosion concern if necessary.

#### Permanent Vegetative Control Measures

 Upon completion of construction, all areas previously disturbed shall be permanently vegetated with loam and seed (with the exception of the proposed crushed stone access road) per the Rhode Island Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook (RI SESC). These areas will be closely monitored by the contractor until such time as a satisfactory growth of vegetation is established. Satisfactory growth shall mean approximately 85% of the area is vegetated with vigorous growth.

#### Permanent Non-Vegetative Control Measures

• Erosion control matting shall be installed in other areas of erosion concern if necessary.

### 2.6 Protect Storm Drain Outlets

Temporary or permanent outlet protection must be used to prevent scour and erosion at discharge points through the protection of the soil surface, reduction in discharge velocities, and through the promotion of infiltration. Outlets often have high velocity, high volume flows, and require strong materials that will withstand the forces of stormwater. Storm drain outlet control measures also offer a last line of protection against sediment entering environmentally sensitive areas.

All stormwater outlets that may discharge sediment-laden stormwater flow from the construction site must be protected using the control practices depicted on the approved plan set and in accordance with the *RI* SESC Handbook.

Will temporary or permanent point source discharges be generated at the site as the result of construction of sediment traps or basins, diversions, and conveyance channels?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

#### Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan Proposed Solar Development Project Knight Street, Warwick, RI

### 2.7 Establish Temporary Controls for the Protection of Post-Construction Stormwater Treatment Practices

Temporary measures shall be installed to protect permanent or long-term stormwater control and treatment measures as they are installed and throughout the construction phase of the project so that they will function properly when they are brought online.

Will long-term stormwater treatment practices be installed at the site?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

• Long-term stormwater treatment practices include pervious pavement (crushed stone access drive).

### 2.8 Divert or Manage Run-on from Up-gradient Areas

Is stormwater from off-site areas anticipated to flow onto the project area or onto areas where soils will be disturbed?



Structural control measures will be used to limit stormwater flow from coming onto the project area, and to divert and slow on-site stormwater flow that is expected to impact exposed soils for the purpose of minimizing erosion, runoff, and the discharge of pollutants from the site.

Control measures shall be installed as depicted on the approved plan set and in accordance with the <i>RI</i> SESC Handbook or the <i>RI Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge</i> Construction. <b>Run-on and Run-off Management</b>				
Construction Phase #	On-site or Off-site Run-on?	Control measure	ldentified on Sheet #	Detail(s) is/are on Sheet #
All	On-site	Straw wattle	C-101	C-502

• There is run-on from the project site to the wetland. This flow is accounted for in the proposed stormwater management system design.

### 2.9 Retain Sediment Onsite through Structural and Non-Structural Practices

**SEDIMENT BARRIERS** must be installed along the perimeter areas of the site that will receive stormwater from disturbed areas. This also may include the use of sediment barriers along the contour of disturbed slopes to maintain sheet flow and minimize rill and gully erosion during construction. Installation and maintenance of sediment barriers must be completed in accordance with the maintenance requirements specified by the product manufacturer or the *RI SESC Handbook*.

Will sediment barriers be utilized at the toe of slopes and other downgradient areas subject to stormwater impacts and erosion during construction?

- 🛛 Yes 🛛 🗋 No
  - As noted in previous sections of this SESC plan, Straw wattle will be installed along the downgradient portions of the project limits as shown on the Drawings. Straw wattle will remain in place until vegetation is well established.
Will sediment barriers be utilized along the contour of slopes to maintain sheet flow and minimize rill and gully erosion during construction?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

SEDIMENT BARRIERS								
Construction Phase #	Sediment Barrier Type	Sediment Barrier is Labeled on Sheet #	Detail is on Sheet #					
All	Straw wattle	C-101	C-502					

**INLET PROTECTION** will be utilized to prevent soil and debris from entering storm drain inlets. These measures are usually temporary and are implemented before a site is disturbed. ALL stormwater inlets &/or catch basins that are operational during construction and have the potential to receive sediment-laden stormwater flow from the construction site must be protected using control measures outlined in the *RI SESC Handbook*.

For more information on inlet protection refer to the *RI SESC Handbook*, Inlet Protection control measure.

#### Maintenance

The operator must clean, or remove and replace the inlet protection measures as sediment accumulates, the filter becomes clogged, and/or as performance is compromised. Accumulated sediment adjacent to the inlet protection measures should be removed by the end of the same work day in which it is found or by the end of the following work day if removal by the same work day is not feasible.

Do inlets exist adjacent to or within the project area that require temporary protection?

🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

• There are no storm drain inlets down-gradient in the vicinity of the project that require inlet protection.

**CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE** will be used in conjunction with the stabilization of the construction road to reduce the amount of sediment tracking off the project. This project has avoided placing the construction entrance on poorly drained soils where possible.

Any construction site access point must employ the control measures on the approved SESC site plans and in accordance with the *RI SESC Handbook*. Construction entrances shall be used in conjunction with the stabilization of construction roads to reduce the amount of mud picked up by construction vehicles. All construction access roads shall be constructed prior to any roadway accepting construction traffic.

The site owner and operator must:

- 1. Restrict vehicle use to properly designated exit points.
- 2. Use properly designed and constructed construction entrances at all points that exit onto paved roads so that sediment removal occurs prior to vehicle exit.
- 3. When and where necessary, use additional controls to remove sediment from vehicle tires prior to exit (i.e. wheel washing racks, rumble strips, and rattle plates).
- 4. Where sediment has been tracked out from the construction site onto the surface of off-site streets, other paved areas, and sidewalks, the deposited sediment must be removed by the end of the same work day in which the track out occurs. Track-out must be removed by sweeping, shoveling, or vacuuming these surfaces, or by using other similarly effective means of sediment removal.

Will construction entrances be utilized at the proposed construction site?

🛛 Yes 🗌 No

CONSTRUCTION ENTRANCE								
Construction Phase #	Soil Type at the Entrance	Entrance is located on Sheet #	Detail is on Sheet #					
All	Stone	C-101	C-502					

**STOCKPILE CONTAINMENT** will be used onsite to minimize or eliminate the discharge of soil, topsoil, base material or rubble, from entering drainage systems or surface waters. All stockpiles must be located within the limit of disturbance, protected from run-on with the use of temporary sediment barriers and provided with cover or stabilization to avoid contact with precipitation and wind where and when practical.

Stock pile management consists of procedures and practices designed to minimize or eliminate the discharge of stockpiled material (soil, topsoil, base material, rubble) from entering drainage systems or surface waters.

For any stockpiles or land clearing debris composed, in whole or in part, of sediment or soil, you must comply with the following requirements:

- 1. Locate piles within the designated limits of disturbance.
- 2. Protect from contact with stormwater (including run-on) using a temporary perimeter sediment barrier.
- 3. Where practicable, provide cover or appropriate temporary vegetative or structural stabilization to avoid direct contact with precipitation or to minimize sediment discharge.
- 4. <u>NEVER</u> hose down or sweep soil or sediment accumulated on pavement or other impervious surfaces into any stormwater conveyance, storm drain inlet, or surface water.
- 5. To the maximum extent practicable, contain and securely protect from wind.

#### 2.10 Properly Design Constructed Stormwater Conveyance Channels

Are temporary stormwater conveyance practices required in order to properly manage runoff within the proposed construction project?

- - No temporary stormwater conveyance is proposed for this site.

#### 2.11 Erosion, Runoff, and Sediment Control Measure List

It is expected that this table and corresponding Inspection Reports will be amended as needed throughout the construction project as control measures are added or modified.

All Phases				
Location/Station	Control Measure Description/Reference	Maintenance Requirement		

ſ

Along down-gradient limit-of-disturbance/site perimeter	Straw wattle (RI SESC Handbook, Section Six: Sediment Control Measures)	Accumulated sediment shall be removed when its depth reaches 50% of the height of the sediment barrier. Damaged sediment barriers shall be replaced as required.
Site entrance	Stabilized Construction Entrance (RI SESC Handbook, Section Six: Sediment Control Measures)	The entrance shall be maintained in a condition which will prevent tracking or flowing of sediment onto pave surfaces. Provide periodic top dressing with additional stone or additional length as conditions demand. Periodic inspection and required maintenance shall be provided after each rain event. If maintenance alone is not enough to prevent excessive track out, increase length of entrance, modify construction access road surface, or install washrack or mudrack.

# SECTION 3: CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITY POLLUTION PREVENTION

The purpose of construction activity pollution prevention is to prevent day to day construction activities from causing pollution.

This section describes the key pollution prevention measures that must be implemented to avoid and reduce the discharge of pollutants in stormwater. Example control measures include the proper management of waste, material handling and storage, and equipment/vehicle fueling/washing/maintenance operations.

Where applicable, include *RI SESC Handbook* or the *RI Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Road and Bridge Construction* (as amended) specifications.

#### 3.1 Existing Data of Known Discharges from Site

Are there known discharges from the project area?

Describe how this determination was made:

• Per discussions between the Operator and the Property Owner and from visual observation of the site.

If yes, list discharges and locations:

• Not applicable

Is there existing data on the quality of the known discharges?

If yes, provide data:

• Not applicable

#### 3.2 Prohibited Discharges

The following discharges are prohibited at the construction site:

- Contaminated groundwater, unless specifically authorized by the DEM. These types of discharges may only be authorized under a separate DEM RIPDES permit.
- Wastewater from washout of concrete, unless the discharge is contained and managed by appropriate control measures.
- Wastewater from washout and cleanout of stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds, and other construction materials.
- Fuels, oils, or other pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance. Proper storage and spill prevention practices must be utilized at all construction sites.
- Soaps or solvents used in vehicle and equipment washing.
- Phosphate-based fertilizers
- Fungicides and pesticides
- Toxic or hazardous substances from a spill or other release.

All types of waste generated at the site shall be disposed of in a manner consistent with State Law and/or regulations.

Will any of the above listed prohibited discharges be generated at the site?

□ Yes 🛛 No

• No washing will occur on-site and vehicles will not be stored or maintained on-site; therefore, no prohibited discharge control measures are required.

#### 3.3 Proper Waste Disposal

Building materials and other construction site wastes must be properly managed and disposed of in a manner consistent with State Law and/or regulations.

- A waste collection area shall be designated on the site that does not receive a substantial amount of runoff from upland areas and does not drain directly to a waterbody or storm drain.
- All waste containers shall be covered to avoid contact with wind and precipitation.
- Waste collection shall be scheduled frequently enough to prevent containers from overfilling.
- All construction site wastes shall be collected, removed, and disposed of in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements and only at authorized disposal sites.
- Equipment and containers shall be checked for leaks, corrosion, support or foundation failure, or other signs of deterioration. Those that are found to be defective shall be immediately repaired or replaced.

Is waste disposal a significant element of the proposed project?

 Waste disposal is anticipated to be minimal in the form of boxes and shipping materials for the solar PV materials; dumpsters will be provided throughout construction for disposal of shipping materials and dumpsters materials will be removed and disposed in accordance with all applicable state and federal regulations.

#### 3.4 Spill Prevention and Control

All chemicals and/or hazardous waste material must be stored properly and legally in covered areas, with containment systems constructed in or around the storage areas. Areas must be designated for materials delivery and storage. All areas where potential spills can occur and their accompanying drainage points must be described. The owner and operator must establish spill prevention and control measures to reduce the chance of spills, stop the source of spills, contain and clean-up spills, and dispose of materials contaminated by spills. The operator must establish and make highly visible location(s) for the storage of spill prevention and control equipment and provide training for personnel responsible for spill prevention and control on the construction site.

Are spill prevention and control measures required for this particular project?

• No chemicals or hazardous waste material will be used in the installation of the solar PV array; therefore, no spill prevention and control plan is required.

#### 3.5 Control of Allowable Non-Stormwater Discharges

Are there allowable non-Stormwater discharges present on or near the project area?

Are there any known or proposed contaminated discharges, including anticipated contaminated dewatering operations, planned on or near the project area?

#### 🗌 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

#### 3.6 Control Dewatering Practices

Site owners and operators are prohibited from discharging groundwater or accumulated stormwater that is removed from excavations, trenches, foundations, vaults, or other similar points of accumulation, unless such waters are first effectively managed by appropriate control measures.

Examples of appropriate control measures include, but are not limited to, temporary sediment basins or sediment traps, sediment socks, dewatering tanks and bags, or filtration systems (e.g. bag or sand filters) that are designed to remove sediment. Uncontaminated, non-turbid dewatering water can be discharged without being routed to a control.

At a minimum the following discharge requirements must be met for dewatering activities:

- 1. Do not discharge visible floating solids or foam.
- 2. To the extent feasible, utilize vegetated, upland areas of the site to infiltrate dewatering water before discharge. In no case will surface waters be considered part of the treatment area.
- 3. At all points where dewatering water is discharged, utilize velocity dissipation devices.
- 4. With filter backwash water, either haul it away for disposal or return it to the beginning of the treatment process.
- 5. Replace and clean the filter media used in dewatering devices when the pressure differential equals or exceeds the manufacturer's specifications.
- 6. Dewatering practices must involve the implementation of appropriate control measures as applicable (i.e. containment areas for dewatering earth materials, portable sediment tanks and bags, pumping settling basins, and pump intake protection.)

Is it at all likely that the site operator will need to implement construction dewatering in order to complete the proposed project?

• It is not expected that any construction dewatering will be required for the project due to a relatively deep seasonal high groundwater table.

#### 3.7 Establish Proper Building Material Staging Areas

All construction materials that have the potential to contaminate stormwater must be stored properly and legally in covered areas, with containment systems constructed in or around the storage areas. Areas must be designated for materials delivery and storage. Designated areas shall be approved by the site owner/engineer. Minimization of exposure is not required in cases where the exposure to precipitation and to stormwater will not result in the discharge of pollutants, or where exposure of a specific material or product poses little risk of stormwater contamination (such as final products and materials intended for outdoor use).

• Construction materials expected to be stored on-site are steel racking materials and solar panel modules. The potential for stormwater contamination due to material exposure is not anticipated.

#### 3.8 Minimize Dust

Dust control procedures and practices shall be used to suppress dust on a construction site during the construction process, as applicable. Precipitation, temperature, humidity, wind velocity and direction will determine amount and frequency of applications. However, the best method of controlling dust is to prevent dust production. This can best be accomplished by limiting the amount of bare soil exposed at one time. Dust Control measures outlined in the *RI SESC Handbook* shall be followed. Other dust control methods include watering, chemical application, surface roughening, wind barriers, walls, and covers.

• Dust will be controlled by scheduling construction activities so that a minimum of disturbed soil is exposed at one time. Dust shall be controlled on construction routes and other disturbed areas subject to surface dust movement and dust blowing. Dust control methods shall include vegetative cover, mulch (including gravel mulch), water sprinkling, stone, and barriers.

#### 3.9 Designate Washout Areas

At no time shall any material (concrete, paint, chemicals) be washed into storm drains, open ditches, streets, streams, wetlands, or any environmentally sensitive area. The site operator must ensure that construction waste is properly disposed of, to avoid exposure to precipitation, at the end of each working day.

Will washout areas be required for the proposed project?

⊠ Yes □ No

• The use of any paint, chemicals, or any other pollutants that would require washout on-site are not anticipated. One concrete pad and concrete ballasts for the solar racking are proposed. No vehicles will be washed on-site.

#### 3.10 Establish Proper Equipment/Vehicle Fueling and Maintenance Practices

Vehicle fueling shall not take place within regulated wetlands or buffer zone areas, or within 50-feet of the storm drain system. Designated areas shall be depicted on the SESC Site Plans, or shall be approved by the site owner.

Vehicle maintenance and washing shall occur off-site, or in designated areas depicted on the SESC Site Plans or approved of by the site owner. Maintenance or washing areas shall not be within regulated wetlands or buffer zone areas, or within 50-feet of the storm drain system. Maintenance areas shall be clearly designated, and barriers shall be used around the perimeter of the maintenance area to prevent stormwater contamination.

Construction vehicles shall be inspected frequently for leaks. Repairs shall take place immediately. Disposal of all used oil, antifreeze, solvents and other automotive-related chemicals shall be according to applicable regulations; at no time shall any material be washed down the storm drain or in to any environmentally sensitive area.

• Vehicles that require to be fueled or maintained on-site shall contain spill kits. All fueling activity in the vicinity of wetland setbacks shall be avoided.

#### 3.11 Chemical Treatment for Erosion and Sediment Control

Chemical stabilizers, polymers, and flocculants are readily available on the market and can be easily applied to construction sites for the purposes of enhancing the control of erosion, runoff, and sedimentation. The following guidelines should be adhered to for construction sites that plan to use treatment chemicals as part of their overall erosion, runoff, and sedimentation control strategy.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has conducted research into the relative toxicity of chemicals commonly used for the treatment of construction stormwater discharges. The research conducted by the

EPA focused on different formulations of chitosan, a cationic compound, and both cationic and anionic polyacrylamide (PAM). In summary, the studies found significant toxicity resulting from the use of chitosan and cationic PAM in laboratory conditions, and significantly less toxicity associated with using anionic PAM. EPA's research has led to the conclusion that the use of treatment chemicals for erosion, runoff, and sedimentation control requires proper operator training and appropriate usage to avoid risk to aquatic species. In the case of cationic treatment chemicals additional safeguards may be necessary.

#### Application/Installation Minimum Requirements

If a site operator plans to use polymers, flocculants, or other treatment chemicals during construction the SESC plan must address the following:

- 1. <u>Treatment chemicals shall not be applied directly to or within 100 feet of any surface water body.</u> wetland, or storm drain inlet.
- 2. <u>Use conventional erosion, runoff, and sedimentation controls prior to and after the application of treatment chemicals.</u> Use conventional erosion, runoff, and sedimentation controls prior to chemical addition to ensure effective treatment. Chemicals may only be applied where treated stormwater is directed to a sediment control (e.g. temporary sediment basin, temporary sediment trap or sediment barrier) prior to discharge.
- 3. <u>Sites shall be stabilized as soon as possible using conventional measures to minimize the need</u> to use chemical treatment.
- 4. <u>Select appropriate treatment chemicals.</u> Chemicals must be selected that are appropriately suited to the types of soils likely to be exposed during construction and to the expected turbidity, pH, and flow rate of stormwater flowing into the chemical treatment system or treatment area. Soil testing is essential. Using the wrong form of chemical treatment will result in some form of performance failure and unnecessary environmental risk.
- 5. <u>Minimize discharge risk from stored chemicals.</u> Store all treatment chemicals in leak-proof containers that are kept under storm-resistant cover and surrounded by secondary containment structures (e.g., spill berms, decks, spill containment pallets), or provide equivalent measures, designed and maintained to minimize the potential discharge of treatment chemicals in stormwater or by any other means (e.g., storing chemicals in covered areas or having a spill kit available on site).
- 6. <u>Use chemicals in accordance with good engineering practices and specifications of the chemical provider/supplier.</u> You must also use treatment chemicals and chemical treatment systems in accordance with good engineering practices, and with dosing specifications and sediment removal design specifications provided by the supplier of the applicable chemicals, or document specific departures from these practices or specifications and how they reflect good engineering practice.

Will chemical stabilizers, polymers, flocculants or other treatment chemicals be utilized on the proposed construction project?

🗆 Yes 🛛 🖾 No

#### Treatment Chemical SESC Plan Weekly Inspection Report Documentation Requirements

- 1. Document the type and quantity of treatment chemicals applied.
- 2. List the date, duration of discharge, and estimated discharge rate.
- 3. Provide an estimate of the volume of water treated.

4. Provide an estimate of the concentration of treatment chemicals in the discharge, with supporting calculations.

#### 3.12 Construction Activity Pollution Prevention Control Measure List

It is expected that this table will be amended as needed throughout the construction project.

	All Phases							
Location/Station	Control Measure Description/Reference	Maintenance Requirement						
Concrete ballasts, footings, and equipment pads – SESC Plan C-6	Prefabricated Concrete Washout Container with Ramp. Used to contain concrete washout during concrete pouring operations. (RI SESC Handbook Section Three: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping, Concrete Washouts)	Verify that concrete washout container(s) are in place prior to pouring concrete. Inspect daily to verify continued proper performance. Check remaining capacity during pouring operations. Check for leaks periodically.						
Staging Area	Waste Management (RI SESC Handbook Section Three: Pollution Prevention and Good Housekeeping, Concrete Washouts)	All waste containers will be covered to avoid contact with wind and precipitation. Waste collection will be scheduled frequently enough to prevent containers from overfilling. All construction site wastes will be collected, removed, and disposed of in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements and only at authorized disposal sites. Inspect storage and use areas and identify containers or equipment that could malfunction and cause leaks or spills. Check equipment and containers for leaks, corrosion, support or foundation failure, or other signs of deterioration, and test them for soundness. Immediately repair or replace any that are found to be defective.						

# SECTION 4: CONTROL MEASURE INSTALLATION, INSPECTION, and MAINTENANCE

#### 4.1 Installation

Complete the installation of temporary erosion, runoff, sediment, and pollution prevention control measures by the time each phase of earth-disturbance has begun. All stormwater control measures must be installed in accordance with good judgment, including applicable design and manufacturer specifications. Installation techniques and maintenance requirements may be found in manufacturer specifications and/or the *RI SESC Handbook*.

- Straw wattle shall be installed at locations specified on the Drawings prior to earthwork activities.
- While not anticipated, erosion control matting, if required, shall have the ends of matting stapled with at least 6" of material overlap.

#### 4.2 Monitoring Weather Conditions

<u>Anticipating Weather Events</u> - Care will be taken to the best of the operator's ability to avoid disturbing large areas prior to anticipated precipitation events. Weather forecasts must be routinely checked, and in the case of an expected precipitation event of over 0.25-inches over a 24-hour period, it is highly recommended that all control measures should be evaluated and maintained as necessary, prior to the weather event. In the case of an extreme weather forecast (greater than one-inch of rain over a 24-hour period), additional erosion/sediment controls may need to be installed.

<u>Storm Event Monitoring For Inspections</u> - At a minimum, storm events must be monitored and tracked in order to determine when post-storm event inspections must be conducted. Inspections must be conducted and documented at least once every seven (7) calendar days and within twenty-four (24) hours after any storm event, which generates at least 0.25 inches of rainfall per twenty-four (24) hour period and/or after a significant amount of runoff or snowmelt.

The weather gauge station and website that will be utilized to monitor weather conditions on the construction site is as follows:

• The Warwick, RI weather gauge station, Greenwood Proper 18 Station, shall be used via the Weather Underground website (wunderground.com) to monitor weather conditions at the site.

#### 4.3 Inspections

<u>Minimum Frequency</u> - Each of the following areas must be inspected by or under the supervision of the owner and operator at least once every seven (7) calendar days and within twenty-four (24) hours after any storm event, which generates at least 0.25 inches of rainfall per twenty-four (24) hour period and/or after a significant amount of runoff or snowmelt:

- a. All areas that have been cleared, graded, or excavated and where permanent stabilization has not been achieved;
- b. All stormwater erosion, runoff, and sediment control measures (including pollution prevention control measures) installed at the site;
- c. Construction material, unstabilized soil stockpiles, waste, borrow, or equipment storage, and maintenance areas that are covered by this permit and are exposed to precipitation;

- d. All areas where stormwater typically flows within the site, including temporary drainage ways designed to divert, convey, and/or treat stormwater;
- e. All points of discharge from the site;
- f. All locations where temporary soil stabilization measures have been implemented;
- g. All locations where vehicles enter or exit the site.

<u>Reductions in Inspection Frequency</u> - If earth disturbing activities are suspended due to frozen conditions, inspections may be reduced to a frequency of once per month. The owner and operator must document the beginning and ending dates of these periods in an inspection report.

<u>Qualified Personnel</u> – The site owner and operator are responsible for designating personnel to conduct inspections and for ensuring that the personnel who are responsible for conducting the inspections are "qualified" to do so. A "qualified person" is a person knowledgeable in the principles and practices of erosion, runoff, sediment, and pollution prevention controls, who possesses the skills to assess conditions at the construction site that could impact stormwater quality, and the skills to assess the effectiveness of any stormwater controls selected and installed to meet the requirements of the permit.

<u>Recordkeeping Requirements</u> - All records of inspections, including records of maintenance and corrective actions must be maintained with the SESC Plan. Inspection records must include the date and time of the inspection, and the inspector's name, signature, and contact information.

#### General Notes

- <u>A separate inspection report will be prepared for each inspection</u>.
- Inspection The Reference Number shall а combination of the • he Construction General RIPDES Permit No consecutively numbered inspections. ex: Inspection reference number for the 4<sup>th</sup> inspection of a project would be: RIR10####-4
- Each report will be signed and dated by the Inspector and must be kept onsite.
- Each report will be signed and dated by the Site Operator.
- <u>The corrective action log contained in each inspection report must be completed, signed, and dated by the site operator once all necessary repairs have been completed.</u>
- It is the responsibility of the site operator to maintain a copy of the SESC Plan, copies of <u>all</u> completed inspection reports, and amendments as part of the SESC Plan documentation <u>at the site during construction</u>.

Failure to make and provide documentation of inspections and corrective actions under this part constitutes a violation of your permit and enforcement actions under 46-12 of R.I. General Laws may result.

#### 4.4 Maintenance

Maintenance procedures for erosion and sedimentation controls and stormwater management structures/facilities are described on the SESC Site Plans and in the *RI SESC Handbook*.

Site owners and operators must ensure that all erosion, runoff, sediment, and pollution prevention controls remain in effective operating condition and are protected from activities that would reduce their effectiveness. Erosion, runoff, sedimentation, and pollution prevention control measures must be maintained throughout the course of the project.

Note: It is recommended that the site operator designates a full-time, on-site contact person responsible for working with the site owner to resolve SESC Plan-related issues.

#### 4.5 Corrective Actions

If, in the opinion of the designated site inspector, corrective action is required, the inspector shall note it on the inspection report and shall inform the site operator that corrective action is necessary. The site operator must make all necessary repairs whenever maintenance of any of the control measures instituted at the site is required.

In accordance with the *RI SESC Handbook*, the site operator shall initiate work to fix the problem immediately after its discovery, and complete such work by the close of the next work day, if the problem does not require significant repair or replacement, or if the problem can be corrected through routine maintenance.

When installation of a new control or a significant repair is needed, site owners and operators must ensure that the new or modified control measure is installed and made operational by no later than seven (7) calendar days from the time of discovery where feasible. If it is infeasible to complete the installation or repair within seven (7) calendar days, the reasons why it is infeasible must be documented in the SESC Plan along with the schedule for installing the control measures and making it operational as soon as practicable after the 7-day timeframe. Such documentation of these maintenance procedures and timeframes should be described in the inspection report in which the issue was first documented. If these actions result in changes to any of the control measures outlined in the SESC Plan, site owners and operators must also modify the SESC Plan accordingly within seven (7) calendar days of completing this work.

### **SECTION 5: AMENDMENTS**

This SESC Plan is intended to be a working document. It is expected that amendments will be required throughout the active construction phase of the project. Even if practices are installed on a site according to the approved plan, the site is only in compliance when erosion, runoff, and sedimentation are effectively controlled throughout the entire site for the entire duration of the project.

The SESC Plan shall be amended within seven (7) days whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, maintenance or other procedure which has a significant effect on the potential for the discharge of pollutants, or if the SESC Plan proves to be ineffective in achieving its objectives (i.e. the selected control measures are not effective in controlling erosion or sedimentation).

In addition, the SESC Plan shall be amended to identify any new operator that will implement a component of the SESC Plan.

All revisions must be recorded in the Record of Amendments Log Sheet, which is contained in Attachment G of this SESC Plan and dated red-lined drawings and/or a detailed written description must be appended to the SESC Plan. Inspection Forms must be revised to reflect all amendments. Update the Revision Date and the Version # in the footer of the Report to reflect amendments made.

All SESC Plan Amendments, except minor non-technical revisions, must be approved by the site owner and operator. Any amendments to control measures that involve the practice of engineering must be reviewed, signed, and stamped by a Professional Engineer registered in the State of RI.

The amended SESC plan must be kept on file <u>at the site</u> while construction is ongoing and any modifications must be documented.

Attach a copy of the Amendment Log.

### **SECTION 6: RECORDKEEPING**

#### RIPDES Construction General Permit – Parts III.D, III.G, III.J.3.b.iii, & V.O

It is the site owner and site operator's responsibility to have the following documents available at the construction site and immediately available for RIDEM review upon request:

- A copy of the fully signed and dated SESC Plan, which includes:
  - A copy of the Site Location Maps INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT A
  - A copy of all SESC Site Plans INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT B
  - A copy of the RIPDES Construction General Permit (Operator copy only) INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT C
  - A copy of any regulatory permits (RIDEM Freshwater Wetlands Permit, CRMC Assent, RIDEM Water Quality Certification, RIDEM Groundwater Discharge Permit, RIDEM RIPDES Construction General Permit authorization letter, etc.) (*Not Applicable*) INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT D
  - The signed and certified NOI form or permit application form *(Not Applicable)* INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT E
  - Completed Inspection Reports w/Completed Corrective Action Logs INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT F
  - SESC Plan Amendment Log INCLUDED AS ATTACHMENT G

## **SECTION 7: PARTY CERTIFICATIONS**

#### **RIPDES Construction General Permit – Part V.G**

All parties working at the project site are required to comply with the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (SESC Plan including SESC Site Plans) for any work that is performed on-site. The site owner, site operator, contractors and sub-contractors are encouraged to advise all employees working on this project of the requirements of the SESC Plan. A copy of the SESC Plan may be obtained by contacting the site owner or site operator.

The site owner and site operator and each subcontractor engaged in activities at the construction site that could impact stormwater must be identified and sign the following certification statement.

#### I acknowledge that I have read and understand the terms and conditions of the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) Plan for the above designated project and agree to follow the control measures described in the SESC Plan and SESC Site Plans.

Site Owner: Sunshine Properties, LLC 181 Knight Street signature/date Warwick, RI, 02886 Site Operator: VCP, LLC. 150 Trumbull Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Hartford, CT 06103 signature/date (860) 288-7215 info@verogy.com **Designated Site Inspector:** Insert Company or Organization Name Insert Name & Title Insert Address Insert City, State, Zip Code signature/date Insert Telephone Number, Insert Fax/Email Subcontractor SESC Plan Contact: Insert Company or Organization Name Insert Name & Title Insert Address Insert City, State, Zip Code signature/date Insert Telephone Number, Insert Fax/Email

#### LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

- Attachment A Site Location Maps
- Attachment B SESC Site Plans
- Attachment C Copy of RIPDES Construction General Permit and Authorization to Discharge (Operator copy only)
- Attachment D Copy of Other Regulatory Permits (Not Applicable)
- Attachment E Copy of RIPDES NOI
- Attachment F Inspection Reports w/ Corrective Action Log
- Attachment G SESC Plan Amendment Log

> ATTACHMENT A SITE LOCATION MAP



H:\Verogy\Warwick\_RI\Task1\MXD\Fig1\_AerialMap.mxd\_May 03, 2021\_DWN: ilana.ton CHKD: CMR

#### ATTACHMENT B SESC SITE PLANS

(See Permitting Set)

#### ATTACHMENT C COPY OF RIPDES CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PERMIT AND AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE (Operator copy only)

Link: http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/water/permits/ripdes/stormwater/construction.php

ATTACHMENT D COPY OF OTHER REGULATORY PERMITS (Not Applicable)

> ATTACHMENT E COPY OF RIPDES NOI

#### ATTACHMENT F INSPECTION REPORTS W/ CORRECTIVE ACTION LOG

#### **SESC Plan Inspection Report**

Project Information						
Name						
Location						
DEM Permit No.						
Site Owner		Name		Phone		Email
Site Operator		Name		Phone		Email
Inspection Information						
Inspector Name		Name		Phone		Email
Inspection Date				Start/End	l Time	
Inspection Type Weekly	Pre-st	torm event	During sto	rm event	Post-storm event	Other
			Weath	er Informa	tion	
Last Rain Event Date:	Last Rain Event Date: Duration (hrs): Approximate Rainfall (in):					
Rain Gauge Location & Source:						
Weather at time of this inspection:						

#### Check statement that applies then sign and date below:

□ I, as the designated Inspector, certify that this site has been inspected as required by regulation and I have determined that maintenance and corrective actions are not required at this time.

□ I, as the designated Inspector, certify that this site has been inspected as required by regulation and I have made the determination that the site requires corrective actions. The required corrective actions are noted within this inspection report.

Inspector:	Print Name	Signature	Date			
The Site Operator acknowledges by his/her signature, the receipt of this SESC Plan inspection report and its findings. He/she acknowledges that all recommended corrective actions must be completed and documentation of all such corrective actions must be made in this inspection report per applicable regulations.						
Operator:	Print Name	Signature	Date			

#### Site-specific Control Measures

Number the structural and non-structural stormwater control measures identified in the SESC Plan and on the SESC Site Plans and list them below (add as necessary). Bring a copy of this inspection form and any applicable SESC Site Plans with you during your inspections. This list will assist you to inspect all control measures at your site.

	Location/Station	Control Measure Description	Installed & Operating Properly?	Assoc. Photo/ Figure #	Corrective Action Needed (Yes or No; if 'Yes', please detail action required)
1	Perimeter	Straw Wattle, Compost Tubes. Section Six, Sediment Control Measures, Straw Wattles, Compost Tubes and Fiber Rolls – RI SESC Handbook.	□Yes □No		
2	Construction Entrance	Stone Stabilized Pad. Section Six: Sediment Control Measures – Construction Entrances – RI SESC Handbook.	□Yes □No		
3	Attention Operator:	You must modify this inspection form as the project progresses, control measure locations change, and amendments to the SESC Plan are instituted in the field.	□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		
			□Yes □No		

SESC Plan Inspection Report

Location/Station	Control Measure Description	Installed & Operating	Assoc. Photo/	Corrective Action Needed (Yes or No; if 'Yes', please
		Properly?	Figure #	detail action required)
		□Yes □No		
		□Yes □No		
		□Yes □No		
		Yes No		
		□Yes □No		

(add more as necessary)

#### **General Site Issues**

Below are some general site issues that should be assessed during inspections. Please **customize** this list as needed for conditions at the site.

	Compliance Question			Assoc. Photo/ Figure #	Corrective Action Needed (If 'Yes', please detail action required and include location/station)
1	Have all control measures been installed as specified in the RISESC Handbook and prior to any earth disturbing activities?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
2	Are appropriate limits of disturbance (LOD) established?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
3	Are controls that limit runoff from exposed soils by diverting, retaining, or detaining flows (such as check dams, sediment basins, etc.) in place?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
4	Are all temporary conveyance practices installed correctly and functioning as designed?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
5	Has maintenance been performed as required to ensure continued proper function of all temporary conveyances practices?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
6	Were all exposed soils seeded by October 15 <sup>th</sup> ?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
7	Have soils been stabilized where earth disturbance activities have permanently or temporarily ceased on any portion of the site and will not resume for more than 14 days?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
8	In instances where adequate vegetative stabilization was not established by November 15 <sup>th</sup> , have non-vegetative erosion control measures must be employed?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
9	If work is to continue from October 15 <sup>th</sup> through April 15 <sup>th</sup> , are steps taken to ensure that only the day's work area will be exposed and all erodible soil is stabilized within 5 working days?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
10	Have inlet protection measures (such as fabric drop inlet protection, curb drop inlet protection, etc.) been properly installed?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
11	Has the operator cleaned and maintained inlet protection measures when needed?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		
12	Has the operator removed accumulated sediment adjacent to inlet protection measures within 24 hours of detection?	□Yes □ □ N/A	No		

SESC Plan Inspection Report

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_

	Compliance Question			Assoc. Photo/ Figure #	Corrective Action Needed (If 'Yes', please detail action required and include location/station)
13	Has the operator properly installed outlet protection (such as riprap, turf mats, etc.) at all temporary and permanent discharge points?	□Yes □ N/A	□No	<b>V</b>	
14	Are all outlet protection measures functioning properly in order to reduce discharge velocity, promote infiltration, and eliminate scour?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
15	Have all discharge points been inspected to ensure the prevention of scouring and channel erosion?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
16	Have sediment controls been installed along perimeter areas that will receive stormwater from earth disturbing activities?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
17	Is the operator maintaining sediment controls in accordance with the requirements in the <i>RI SESC</i> <i>Handbook</i> ?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
18	Have temporary sediment barriers been installed around permanent infiltration areas (such as bioretention areas, infiltration basins, etc.)?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
19	Have staging areas and equipment routing been implemented to avoid compaction where permanent infiltration areas will be located?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
20	Are surface outlet structures (such as skimmers, siphons, etc.) installed for each temporary sediment basin? [Exception: frozen conditions]	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
21	Have all temporary sediment basins or traps been inspected and maintained as required to ensure proper function?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
22	Does the project include the use of polymers, flocculants, or other chemicals to control erosion, sedimentation, or runoff from the site?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
23	Are all chemicals being managed in accordance with Appendix J of the <i>RISESC Handbook</i> and current best management practices?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
24	Has the site operator taken steps to <b>prohibit</b> the following pollutant discharges on the site?				
а	Contaminated groundwater.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		

	Compliance Question			Assoc. Photo/ Figure #	Corrective Action Needed (If 'Yes', please detail action required and include location/station)
b	Wastewater from washout of concrete; unless properly contained, managed, and disposed of.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
с	Wastewater from washout and cleanout of stucco, paint, form release oils, curing compounds, and other construction products.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
d	Fuels, oils, or other pollutants used in vehicle and equipment operation and maintenance.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
е	Soaps or solvents used in vehicle and equipment washing.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
f	Toxic or hazardous substances from a spill or other release.	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
25	Is the operator using properly constructed entrances/exits to the site so sediment removal occurs prior to vehicles exiting?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
26	If needed, are additional controls (such as rumble strips, rattle plates, etc.) in place to remove sediment from tires prior to exiting?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
27	Is sediment track-out being removed by the end of the same workday in which it occurs (via sweeping, shoveling, or vacuuming)?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
28	Are all wastes generated at the site being managed and properly disposed of by the end of each workday?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
29	Are all chemicals and hazardous waste materials stored properly in covered areas and surrounded by containment control systems?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
30	Has the operator established highly visible locations for the storage of spill prevention and control equipment on the construction site?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
31	Are allowable non-stormwater discharges being managed properly with adequate controls?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
32	Is the site operator properly managing groundwater or stormwater that is removed from excavations, trenches, or similar points of accumulation?	□Yes □ N/A	□No		
33	Are proper procedures and controls in place for the storage of materials that may discharge pollutants if	□Yes □ N/A	□No		

SESC Plan Inspection Report

Compliance Question		Assoc. Photo/ Figure #	Corrective Action Needed (If 'Yes', please detail action required and include location/station)
exposed to stormwater?			
Are stockpiles located within the limits of disturbance?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
Are stockpiles being protected from contact with stormwater using a temporary sediment barrier?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
Where needed, has cover or appropriate temporary vegetative or structural stabilization been utilized for stockpiles?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
Is the operator effectively managing the generation of dust through the use of water, chemicals, or minimization of exposed soil?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
Are designated washout areas (such as wheel washing stations, washout for concrete, paint, stucco, etc.) clearly marked on the site?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
Are vehicle fueling and maintenance areas properly located to prevent pollutants from impacting stormwater and sensitive receptors?	□Yes □No □ N/A		
(Other)			

(add more as necessary)

**General Field Comments:** 

#### Photos:

(Associated photos – each photo should be dated and have a unique identification # and written description indicating where it is located within the project area. If a close up photo is required, it should be preceded with a photo including both the detail area and some type of visible fixed reference point. Photos should be annotated with Station numbers and other identifying information where needed.)

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

Photo #:	Station:
(insert Photo here)	Description:

(add more as necessary)

SESC Plan Inspection Report

# **Corrective Action Log**

# TO BE FILLED OUT BY SITE OPERATOR

Describe repair, replacement, and maintenance of control measures, actions taken, date completed, and note the person that completed the work.

	Location/Station	Corrective Action	Date Completed	Person Responsible
<u> </u>				
Operator Signature:		Date:		

SESC Plan Inspection Report

ATTACHMENT G SESC PLAN AMENDMENT LOG

# SESC Amendment Log

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

No.	Description of the Amendment	Date of Amendment	Amendment Prepared by [Name(s) and Title]

# ATTACHMENT



# OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PLAN
#### VCP, LLC

# STORMWATER OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN

### PROPOSED SOLAR DEVELOPMENT – KNIGHT STREET PLAT 275 LOTS 38 AND 52



# ۱۱SD

## STORMWATER OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN

### PROPOSED SOLAR DEVELOPMENT – KNIGHT STREET PLAT 275 LOTS 38 AND 52

VCP, LLC

PROJECT NO.: 3652200299 DATE: NOVEMBER 30, 2022

WSP USA ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE INC. 100 APOLLO DRIVE, SUITE 302 CHELMSFORD, MA 01824

T:+1 978-692-9090 F:+1 833-774-2707 wsp.com

### SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Mykel D. Mendes

Mykel Mendes Project Engineer

**APPROVED BY** 

P. P. Valle

Andrew Vardakis, PE Project Manager

WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, RIDOT Environmental Division – Office of Stormwater, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance, or decisions. WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc., its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure Inc. does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION
2.0	Specit	fic Inspection Requirements1
	2.1	General Stormwater Inspection Requirements1
	2.2	Short-term Requirements2
	2.3	Sediment Forebay2
	2.4	Infiltration Basin
	2.5	Open Channels2
	2.6	Qualified Pervious Areas (QPA) Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.7	Long-term Maintenance of Non-stormwater Related Activities Error! Bookmark not
	defin	ed.

### APPENDICES

Appendix A	Operation 8	Maintenance	Figure
лрреник л.	operation 6	( Maintenance	riguie

- Appendix B: Stormwater Facility Maintenance Agreement
- Appendix C: Operation, Maintenance, and Management Inspection Checklists

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

On behalf of VCP, LLC (developer), WSP Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. (WSP) has prepared this Stormwater Operation & Maintenance Plan in support of the redevelopment of a proposed solar development project to be located on a 16.3±-acre parcel of land at Knight Street in Warwick, Rhode Island. The project area consists of Assessor's Plat (AP) 275 Lot 38 and 52.

The proposed 998.4 kilowatt solar development will occupy approximately  $3.85\pm$  acres of the total  $16.3\pm$  acre parcel assemblage. Solar panels will be installed on a 30-degree tilt racking system connected from the customer-owned electrical equipment (transformers and load centers) located within the fence to new utility poles and then to the interconnection point at an existing utility pole #17 on Knight Street. The proposed access road will be improved to conform to City requirements and will provide vehicular access for inspection and maintenance of the solar equipment. The project has also been designed to control stormwater quality and quantity for the development. Proposed water quality BMPs for treatment of runoff include a crushed stone access road (pervious pavement). Mitigation of peak runoff has been provided to ensure post-development rates will be less than pre-development discharge rates for the 1-, 2-, 10-, 25- and 100-year storm events. All components of the stormwater management system have been designed to comply with the requirements set forth in the latest edition of the "Rhode Island Stormwater Design and Installation Rules (250-RICR-150-10-8). The location of the stormwater BMP is depicted on the Operations & Maintenance Figure provided in Appendix A.

The proposed stormwater BMP must be inspected regularly and maintained in accordance with the checklist provided in Appendix C and described herein to ensure that they continue to perform as designed. Inspections shall be performed by someone with knowledge and experience with stormwater systems; however, when the routine inspection reveals a question of structural or hydraulic integrity affecting public safety, inspections shall be performed by a registered professional engineer. The inspection process shall document observations made in the field and shall cover structural conditions, evidence of vandalism, condition of vegetation, occurrence of obstructions, unsafe conditions, and build-up of trash, sediments, and pollutants.

Routine maintenance activities shall be scheduled to be performed on a regular basis and generally do not depend on findings from inspections. These tasks shall include such things as vegetation maintenance (such as mowing), and trash/debris removal. These tasks shall be performed as needed, depending upon the season and type of actions required.

This project includes one stormwater BMP for which inspections must be performed and documented by Inspection Checklist(s). The BMP is listed in the table below and the appropriate Inspection Checklist(s) noted. Refer to the following section for more detailed descriptions of each type of BMP and the figure included in Appendix A for their locations.

### 2.0 Specific BMP Inspection Requirements

#### 2.1 General Stormwater Inspection Requirements

General site-wide conditions shall be inspected simultaneous with stormwater inspections. Landscaped areas shall be checked for any eroded drainage paths and repaired, as necessary. Any loose debris on the site shall be removed and disposed of appropriately. If any significant sediment build up occurs on the roadways or drives, it shall be removed by sweeping or other methods. All debris and sediments shall be disposed of in accordance with applicable local, state and federal guidelines and regulations.

For all stormwater facilities, inspections are an integral part of system maintenance. During the six months immediately after construction, all stormwater facilities shall be inspected at least twice or more following precipitation events of at least 1.0 inch to ensure that the system is functioning properly. Thereafter, inspections shall be conducted on an annual basis and after storm events of greater than or equal to the 1-year, 24-hour Type III precipitation event, unless indicated otherwise in the following sections.

#### 2.2 Short-term Requirements

Once construction has been completed, inspections and required maintenance shall be performed during the first growing season. These inspections shall be performed weekly during the first month after construction is completed and monthly for the remainder of the first growing season. The goal of these inspections is to ensure that no erosion of the partially stabilized soils is occurring. Any erosion that is observed shall be remedied quickly by repairing and reseeding as necessary.

#### 2.3 Crushed Stone Access Road

Annually in the spring, the crushed stone shall be re-distributed and supplemented to achieve an even, compacted surface conforming to the original design grades. The crushed stone surface shall not be mounded higher than adjacent grades. Snowplow damage shall be inspected throughout the winter season and repaired as needed. Any vegetation growing within the roadway is to be removed immediately upon identification. Ruts and potholes shall be repaired as required. If standing water is observed more than 48 hours after a storm event, then the crushed stone surface shall be excavated, the subsoil shall be scarified to breakup any hard-packed sediment, and the roadway shall be restored to original design specifications. Trash and debris shall be removed from the crushed stone surface as needed and shall be disposed of in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal guidelines and regulations. The surface of the crushed stone access road shall not be used to store soil or other materials that could clog the permeable stone surface.

The Crushed Stone Access Road Operation, Maintenance, and Management Inspection Checklist shall be completed after each inspection and/or after maintenance is performed. This checklist can be found in Appendix C.

#### 2.4 Long-term Maintenance of Non-stormwater Related Activities

Solid Waste Containment: The project will not be occupied regularly once completed, so solid waste containment is not necessary. Any solid waste generated during routine maintenance and inspection activities shall be immediately removed by the inspector and disposed of off-site in conformance with all applicable regulatory standards.

Deicing and Salt Storage: Salt use shall be sparing to the extent practicable and no de-icing is anticipated.

Snow Disposal: Because the Site is only inspected quarterly for routine maintenance and inspection, little or no snow plowing is anticipated.

Pavement Sealants: No pavement sealants shall be used on this property.

Lawn and Landscape Management: The Owner shall employ the standards for ground management specified in Appendix G (G.7) of the 2015 RISDISM to the extent practicable. This includes mowing to maintain grass height between 4" and 18". All sediment control structures located down gradient of soils stabilized by vegetative measure shall remain in place until vegetation is established. Established means a minimum of 85% of the area is vegetated with vigorous growth. The use of pesticides, fungicides and herbicides are prohibited. Fertilizer use on site shall be only a slow-release variety. All landscaping and landscaping maintenance shall be performed by a licensed subcontractor and all materials removed from the premises shall be in conformance with all applicable regulatory standards







Z0 2.7% SLOPE XI NI I V NI I V XI I I I XI I I I V I I I I I 2.1% SLOPF 1.5% SLOPE 

SB

LOD -

A22

NO GRADE CHANGE PERMITTED WITHIN FEMA ZONE AE, ALL CAPPING/LOAMING TO BE COMPLETED BY OVEREXCAVATION

AND RELOCATION OF EXISTING MATERIAL (RELOCATED ONSITE) TO MAINTAIN

\_A23

ÈXISTING GRADES

\_A24

AP 275 LOT 45

-B-SB-

ZONEAE

ZONE

20 LOD -

A25

PLAT 275 LOT 52 / N/F SUNSHIME PROPERTIES (BØOK 9496 PAGE 1

A18

A19.

3.7% SLOPE

\$G

LOD \_\_\_\_ LOD -

-SB-SB-SB-SB-SB-

-/ LOD -----

A20

6

20 Hussere Hungr	SETBACK	                 			WSP IN 100 A CH	USA ENVI FRASTRU POLLO DF IELMSFOF 978-69 WSP	Ronn Ctur Rive, RD, M/ 2-909 .Com	MENT / RE, INC SUITE A 0182	AND 5. 302 24
	A Contraction of the second se		manuel in the second se	and a		GA	GA	GA	GA
10 18 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		And a company of the				C.	CR	CR	CR
SB SB SB SB SB		All and the second of the seco				RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	RESPONSE TO RIDEM COMMENTS	ADDRESSED COMMENTS	ISSUED FOR PERMITTING / NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
VOID TO TO TO		58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 5	to to the second s	<u> </u>		9/10/2021	8/20/2021	6/28/2021	5/27/2021
			38 58 58 58 56 56 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 58 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50			m	7	~	0
-	PL	- PL	MINOR CONTOUR SITE PROPERTY LINE PARCEL LINE OFF-SITE PROPERTY LINE ZONING SETBACK FENCE LINE SANITARY SEWER UTILITY GAS UTILITY WATER UTILITY WATER UTILITY STORM DRAIN UTILITY WETLAND LINE WITH FLAG AND ID 50' WETLAND BUFFER		OLECT: 998.40 KW DC GROUND-M SOLAR PV DEVELOPME	275 KNIGHT STREET WARWICK, RHODE ISLA	TLE:	PROPOSED SITE PI	
NOTES:	ZONE A ZONE 2		DIRT DRIVEWAY TREE LINE SCRUB LINE UTILITY POLE MONITORING WELL SHRUBS ROCKS AND BOULDERS PARCEL ID FEMA 100-YR FLOOD LINE WITH ZONE ID (LOCATED AT 28' ELEVATION)		LIENT: VCP, LLC	150 TRUMBULL ST. HARTFORD. CT 06103			
<ol> <li>LOCATIONS OF ALL</li> <li>ELECTRICAL DESIG SHOWN TO ILLUSTF</li> <li>ALL PLANS AND IMF ISLAND, BOARD OF</li> <li>THE PROPOSED PF AND THE RIDEM OF ANTICIPATED ON T WILL BE AS APPRO</li> <li>EXISTING GRADES ACCORDANCE WIT DIRECTLY ATOP EX</li> <li>EXISTING MONITOF</li> <li>EXISTING LANDSCA</li> <li>UTILITY POLES TO</li> <li>NO PROPOSED GR.</li> </ol>	PROPOSED FEATURES N, INCLUDING UTILITY PARATE LOCATIONS ONLY. PROVEMENTS CONFORM REGISTRATION OF LANE ROJECT SITE IS BEING CO FICE OF LAND REVITALIZ HE PROJECT SITE, HOWE VED BY LRSMM WITHIN T WITHIN THE PROPOSED H LRSMM REQUIREMENT (ISTING GRADES INDICAT RING WELLS (I) = 7 TOT. APE PILE, MATERIALS, AN BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ADE CHANGE IN FEMA ZO	ARE CONCEPTUAL. OLES, PERFORMED TO ALL EXISTING A D SURVEYORS AND DNCURRENTLY PER ZATION AND SUSTA EVER, FINAL LIMITS FHE LIMITS OF DIST LIMIT OF DISTURBA TS. PROPOSED CAR TED ON THIS PLAN, AL) TO BE ADJUSTE ID EQUIPMENT TO B FINAL CAPPING.	AND AMENDED STANDARDS OF THE STATE OF RHODE ENGINEERS. RMITTED THROUGH RIDEM OFFICE OF WATER RESOURCE INABLE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT (LRSMM). A SOIL CAP OF THE CAP ARE NOT YET DETERMINED. SOIL CAPPING URBANCE NOTED ON THE PLAN. ANCE TO REMAIN OR BE SMOOTHED AND CAPPED IN PPING TO BE INSTALLED USING IMPORTED MATERIAL, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED (IN FEMA FLOOD ZONE AE). ED TO GRADE AS NECESSARY. BE REMOVED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.	rs s is	DESIGNEI CR CHECKED GAA	D BY:	DRA BEG SCA AS S	WN B LLE: SHOW	Y:
		0' 15' 1"= 30' WHEN 24" x 36	ADES. 30' 45' PLOTTED ON S" SHEET NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	N	PROJECT DRAWING SHEET NU	NUMBER	3 10	652200 1	0299



B

STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE AGREEMENT

#### **Stormwater Facility Maintenance Agreement**

THIS AGREEMENT, made and entered into this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by and between \_\_\_\_\_\_ Sunshine Properties LLC \_\_\_\_\_ hereinafter called the "Landowner", and the Town of Portsmouth, hereinafter called the "Town". WITNESSETH, that WHEREAS, the Landowner is the owner of certain real property described as <u>Assessors Plat 275 Lot 38 and 52</u>, as recorded by deed in the land records of <u>City of Warwick, Deed Book 9496, Page 178</u> hereinafter called the "Property".

WHEREAS, the Landowner is proceeding to build on and develop the property; and

WHEREAS, the Site Plan/Subdivision Plan known as <u>998.4 kW Solar</u> <u>Development</u>, hereinafter called the "Plan", which is expressly made a part hereof, as approved or to be approved by the Town, provides for detention of stormwater within the confines of the property; and

WHEREAS, the Town and the Landowner, its successors and assigns, agree that the health, safety, and welfare of the residents of the Town require that on-site stormwater management facilities be constructed and maintained on the Property; and

WHEREAS, the Town requires that on-site stormwater management facilities as shown on the Plan be constructed and adequately maintained by the Landowner, its successors and assigns.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing premises, the mutual covenants contained herein, and the following terms and conditions, the parties hereto agree as follows:

- 1. The on-site stormwater management facilities shall be constructed by the Landowner, its successors and assigns, in accordance with the plans and specifications identified in the Plan.
- 2. The Landowner, its successors and assigns, shall adequately maintain the stormwater management facilities in accordance with the required Operation and Maintenance Plan. This includes all pipes, channels or other conveyances built to convey stormwater to the facility, as well as all structures, improvements, and vegetation provided to control the quantity and quality of the stormwater. Adequate maintenance is herein defined as good working condition so that these facilities are performing their design functions. The Stormwater Best Management Practices Operation, Maintenance and Management Checklists are to be used to establish what good working condition is acceptable to the Town.

- 3. The Landowner, its successors and assigns, shall inspect the stormwater management facility as required in the Operation and Maintenance Plan. The purpose of the inspection is to assure safe and proper functioning of the facilities. The inspection shall cover the entire facilities, berms, outlet structures, basin areas, access roads, etc. Deficiencies shall be noted in an inspection report.
- 4. The Landowner, its successors and assigns, hereby grant permission to the Town, its authorized agents and employees, to enter upon the Property and to inspect the stormwater management facilities whenever the Town deems necessary upon 48-hours's notice by the Town. The purpose of inspection is to follow-up on reported deficiencies and/or to respond to citizen complaints. The Town shall provide the Landowner, its successors and assigns, copies of the inspection findings and a directive to commence with the repairs if necessary.
- 5. In the event the Landowner, its successors and assigns, fails to maintain the stormwater management facilities in good working condition acceptable to the Town, upon 72 hours' notice the Town may enter upon the Property and take <u>whatever steps necessary</u> to correct deficiencies identified in the inspection report and to charge the costs of such repairs to the Landowner, its successors and assigns. This provision shall not be construed to allow the Town to erect any structure of permanent nature on the land of the Landowner outside of the easement for the stormwater management facilities. It is expressly understood and agreed that the Town is under no obligation to routinely maintain or repair said facilities, and in no event shall this Agreement be construed to impose any such obligation on the Town.
- 6. The Landowner, its successors and assigns, will perform the work necessary to keep these facilities in good working order as appropriate. In the event a maintenance schedule for the stormwater management facilities (including sediment removal) is outlined on the approved plans, the schedule will be followed.
- 7. In the event the Town pursuant to this Agreement, performs work of any nature, or expends any funds in performance of said work for labor, use of equipment, supplies, materials, and the like, the Landowner, its successors and assigns, shall reimburse the Town upon demand, within thirty (30) days of receipt thereof for all actual costs incurred by the Town hereunder.
- 8. This Agreement imposes no liability of any kind whatsoever on the Town and the Landowner agrees to hold the Town harmless from any liability in the event the stormwater management facilities fail to operate properly.
- 9. This Agreement shall be recorded among the land records of the Town and shall constitute a covenant running with the land, and shall be binding on the Landowner, its administrators, executors, assigns, heirs and any other successors in interests.

WITNESS the following signatures and seals:

Company/Corporation/Partnership Name (Seal)

By:\_\_\_\_\_

(Type Name and Title)

The foregoing Agreement was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by

NOTARY PUBLIC
My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_

(Type Name and Title)

The foregoing Agreement was acknowledged before me this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by

\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_

NOTARY PUBLIC
My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved as to Form:

[Town/City] Attorney Date

# **APPENDIX**



OPERATION, MAINTENANCE, AND MANAGEMENT INSPECTION CHECKLISTS

#### Crushed Stone Access Road Operation, Maintenance, and Management Inspection Checklist

Project:

Location:

Site Status:

Date:

Time:

Inspector:

	MAINTENANCE ITEM	SATISFACTORY/ UNSATISFACTORY	COMMENTS
1.	Debris Cleanout (Annually, As	s needed)	
	No dumping of yard wastes into practice		
	Litter (leaves, branches, etc.) have been removed		
2.	Vegetation (Annually, As neede	ed)	
	Remove any vegetation from within roadway		
3.	Sediment Deposition / Soil Compac	ction (Annual, After majo	or storms)
	a. Sediments removed once a year or when sediments cause a change in the grade elevations		

MAINTENANCE ITEM	SATISFACTORY/ UNSATISFACTORY	COMMENTS
<ul> <li>b.</li> <li>1. Areas of obvious compaction are excavated, scarified, and reset with washed crushed stone</li> <li>2. If standing water is observed more than 48 hours after a storm, crushed stone surface shall be excavated, the subsoil shall be scarified to breakup any hard-packed sediment, and the roadway shall be restored to original design specifications</li> </ul>		
4. Road Surface (Annually)		
Repair any ruts and potholes within the roadway		
Regrade Crushed Washed Stone to original design specifications		
Repair any snow plow damage within the roadway		

Comments:

Actions to be Taken:

# ATTACHMENT

DECOMMISSIONING PLAN AND COST ESTIMATE



## Decommissioning and Restoration Plan Ground Mount PV Array *Knight Street Solar*

Date:

December 2023

**Prepared By:** 

Verogy



124 LaSalle Road, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor | West Hartford, CT 06107 | (860) 288-7215 | www.verogy.com



**Table of Contents** 

- 1. Overview
- 2. Decommissioning & Restoration
  - 2.1 Preparation & Mobilization
  - 2.2 Photovoltaic Equipment Removal
  - 2.3 Civil Restoration
- 3. Estimated Costs
- 4. Health and Safety Concerns



124 LaSalle Road, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor | West Hartford, CT 06107 | (860) 288-7215 | www.verogy.com



### **Decommissioning and Restoration Plan**

#### 1. Overview

After the proposed Kight Street Solar Project has reached the end of its operational lifetime the current/future owners ("Owner") of the proposed Photovoltaic (PV) facility will be responsible to decommission the project. The Project is designed for an operational life of at least 35 years. It is anticipated that advances in technology and efficiency over that timeframe will create an economic advantage in replacing the project.

Decommissioning of a PV facility is the removal of all system components associated with the generating system and restoring the site to as close to pre-construction conditions as possible. Decommissioning procedures are developed to ensure environmental protection, public safety, and health, and that the work being performed is in compliance with all applicable regulations.

The Project Owner will be responsible for:

- All decommissioning costs
- Obtaining all permits required for the decommissioning, removal and legal disposal of system components prior to the start of decommissioning activities
- The complete decommissioning of the facility, including the removal and disposal of all equipment and restoration of the site in accordance with applicable permits and in compliance with all applicable rules and regulations in effect governing material disposal

#### 2. Decommissioning & Restoration Plan

#### 2.1. Preparation & Mobilization

Prior to decommissioning the system, the Owner of the facility and the decommissioning contractors will begin the preparation and planning phase of the project. The decommissioning process shall be completed no later than 18 months following the discontinuation of operations of the facility. Prior to decommissioning activity taking place a site assessment will take place to evaluate site conditions and put a protection plan together to protect surrounding natural resources. Upon site mobilization and prior to the start of the removal of any system components, proper erosion and sediment controls will be installed. The access roads and fencing will remain in place for use by the decommissioning and site restoration workers until decommissioning activities are completed unless the site owner requests that they remain in place. Debris will be placed in dumpsters on-site until transportation to proper disposal facilities is arranged.



# VEROGY

#### 2.2. Photovoltaic Equipment Removal

- The system will be de-energized from the utility power grid. The infrastructure connecting the facility to the utility power grid will be removed unless the landowner determines that the electrical service line will be beneficial for future use of the site, in which case the line may remain after decommissioning.
- All wirings, cables, conduits, panelboards, inverters, transformers and associated equipment will be uninstalled and recycled as applicable.
- PV modules will be uninstalled and recycled as applicable.
- The steel racking system will be disassembled and recycled as applicable
- Concrete ballast foundations which supported the module racking will be removed and recycled/disposed of as applicable.
- The demolition debris and removed equipment may be cut or dismantled into smaller pieces that can be safely lifted or carried by the deconstruction equipment being used. Most of the glass and steel and aluminum will be processed for transportation and delivery to an off-site recycling center. Minimal non-recyclable materials are anticipated; these will be properly disposed of at a qualified disposal facility.

#### 2.3 Civil Restoration

- Any resulting holes from the removal of the steel piles will be backfilled with locally imported soil to match existing site soil conditions.
- The concrete transformer and interconnection equipment pads will be broken up and removed.
- The on-site access roads servicing the Project and the security fencing around the Project will remain in place during decommissioning activities to support the removal of equipment. Once removal activities are completed, discussion with the landowners will occur to determine if the roads or security fencing will be beneficial for future use of site. If the access roads or security fencing is determined to be beneficial for future use of site, these facilities may remain in place.
- Access roads that will not be utilized to support future use of the site will restored to preconstruction conditions. Aggregate base material of the roads will be removed, and the compacted base section will be filled with locally imported soil to match existing onsite soils. The areas will then be seeded to match existing onsite groundcover.
- If the security fencing is not to be used, it will be removed and transported to the nearest recycling facility.
- Once all Project equipment has been removed, additional activities will occur to return the property back to conditions similar to pre-construction. Reclamation will restore vegetative cover and hydrological function after the closure of the facility.
- As previously discussed, any excavated areas remaining after the removal of equipment pads, access road base materials, or fence posts will be backfilled with locally imported soil to match existing onsite soils.
- Once landform features and soils are restored, a seed mix will be applied to disturbed areas to match the existing onsite groundcover.





#### 2.4 Landscaping and Berm

• The landscaping and berm will remain in place on site and not be removed as part of the decommissioning process.

#### 3. Estimated Costs

The following costs for decommissioning are based on the New York State Energy Research & Development Authority 2023 Decommissioning Solar Panel Systems guide book<sup>1</sup> ("Guide Book"). The estimated costs provided in the Guide Book are based on a 2 MW AC ground-mounted system, those costs were factored down to the 0.75 MW AC the size of the Knight Street Project.

Tasks	Estimated Unit Cost Per 2MW	Project Cost at 0.75 MW
Remove Rack Wiring	\$2,459	\$925
Remove Panels	\$2,450	\$920
Dismantle Racks	\$12,350	\$4,635
Remove Electrical Equipment	\$1,850	\$700
Breakup and Remove Concrete Pads	\$1,500	\$570
or Ballasts		
Remove Racks	\$7,800	\$2,925
Remove Cable	\$6,500	\$2,440
Remove Power Poles	\$13,850	\$5,200
Remove Fence (if not requested to	\$4,950	\$1,860
remain by property owner)		
Seed Disturbed Ares	\$250	\$100
Truck to Recycling Center	\$2,250	\$850
Current Total		\$21,125.00
Total After 35 Years (2% annual		\$42,247.67
inflation rate)		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NYSERDA 2023 Decommissioning Solar Panels Systems Guide Book - https://www.nyserda.ny.gov/-/media/Project/Nyserda/Files/Programs/NY-Sun/2023-Decommissioning-Solar-Panel-Systems.pdf





#### 4. Health and Safety Concerns

Site decommissioning will entail the use of heavy equipment, the handling of heavy and sharp objects and limited exposure to potentially live electrical components. A Health and Safety Plan will be created based on the individual characteristics of the site to minimize and eliminate all possible risks and hazards. The Health and Safety Plan will include a Job Hazard Analysis that will analyze each step of construction for hazards, along with any climate conditions or hazardous materials that may be seen or used throughout the duration of the job. The plan will outline steps to take if a hazard is identified and how to proceed with each hazard. Along with this, all workers will have training and personal protective equipment (PPE) in compliance with OSHA standards. A daily toolbox talk will be held where the foreman or supervisor will go over daily hazards and activities to be completed.



124 LaSalle Road, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor | West Hartford, CT 06107 | (860) 288-7215 | www.verogy.com

# ATTACHMENT

# **G** STATE PERMITS



#### RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF WATER RESOURCES 235 Promenade Street Providence, Rhode Island 02908

October 20, 2023

Sunshine Properties, LLC John P. Morgan, Member 181 Knight Street Warwick, RI 02886

#### **Insignificant Alteration – Permit**

Re: Application No. 21-0184 in reference to the location below:

Approximately 75 feet south of Knight Street (at 275 Knight Street), Utility Pole 17, approximately 350 southwest of its intersection with Bleachery Court, Assessor's Plat 275, Lots 38 & 52, Warwick, RI.

#### Dear Mr. Morgan:

Kindly be advised that the Department of Environmental Management's ("DEM") Freshwater Wetlands Program ("Program") has completed its review of your **Request for Preliminary Determination** application. This review included a site inspection of the above referenced property ("subject property") and an evaluation of the solar array with crushed stone access road, electrical equipment, fencing, interconnection, landscaping, grading activities and associated site alterations as illustrated and detailed on revised site plans submitted with your application. These revised site plans were received by the DEM on September 22, 2021, with revised Sheet 4 of 8 (Drawing No. C-101) received October 17, 2023, and Sheet 5 of 8 (Drawing C-102) received October 3, 2023.

Our observations of the subject property, review of the site plans and evaluation of the proposed project reveals that alterations of freshwater wetlands are proposed. However, pursuant to 250-RICR-150-15-1.9 of the Rules and Regulations Governing the Administration and Enforcement of the Fresh Water Wetlands Act, 250-RICR-150-15-1 (Rules), this project may be permitted as an **insignificant alteration** to freshwater wetlands under the following terms and conditions:

#### Terms and Conditions for Wetlands Application No. 21-0184; RIPDES No. RIR102216:

- 1. This letter is the DEM's permit for this project under the R.I. Fresh Water Wetlands Act, R.I. Gen. Laws § 2-1-18 et seq.
- 2. This determination also includes your final authorization to discharge storm water associated with construction activity under the **2020 RIDPES General Permit for Stormwater Discharge During Construction Activity ("CGP").** For future references and inquiry, your permit authorization number is RIPDES No. **RIR102216.** This **RIPDES CGP** permit is not transferable to any person except after written notice to the Director, in the form of a Permit Transfer Form available on the RIDEM Stormwater Construction Permitting website.
- 3. This permit is specifically limited to the project, site alterations and limits of disturbance as detailed on the site plans submitted with your application and received by the DEM on September 22, 2021, with revised Sheet 4 of 8 (Drawing No. C-101) received October 17, 2023, and Sheet 5 of 8 (Drawing C-102) received October 3, 2023. A copy of the site plans stamped approved by the DEM is Telephone 401.222.4700 | www.dem.rl.gov | Rhode Island Relay 711

enclosed. Changes or revisions to the project that would alter freshwater wetlands are not authorized without a permit from the DEM.

- 4. Where the terms and conditions of the permit conflict with the approved site plans, these terms and conditions shall be deemed to supersede the site plans.
- You must notify this Program in writing of the anticipated start date, and of your contractor's 5. contact information, by submitting the Notice of Start of Construction Form prior to commencement of any permitted site alterations or construction activity. You must also notify this Program in writing upon completion of the project, including submittal of the Notice of Termination Form. The Start of Construction Form and the Notice of Termination can be found on the webpage: dem.ri.gov/stormwaterconstruction
- A copy of the stamped approved site plans and a copy of this permit must be kept at the site at all 6. times during site preparation, construction, and final stabilization. Copies of this permit and the stamped approved plans must be made available for review by any DEM or City representative upon request.
- 7. Within ten (10) days of the receipt of this permit, you must record this permit in the land evidence records of the City of Warwick and supply this Program with written documentation obtained from the City showing this permit was recorded.
- The effective date of this permit is the date this letter was issued. This permit expires four (4) years 8. from the date of this letter unless renewed pursuant to the Rules.
- 9. Any material utilized in this project must be clean and free of matter that could pollute any freshwater wetland.
- Prior to commencement of site alterations, you shall erect or post a sign resistant to the weather 10. and at least twelve (12) inches wide and eighteen (18) inches long, which boldly identifies the initials "DEM" and the application number of this permit. This sign must be maintained at the site in a conspicuous location until such time that the project is complete.
- 11. Both the owner and the contractor retained to undertake the construction activity are required to comply with all terms and conditions of the CGP. This includes maintaining the Soil Erosion and Sediment Control (SESC) Plan, performing the required inspections and maintenance of the selected Best Management Practices (BMPs), and retaining inspection records. Further information on the requirements of the CGP is available at:

http://www.dem.ri.gov/programs/benviron/water/permits/ripdes/pdfs/cgp092620.pdf.

- 12. Temporary erosion and sediment controls detailed or described on the approved site plans shall be properly installed at the site prior to or commensurate with site alterations. Such controls shall be properly maintained, replaced, supplemented, or modified as necessary throughout the life of this project to minimize soil erosion and to prevent sediment from being deposited in any wetlands not subject to disturbance under this permit.
- Upon permanent stabilization of all disturbed soils, temporary erosion and/or sediment controls 13. must be removed.
- You are responsible for the proper installation, operation, maintenance, and stability of any 14. mitigative features, stormwater treatment facilities, and systems of treatment and control that are installed or used in compliance with this permit to prevent harm to adjacent wetlands until

Telephone 401.222.4700 | www.dem.ri.gov | Rhode Island Relay 711

documentation is provided that this responsibility has been assigned to another entity. The longterm operation and maintenance plan shall be strictly followed. The long-term O & M Plan shall be that entitled "Stormwater Operation & Maintenance Plan: Proposed Solar Development Project – Plat 275, Lot 38 and 52, Knight Street – Warwick, RI – Project No. 3652200299" submitted initially in June 2021 and revised in September 2021 by Wood Environment and Infrastructure Solutions, PLC.

- 15. The owner must ensure that fertilizer is only utilized as necessary to establish initial vegetative growth of grass.
- 16. You are obligated to install, utilize and follow all best management practices detailed or described on the approved site plans in the construction of the project to minimize or prevent adverse impacts to any adjacent freshwater wetlands and the functions and values provided by such wetlands.
- 17. You must provide written certification from a registered land surveyor or registered professional engineer that the stormwater drainage system including any and all basins, piping systems, catch basins, culverts, swales and any other stormwater management control features have been constructed/installed in accordance with the site plans approved by this permit. This written certification must be submitted to this Program within twenty (20) days of its request or upon completion of the project.
- 18. This Program has made specific revisions to the approved site plans. These revisions are clearly marked in red on the approved plans. Specifically, the site plans have been renumbered sequentially 1 of 8 through 8 of 8 and the Drawing Index on the Covert Sheet revised accordingly.

Pursuant to the provisions in 250-RICR-150-15-1.7(A)(9) and 250-RICR-150-15-1.11(D), as applicable, any properly recorded and valid permit is automatically transferred to the new owner upon sale of the property.

Please be aware that the RIDEM's Rules and Regulations Governing the Establishment of Various Fees (250-RICR-30-00-1) require that RIPDES CGP permit holders to pay an Annual Fee of \$100.00. An invoice will be sent to the owner on record in May/June of each year if the construction was still active as of December 31<sup>st</sup> of the previous year. The owner will be responsible for the Annual Fee until the construction activity has been completed, the site has been properly stabilized, and a completed Notice of Termination (NOT) has been received by the RIPDES Program.

You are required to comply with the terms and conditions of this permit and to carry out this project in compliance with the Rules at all times. Failure to do so may result in an enforcement action by this Department.

In permitting the proposed alterations, the DEM assumes no responsibility for damages resulting from faulty design or construction.

Kindly be advised that this permit is not equivalent to a verification of the type or extent of freshwater wetlands on site. Should you wish to have the types and extent of freshwater wetlands verified, you may submit the appropriate application in accordance with 250-RICR-150-15-1.8(C).

This permit does not remove your obligation to obtain any local, state, or federal approvals or permits required by ordinance or law and does not relieve you from any duties owed to adjacent landowners with specific reference to any changes in drainage.

Please contact Rene Legault of this office (telephone: 401-222-4700, ext. 2777732) should you have any questions regarding this letter.

Telephone 401.222.4700 | www.dem.ri.gov | Rhode Island Relay 711

Sincerely,

NAWLY L. Freeman, Environmental Scientist III Office of Water Resources Freshwater Wetlands Program NLF/RJL/rjl

Enclosure: Approved site plans

 Mark Dennen, DEM OLRSSM (File No. SR-35-1122)
 Alfred T. DeCorte, City of Warwick Director & Building Official Neal Personeus, DEM Stormwater Program
 Andrew Vardakis, P.E., WSP USA, Inc.
 Brian Fitzgerald, Director of Development, VCP, LLC Verogy Christopher H. Dill, EIT, DEM Stormwater Program



### RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

OFFICE OF LAND REVITALIZATION AND SUSTAINABLE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT 235 Promenade Street, Room 380 Providence, Rhode Island 02908

August 3, 2023

#### **REMEDIAL DECISION LETTER** SR-33-0472

Mykel Mendes, Senior Consultant, Environmental Engineer WSP 166 Valley Street, Building 6 Providence, RI 02909

RE: Knight Street Landfill, 180 Knight St., Warwick, RI, Plat 275 Lots 38 and 52

Dear Mr. Mendes:

The Office of Land Revitalization and Sustainable Materials Management (LRSMM), as reflected in 250-RICR-140-30-1, Rules and Regulations for the Investigation and Remediation of Hazardous Material Releases (the Remediation Regulations) and 250-RICR-140-05-2, Solid Waste Regulations No. 2 Solid Waste Landfills (the Solid Waste Regulations) has established the Landfill Closure Program. The purpose of the Landfill Closure Program (LCP) is to integrate the investigation and remediation/closure requirements in the Remediation Regulations and Solid Waste Regulations. A Remedial Decision Letter (RDL) is a formal, written communication from the Department that approves a site investigation, identifies the preferred remedial alternative and authorizes the development of a Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP) in order to achieve the objectives of the environmental clean-up.

In the matter of the above-referenced property (the Site), the Department's Office of LRSMM is in receipt of the following documentation submitted on behalf of VCP, LLC (Knight Street Landfill):

- 1. Site Investigation Work Plan dated September 2007, received October 2, 2007, and prepared by EA Engineering, Science and Technology, Inc.;
- 2. Sile Investigation with SIR Checklist dated February 2008, received February 11, 2008, and prepared by EA Engineering, Science and Technology, Inc.;
- 3. Revised Site Investigation Report with revised preferred remedial alternative dated March 2008, received March 25, 2008, and prepared by EA Engineering, Science and Technology, Inc. ;
- 4. Site Investigation Report- 2022 Update Former Pontiac Mills Property/Knight St. Landfill VCP, LLC

Pontiac Ave- Knight Street Landfill

Remedial Decision Letter

Collectively, these documents define "Existing contamination" at the Site and fulfill the requirements of a Site Investigation Report (SIR) as described in Section 1.8.8 of the <u>Remediation</u> <u>Regulations</u>. In addition, according to our records, public notice was conducted to all abutting property owners, tenants, easement holders and the municipality regarding the substantive findings of the completed investigation in accordance with Sections 1.8.7(A)(2) and 1.8.9 of the <u>Remediation Regulations</u>. The opportunity for public review and comment on the technical feasibility of the proposed remedial alternatives commenced on July 6, 2023 and the period closed on July 20, 2023. No comments were received on the proposed remedy.

The preferred remedial alternative, as stated in the SIR, consists of the following conceptual measures:

- Installation of engineered barrier cap over areas of the site that do not currently contain two (2) feet of clean soil cover over solid waste as well as over areas that exceed GB leachability criteria for soils.
- Installing effective gas system at the landfill to mitigate landfill gas issues as needed.
- Some soil samples exceed Industrial/Commercial Direct Exposure Criteria for lead (up to 1740 mg/kg), arsenic (up to 25.1 mg/kg) and PCB (1 sample at 10.71 mg/kg). All areas of exceedances will be covered with clean soil as described above. For those areas with exceedance of GB leachability criteria or exceedances of the Direct Exposure Criteria at less than 2 feet depth, and engineered cap consisting of an impermeable geomembrane overlain by two (2) feet of certified clean fill will be placed. This cap will extend a minimum of 15 feet beyond the location of any exceedances of GB standards.
- An Environmental Monitoring Plan will be submitted to the Department that includes the following items:
  - 1. Landfill gas monitoring by permanent gas monitoring wells to be installed close to knight street shall be done annually. In the event of offsite migration above the Department standard of 25% LEL is detected, methane mitigation measures shall be implemented. Also, any enclosed structures on the property must take methane detection and/or mitigation measures into account.
  - 2. Groundwater monitoring on a quarterly basis as required by RIDEM solid waste regulations. After 8 quarters of sampling, they may petition to reduce monitoring to semi-annual.
- An Environmental Land Use Restriction (ELUR), approved by RIDEM, shall be recorded on the deed for the entire property. The ELUR shall require the performance of annual inspections to document the status of the ELUR and the condition of the engineered controls. The ELUR shall also provide protections to ensure the integrity of the cap and that buildings cannot be constructed at the site without RIDEM written authorization. The ELUR shall be recorded for the entire property in the Land Evidence Records for the City of Warwick, and a recorded copy forwarded back to the department within fifteen (15) days of recording.

Pontiac Ave- Knight Street Landfill

- Submission of a Remedial Action Work Plan (RAWP).
- Submission of an Environmental Monitoring Plan addressing post closure environmental monitoring for groundwater and soil gas for a 30-yr period after landfill closure.
- Submission of a Closure Report documenting all the work performed in the Remedial Action including cap material compliance sampling results and as-built drawings.

The Department hereby approves the SIR, with the above identified preferred remedial alternative, and requires a RAWP be submitted for review and approval, and implemented, to achieve the objectives of the environmental clean-up, in accordance with the following conditions:

- 1. In accordance with Sections 1.9 and 1.10 of the <u>Remediation Regulations</u>, a RAWP, Environmental Land Use Restriction (ELUR), and Soil Management Plan (SMP) shall be submitted for Department review and approval within sixty (60) days from the date of this letter. The RAWP shall describe all of the technical details, engineer design elements, and schedules associated with the implementation of the proposed remedy. All of the subsections outlined in Section 1.10 of the <u>Remediation Regulations</u> must be included in order to facilitate the review and approval of the RAWP. If an item is not applicable to this Site, simply state that it is not applicable and provide an explanation in the RAWP.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 1.11.2 of the <u>Remediation Regulations</u>, an application fee for Remedial Action Approvals in the amount of one thousand (\$1,000.00) dollars shall be made payable to the <u>State of Rhode Island General Treasurer</u> and remitted to the Office of Management Services with the attached Remedial Action Approval Application Fee Form. Receipt of this Remedial Action Approval Application Fee is required prior to the Department's RAWP review.
- 3. Once the Department reviews the RAWP for consistency with Sections 1.9 and 1.10 of the <u>Remediation Regulations</u>, any written comments generated and forwarded as a result of the review(s) shall be incorporated forthwith into a RAWP Addendum, to be submitted for final approval.
- 4. Upon finalization of the RAWP, the Department will issue a Remedial Approval Letter (RAL), signifying Department approval. All remedial measures required by the Department shall be implemented, in accordance with the approved schedule, to ensure all applicable exposure pathways at the site are appropriately addressed.
- 5. Also, 2 rounds of PFAS sampling, approved by the Department, will be required as per the above listed regulations during the monitoring phase.
- 6. As the site represents approximately half of a landfill property that exists on both sides of a property boundary, the Department may require additional action based on conditions at the adjacent landfill to ensure that activities on one side of the property boundary do not negatively impact the landfill on the other side of said boundary.

# Please be advised that the Department reserves the right to require additional actions under the aforementioned <u>Remediation Regulations</u> at the Property should any of the following occur:

• Conditions at the Site previously unknown to the Department are discovered;

Pontiac Ave- Knight Street Landfill

- Information previously unknown to the Department becomes available;
- Policy and/or regulatory requirements change; and/or
- Failure by VCP, LLC or any future holder of any interest in the Property to adhere to the terms and conditions of the Department approved RAWP, schedule, RAL, ELUR and/or SMP for the Property.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or would like the opportunity to meet with Department personnel, please contact me by telephone at (401) 222-2797, ext. 7177, or by E-mail at walid.ali@dem.ri.gov.

Sincerely,

UMA

Mark M. Dennen, CPG Supervising Environmental Scientist Office of Land Revitalization and Sustainable Materials Management

Cc: Walid Ali, Principal Environmental Scientist – RIDEM / OLRSMM Ashley Blauvelt, Supervising Environmental Engineer – RIDEM / OLRSMM

Attachment: Remedial Action Approval Application Fee Form

Pontiac Ave- Knight Street Landfill

Remedial Decision Letter



#### RHODE ISLAND DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT OFFICE OF LAND REVITALIZATION AND SUSTAINABLE MATERIALS MANAGEMENT 235 Promenade Street, Room 380 Providence, Rhode Island 02908

#### **REMEDIAL ACTION APPROVAL APPLICATION FEE FORM**

Rule 1.11.2 of the Department's <u>Rules and Regulations for the Investigation and Remediation of</u> <u>Hazardous Material Releases</u>, requires an application fee for Remedial Action Approvals in the amount of one thousand (\$1,000) dollars. Please submit this form and check, made payable to the State of Rhode Island General Treasurer, directly to:

R.I. Department of Environmental Management Office of Management Services - Rm 340 235 Promenade Street Providence, RI 02908

Please complete this page and attach it to the check or money order. This information must be provided to coordinate your fee with the application submitted.

Site Name:		N
Address:		
Town/City:		
File Number:	<u>SR-33-0472</u>	
Contact Person:		
Phone No:		

RIDEM Project Manager: Kasie McKenzie

FOR RIDEM OFFICE USE ONLY:

Fee Amount Received: \_\_\_\_\_ Date Received: \_\_\_\_\_ Check#:

Receipt Account: 10.074.3765103.03.461043

cc:74:3481 Leg.17-18-841

Former Firestone / Simpson Landfill 12/1/2Remedial Decision Letter

i.



#### HISTORICAL PRESERVATION & HERITAGE COMMISSION

Old State House 150 Benefit Street Providence, RI 02903

Telephone 401-222-2678 TTY 401-222-3700 Fax 401-222-2968 www.preservation.ri.gov

March 10, 2023

Via email: peter.garland@wsp.com

Peter Garland Civil Engineer WSP USA 100 Apollo Drive, Suite 302 Chelmsford, MA 01824

Re: RIHPHC Project No. 17234 Proposed Solar Facility 275 Knight Street Warwick, RI

Dear Mr. Garland:

The Rhode Island Historical Preservation and Heritage Commission (RIHPHC) staff has reviewed the information that you provided for the above-referenced project. Verogy, Inc. is proposing to install a 988.4-kilowatt ground-mounted solar facility on two parcels at 275 Knight Street (plat 275, lots 38 & 52) in Warwick, Rhode Island.

The project site is approximately 400 feet east of the Pontiac Mills complex, which is individually listed in the National Register of Historic Places. Verogy, Inc. anticipates applying for permits from the RI Department of Environmental Management for construction of the solar facility. The property is currently improved with temporary structures and was operated as a hardscaping business. The new facility will require the removal of vegetation and the installation of a seven-foot-high fence for screening. Based on our review of available information, it is the RIHPHC's conclusion that the project will have no adverse effect on historic properties.

These comments are provided in accordance with the Rhode Island Historic Preservation Act and Rhode Island General Laws. If you have any questions, please contact RIHPHC Project Review Coordinator Elizabeth Totten at 401-222-2671 or elizabeth.totten@preservation.ri.gov.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Totter FOR

Jeffrey Emidy Executive Director Interim State Historic Preservation Officer

# ATTACHMENT

# PRE-DEVELOPMENT NOISE STUDY



October 31, 2023

Bradley Parsons Director of Design and Permitting Verogy Solar Services 124 LaSalle Road West Hartford, CT 06107 Email: <u>bparsons@verogy.com</u>

#### Subject: Environmental & Community Noise Assessment Knight Street Solar / VCP, LLC – 275 Knight Street, Warwick, RI Verogy Solar Services – West Hartford, CT

Dear Mr. Parsons,

WSP USA Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. ("WSP") is pleased to submit the following environmental and community noise assessment for the Knight Street Solar site to Verogy Solar Services ("Verogy") for the proposed solar photovoltaic ("PV") energy generating facility to be located at 275 Knight Street, Warwick, RI (herein the "Facility" and/or "Site").

This environmental noise assessment report is provided to summarize our findings to assure that the proposed Knight Street Solar / VCP, LLC facility ("Knight Street Solar"), as designed and considered, will be in compliance with the requirements of the City of Warwick Planning Department and the City of Warwick Code of Ordinances, Noise Regulation (i.e., §40-13). The sound pressure levels at the nearby sensitive residential receptors have been estimated using ISO 9613-2 calculations implemented in both spreadsheet format and SoundPLAN computer modeling software. It is the intention that, after construction of the Facility is completed, a certified sound level measurement survey will be conducted to confirm the conclusions of this study.

The results of this preliminary study demonstrate that the facility, as designed and considered, will comply with the City of Warwick noise requirements.

#### BACKGROUND

Knight Street Solar, a Verogy subsidiary, proposes to construct, operate, and maintain a 998 kilowatt ("kW") solar photovoltaic electric generating facility located at 275 Knight Street, Warwick, RI.

As requested by the City of Warwick Planning Department, Verogy is hereby submitting an independent, predeveloped noise study for which a baseline sound level value is established indicating community background noise in perimeter areas adjacent to neighbors.<sup>1</sup> Additional post-startup noise testing will be conducted after the Facility is constructed to confirm the results of this study and assure that the Facility does not cause a noise nuisance condition.

Verogy has agreed to employ noise mitigation (i.e., attenuation) measures should the systems associated with the Facility be deemed responsible for an increase in offsite sound levels of three (3) decibels ("dB") or more. It is noted that the, the City of Warwick Noise Ordinance restricts property line noise from increasing the ambient noise level<sup>2</sup> by 10 dBA or more, which if far less restrictive than that 3 dB limit specified by the City Planning Board.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Attachment G for copy of the Daniel Geagan's (City of Warwick, Planning Dept.) email dated April 25, 2023. The City of Warwick originally requested that the noise study be conducted "over several weeks" using a long-term, unattended monitoring strategy. As indicated in the Daniel Geagan email, a prior approved approach was to use a more practical industry accepted measurement technique for establishing background community sound levels (i.e., short-term, attended).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Background ambient noise in the areas adjacent to the Facility are established using an approach specified in §40-13.
WSP was contracted by Verogy for professional consulting services related to acoustical assessment of the proposed photovoltaic solar energy system (i.e., solar array). The goals of this assessment were to better understand the sound environment (i.e., background community sound levels) in the area, quantify the sound levels associated with the Facility, evaluate the predicted sound levels at the property lines surrounding the Site because of the daytime operation of the solar array, and potentially identify opportunities for sound attenuation, if deemed necessary.

Throughout this report there are numerous methods used to quantify and describe community sound levels. All of them use a logarithmic-scaled unit of measure known as the 'decibel' (i.e., dB). The 'decibel' is an essential scale for understanding perception of sound levels. Attachment A of this report provides a broad technical summary of the various sound terminology and statistical analysis methods used throughout this report.

# APPLICABLE NOISE REGULATIONS

The City of Warwick Code of Ordinances §40-13 prohibits the emission of excessive noise beyond the boundaries of one's property such that the noise impacting residential properties exceeds the following:

#### Table 1 – City of Warwick Noise Ordinance – Maximum Permissible Noise Levels

Time of Day	Sound Level Limit		
8:00 AM – 10:00 PM, Daytime	60 dBA		
10:00 PM – 8:00 AM, Nighttime	50 dBA		

Additionally, the City of Warwick prohibits any noise which exceeds the *ambient noise* level by ten (10) dBA or more, when measured at the nearest property line, and which is physically annoying to persons or which is harsh, prolonged, unnatural or unusual in its time, place and use so as to cause physical discomfort, or is injurious to the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the city.

For the purposes of this ordinance, *ambient noise* is defined as all-encompassing noise associated with a given environment, being a composite of sounds from many sources, near and far. Ambient noise level is the average over 15 minutes excluding random or intermittent noises and the alleged offensive noise at the location and time of day at which a comparison with an alleged offensive noise is to be made.

The City of Warwick Planning Department, Zoning Board of Review requires that Verogy submit an independent, pre-development noise study for which a baseline shall be established indicating general background noise in perimeter areas adjacent to neighbors. Additionally, a post-startup noise study shall be executed to ensure no increase in noise occurs from the Facility. The Zoning Board of Review specifies that noise mitigation must be employed for solar energy systems responsible for an increased background sound levels by 3 dBA or more. This limitation is assumed to apply at the residential property lines of the Facility.

On April 25, 2023, Verogy (i.e., Brad Parsons) informed the Zoning Board of Review (i.e., Daniel Geagan) that standard acoustical industry practice does not require collection of background sound level measurements over a period of *several weeks*. The standard practice in environmental sound level measurement is to record community sound pressure levels at multiple locations at rapid intervals (i.e., between 125 milliseconds and 1-second sampling interval). The sound level measurements are collected consecutively during a 20- to 30-minute sample period (i.e., observation time interval) which is conducted during a time period that is reasonably representative of "typical" community noise conditions (i.e., minimal wind, no precipitation, no snow-cover, no unusual events), and during the time of day at which a nuisance is most likely to occur at nearby sensitive receptors (i.e., daytime or nighttime). In the case of solar energy systems, because the systems do not operate at night, measurement shall be collected during the daytime.

All sound level measurements were conducted in general accordance with American National Standards Institute ("ANSI") S12.8-1994, Outdoor Measurement of Sound Pressure Level and ANSI S12.9-1992, Qualities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound.

# EXISTING ACOUSTIC ENVIRONMENT

# FACILITY LOCATION

The Knight Street Solar facility is to be located on a 16.4-acre parcel of land bounded by the Pawtuxet River to the south and interstate I-95 immediately across the river to the southeast, the Pontiac / Union Mills multi-purpose commercial / residential development to the west with a 4.5-acre vacant lot located between the properties, duplex-style residential properties to the north across Knight Street, and light industrial properties to the north and northeast of the Site.

The property is located on a historic landfill and is currently occupied by a sand, gravel and landscaping materials supply company, Earth Products, Inc.

The Site is located along Knight Street, which are single lane asphalt-paved road. The Site is approximately 0.25 miles (365 m) away from I-95 (east-southeast), and approximately 0.75 miles (1,200 m) away from I-295 (west-southwest) interstate highways. Another major source of community sound in the area is the Rhode Island T.F. Green Airport ("KPVD"), which is located 1.5 - 2.0 miles to the west of the Site, although the main runway approach corridors do not overlap the property.

A locus map for the Site is shown in Figure 1 (see Attachment B).

# SOUND LEVEL MONITORING

On Thursday, October 12 and Friday, October 13, 2023, WSP personnel performed daytime sound level monitoring in the vicinity of three (3) property line locations at the Facility. The daytime attended measurements were collected between 10:20 AM and 2:00 PM during what is considered a typical weekday operational period – this is, mostly sunny midday conditions during which the solar array would be expected to operate at near full capacity.

Solar PV facilities produce electricity during the daytime hours, when the sun's rays are collected by the panels. After sunset, the Facility will not receive solar radiation nor generate any electricity. During the nighttime inverters and transformer will not produce any noise.

The sections below summarize the methodologies employed by WSP personnel during the sound level measurement session, describe the measurement locations, and present the results of the community sound level monitoring.

# MONITORING LOCATIONS

The daytime sound level measurements were collected at three (3) locations indicated in Figure 2 (see Attachment B).

- PL-1: This non-residential property line location is near the western side of the Site. The location is approximately 45 ft (13.7 m) to the west of the proposed location of the Facility's DC-AC power inverters, and approximately 60 ft (18.3 m) to the southwest of the transformer. The location abuts the vacant lot along at the eastern end of the Pontiac / Union Mills property at 334 Knight Street.
- PL-2: This residential property line location is near the northwest corner of the Site near the Knight Street access driveway. The location is approximately 200 ft (61 m) to the north of the proposed location of the Facility's DC-AC power inverters, and approximately 160 ft (49 m) to the north of the proposed transformer. The location abuts Knight Street and is directly across the street from the two-family residential property at 241-243 Knight Street.
- PL-3: This residential property line location is along the north side of the Site. The location is approximately 350 ft (106 m) to the northeast of the proposed location of the Facility's DC-AC power inverters, and approximately 320 ft (98 m) to the northeast of the proposed transformer. The location abuts Knight Street and is directly across the street from the two-family residential property at 215-217 Knight Street.

The monitoring locations were selected to capture various sound level micro-environments that occur along the border of the Facility property.

# MONITORING METHODOLOGY

The community sound level measurements were collected at the locations indicated in Figure 2 (see Attachment B) during two (2) sessions on Thursday, October 12, 2023 (12:20 PM – 2:00 PM) and on Friday, October 13, 2023 (10:20 AM – 11:55 AM). At the time of the monitoring surveys the weather conditions were as follows:

- Session #1 (10/12/23): The temperature was between 65°F to 67°F and the relative humidity varied between approximately 57% and 61%. There was a relatively consistent light breeze [3 5 miles per hour ("mph")] throughout the monitoring period with occasional gusts (7 10 mph). The wind was from the south to southeast direction. No precipitation was reported, and the sky was mostly clear during all measurements.
- Session #2 (10/13/23): The temperature was between 55°F to 57°F and the relative humidity varied between approximately 65% and 70%. There was a relatively consistent breeze [5 7 miles per hour ("mph")] throughout the monitoring period with occasional gusts (10 12 mph). The wind was from the north to northwest direction. No precipitation was reported, and the sky was mostly clear during all measurements.

The change in wind direction from the south-southeast during the first monitoring session to the northnorthwest during the second monitoring session was the most obvious difference between the two noise surveys and caused a noticeable difference in the ambient background sound levels. During the first monitoring session (i.e., with the wind out of the south-southeast) the most obvious source of consistent community noise was observed to be caused by vehicle traffic traveling on I-95. During the second monitoring session (i.e., with the wind out of the north-northwest) the traffic from I-95 was not regularly audible at the monitoring locations. This is a well documented phenomenon; Attachment A of this report provides a broad technical summary of the upwind / downwind effect on sound propagation observed during these sound level monitoring events.

At each of the three (3) monitoring locations a series of six (6) short-term (i.e., 5-minute) sound level measurements periods were recorded during each of the two (2) monitoring sessions (i.e., total measurement time at each location was 60-minutes). Field notes and observations for each monitoring location are attached to this report (see Attachment C). These observations included traffic counts for vehicles driving on Knight Street during the monitoring sessions.

All sound level measurements were conducted in general accordance with American National Standards Institute ("ANSI") S12.8-1994, Outdoor Measurement of Sound Pressure Level. Each measurement was 30 minutes in duration, and the  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{90}$ ,  $L_{50}$ , and  $L_{10}$  for each period was calculated from the measurement data.

All sound level measurements were collected with a calibrated Casella CEL-633C real-time octave band analyzer, which was equipped with precision condenser microphone having an operating range of 19 dB to 140 dB, and an overall frequency range of 12.5 Hz to 20 kHz. The sound level meter used meets or exceeds all requirements set forth by the ANSI for Type 1 quality and accuracy. Prior to and following all measurement sessions, the sound analyzer was calibrated with an ANSI Type 1 calibrator, which had accuracy traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST)". All instrumentation was laboratory calibrated per ANSI recommendations within the last twelve (12) months. Copy of the equipment certificate of calibrations are attached (see Attachment D).

For all measurement sessions the microphone was fitted with an environmental windscreen to minimize the effects of air movement, and tripod mounted at a height of 1.3 meters above grade. All measurements were made away from the influence of vertical reflecting surfaces in compliance with ANSI S12.9-1992, Qualities and Procedures for Description and Measurement of Environmental Sound. All data were downloaded to a computer following the measurement session for post-processing and analysis.

# **MONITORING RESULTS**

The following table provides the broadband sound level monitoring results for all locations. These results are useful in comparing difference sound micro-environments that occur along the property line.

Location			Cumulative Sound Pressure Level (dBA)				
ID	Date	Start	End	L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>
	10/12/23	12:20 PM	12:50 PM	54.1	55.5	53.1	51.7
PL-I	10/13/23	10:21 AM	10:51 AM	55.2	56.7	51.7	48.9
	10/12/23	12:54 PM	1:24 PM	66.4	69.9	58.0	55.4
PL-2	10/13/23	10:53 AM	11:53 AM	64.4	66.5	53.4	49.0
2 וס	10/12/23	1:30 PM	2:00 PM	64.7	67.5	57.6	55.3
ГЦ-Э	10/13/23	11:25 AM	11:55 AM	65.1	68.5	55.2	50.3

#### Table 2 – Daytime Existing Sound Monitoring Results

The L<sub>90</sub> monitoring results are summarized in Figure 2 (see Attachment B), and the detailed monitoring results data summaries are provided in Attachment C. The time-series plots shown in Attachment C provide an overall summary of how the recorded sound levels varied throughout the monitoring periods on 5-minute and 1-second timescales.

Since the operative metric of the existing community sound levels to which the noise from the photovoltaic solar array operations must be compared is the L<sub>90</sub>, the remainder of this analysis focuses on the L<sub>90</sub> metric recorded during the monitoring sessions. The L<sub>90</sub> metric is utilized because L<sub>90</sub> sound levels are normally minimally affected by seasonal changes and variations in local conditions (e.g., roadway and airplane traffic, etc.). In other words, it is reasonable to assume that if the daytime sound levels were re-recorded the L<sub>90</sub> sound level measurements would likely be indistinguishable from each other. This assumes that the follow-up study is conducted during 'typical' periods (i.e., relatively normal weather conditions and not during an extremely windy, extremely hot, or snowy weather conditions). Attachment A of this report provides a technical summary of the L<sub>90</sub> statistical metric.

# CALCULATED FUTURE SOUND LEVELS

This section describes the sound impact analysis methodologies and modeling results associated with WSP's review of the proposed Knight Street Solar facility.

# FACILITY OPERATIONS

The primary significant continuous source of Facility noise is emitted by the six (6) DC-AC power inverters. These units convert the 12-volt direct current ("DC") power produced by the photovoltaic panels to the high-voltage alternating current ("AC") power used by the electrical transmission grid. When operating, the DC-AC inverters emit an electrical humming sound and have built in cooling fans which also emits some noise.

The secondary source of Facility noise is the one (1) 750 kVA transformer, which is used to step-up the power output from the inverters to a voltage required by the location distribution network.

Other intermittent and relatively minor sources of facility sound (e.g., low-speed motors used to adjust solar panel angle) are not considered to be capable of generating enough sound to produce a nuisance noise condition at the property line.

# DC-AC INVERTER SOUND POWER

The Knight Street Solar facility proposes to utilize six (6) CPS SCH100/125KTL-DO/US-600 high performance inverters installed near the western side of the Site. The site plan design calls for the inverter bank to be located approximately 40 ft (12.2 m) from the western property line, as shown in the figures in Attachment B.

The CPS product data sheet is provided in Attachment E and specifies that the CPS inverter produces audible noise level less than 65 dBA (ea.) at 1 meter and 25 °C. Additional field sound level testing was performed on a CPS inverter by Brooks Acoustics Corporation ("BAC") on May 14, 2022. WSP reviewed the

field-testing data provided in the BAC acoustical engineering study dated April 26, 2023, and determined it to be collected appropriately and in good agreement with the manufacturer's data sheet.

The following table summarizes WSP's review of the CPS inverter sound level data, and the computation of sound power level ("Lw") for input into the sound propagation models.

Source Sound	Oc	tave Bai	Broadband Sound Level							
Parameter	63	125	250	500	1K	2K	4K	8K	(dB)	(dBA)
BAC Measured Source Sound Pressure Level (Lp) @ 1 ft. <sup>[1]</sup>	69.3	68.7	64.0	65.1	66.4	61.5	52.1	44.1	74.4	69.2
WSP Calculated Source Sound Power Level (Lw) <sup>[2]</sup>	72.2	71.6	66.9	68.0	69.3	64.4	55.0	47.0	77.3	72.2
WSP Calculated Source Sound Pressure Level (Lp) @ 1 m <sup>[3]</sup>	64.2	63.6	58.9	60.0	61.3	56.4	47.0	39.0	69.3	64.2

#### Table 3 – Source Specific Sound Measurement Conversion to Sound Power Level

<sup>[1]</sup> The CPS inverter near-field sound test was conducted at the East Windsor Solar One facility by Brooks Acoustics Corp. (BAC) on May 14, 2022. The octave band sound pressure level values (measured at 1 ft.) are provided in BAC's East Windsor Solar Two - Acoustical Design Study dated April 26, 2023.

<sup>[2]</sup> The CPS inverter sound power level (for use in sound modeling) was computed by WSP using standard procedures specified in ISO 3740, Acoustics – Determination of Sound Power Levels of Noise Sources.

<sup>[3]</sup> The CPS inverter sound pressure level at 1 m was calculated by WSP using the sound power value (calculated above) and using the procedures specified in ISO 9613-2, Acoustics – Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors – Part 2: General Method of Calculation. The calculated sound pressure level at 1 meter (i.e., 64.2 dBA) demonstrates good agreement with the sound pressure level specified by CPS in the manufacturer's data sheet (i.e., < 65 dBA @ 1 m) (see Attachment E).

Utilizing the source-specific monitoring data, WSP calculated the sound power level ("Lw") for the bank of six (6) DC-AC inverters, as follows:

#### Table 4 – Source Specific Sound Power Levels – Inverters

Source Sound	Oc	tave Bar	dB)	Broadband Sound Level						
Parameter	63	125	250	500	1K	2K	4K	8K	(dB)	(dBA)
Single-Unit CPS Inverter Lw	72.2	71.6	66.9	68.0	69.3	64.4	55.0	47.0	77.3	72.2
6-Unit, Bank CPS Inverter, Lw	80.0	79.4	74.7	75.8	77.1	72.2	62.8	54.8	85.1	79.9

See Attachment A for a summary of the methodology used to calculate the combined sound power level for each DC-AC inverter bank. The detailed sound power computation results are provided in Attachment F.

## TRANSFORMER SOUND POWER

The Knight Street Solar facility proposes to utilize one (1) Maddox 750 kVA liquid-filled transformer. The transformer will be located on a pad adjacent to the inverters and approximately 40 ft (12.2 m) from the western property line, as shown in the figures in Attachment B.

The Maddox product data sheet is provided in Attachment E and specifies that the transformer complies with National Electrical Manufacturers Association ("NEMA") TR1 sound rating of 58 dBA. The sound power levels resulting from the operation of the transformer were estimated using data from NEMA TR1 (2000) and

transformer surface area data. The NEMA standard provides maximum sound level values for transformers, and manufacturers routinely meet this specification. Hence, the results based on NEMA may slightly overestimate the noise impact since the actual transformer is expected to be quieter.

The octave band sound power level of the transformer was calculated using Equation 112-18 in "Handbook of Noise and Vibration Control" (excerpt provided in Appendix F):

Lw = NEMA Rating + 10 log A + C + 10

Where,

NEMA Rating = A-weighted sound level of the transformer

A = total surface area of the sidewall of the transformer in  $m^2$ 

C = octave band correction (as specified in Table 20)

WSP utilized methodologies detailed by NEMA to calculate the sound power level ("Lw") for the transformer, as follows:

#### Table 5 – Source Specific Sound Power Levels – Transformer

Source Sound	Oc	Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz) Sound Level (dB) Broadband Sound Level									
Parameter	63	125	250	500	1K	2K	4K	8K	(dB)	(dBA)	
750 kV Transformer, Lw	74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8	71.3	

See Attachment A for a summary of the methodology used to calculate the combined sound power level for the transformer. The detailed sound power computation results are provided in Attachment F.

# SOUND LEVEL MODELING ANALYSIS

The proposed Knight Street Solar facility's sound impacts at the property line locations were modeled using both a simplified spreadsheet model (i.e., "WSP") and the more refined SoundPLAN (i.e., "SPLAN") computer software modeling program. Both of the sound modeling calculations use sound propagation algorithms and attenuation methodologies that are based on ANSI S12.62 and ISO 9613-2, Acoustics - Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors - Part 2: General Method of Calculation, and other industry accepted standards.

All sound propagation losses, such as geometric spreading, air absorption, ground absorption, and barrier shielding are calculated automatically in accordance with these recognized standards. Reflection from adjacent structures and terrain effects was accounted for in the SoundPLAN modeling; however, these effects are not included in the simplified WSP spreadsheet model.

The following additional considerations were included in the models:

- The WSP models did not include sound propagation losses caused by vegetation (i.e., foliage).
- The WSP models included higher ground reflectivity effects (G = 0.5) along the propagation path corresponding to more compacted ground surface with reflective solar panels than currently exists onsite.

These considerations cause the propagation models to predict higher sound levels (i.e., more conservative results) than would otherwise be expected.

Discrete modeling receptors were chosen at the residential and non-residential property line locations corresponding to where the ambient noise monitoring was conducted in order that direct comparison to existing noise levels could be assessed. The future maximum sound levels were calculated at the three (3) property line locations based on simultaneous operation of all six (6) DC-AC inverters and the one (1) transformer at maximum load.

The following table presents the modeled sound level impact results for both the WSP spreadsheet model and the SoundPLAN software model.

Location	Minimum Background Sound Level cation (Loo)		Predicted evel from cility 3A)	To Pred Sound (dE	tal icted I Level 3A)	Predicted Sound Level Increase (dBA)	
ID	(dBA)	WSP	SPLAN	WSP	SPLAN	WSP	SPLAN
PL-1 <sup>[1]</sup>	48.9	47.6	47.4	51.3	51.2	+2.4	+2.3
PL-2	49.0	34.2	35.7	49.1	49.2	+0.1	+0.2
PL-3	50.3	28.9	30.9	50.3	50.3	+0.0	+0.0

#### Table 6 – Future Facility Sound Level Impact Results

<sup>[1]</sup> PL-1 is a non-residential property line location directly to the west of the inverter bank and represents the worst-case sound level impact from the proposed Facility.

A noise contour map for the entire property, which also depicts the SoundPLAN results for the residential receptors evaluated, is presented in Figure 3 (see Attachment B).

A review of the data in the above table reveals that the sound generated by the Facility will be <u>well below</u> the City of Warwick daytime noise standard at all residential locations (i.e., 60 dBA). Slight increases in environmental and community sound levels will occur over the minimum daytime  $L_{90}$  levels, but the total property line sound level, even when added to ambient noise, will remain at or below the 3 dBA increase specified by the City of Warwick Planning Department. The magnitude of the increases in community sound level caused by the Facility are deemed to be <u>barely perceptible</u> (i.e., less than 3 dBA increase).

# **TONAL ANALYSIS**

A discrete tone is a sound that consists primarily of a single pitch such that it is clearly audible against the normal broadband background sounds, even when the tone is at a lower level. Tones are generally more annoying than broadband noise.

The City of Warwick does not specify any discrete tone (or a pure tone) limitation.

Also, it is generally not possible to model the potential for discrete tones since this would require the use of propagation algorithms applied to 1/3 octave band data, which are not available. The Facility design will therefore include a specification to all equipment vendors that discrete tone noise must be controlled.

The source specific measurement data for the CPS DC-AC inverter (i.e., field sound level testing was performed on a CPS inverter by BAC on May 14, 2022) showed <u>no</u> prominent discrete tone present. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that such a tone will develop via constructive and/or destructive interference as the equipment sound waves propagate from the source to the property line(s).

A review of the modeling data in the above table reveals that the sound generated by the Facility, even if it were to produce a prominent discrete tone, will remain <u>well below</u> the City of Warwick daytime noise standard at all residential locations.

# CONCLUSION

An environmental and community noise modeling analysis of the proposed Knight Street Solar project was conducted in order to determine if operational sound levels from the proposed Facility would comply with the City of Warwick Planning Department and the City of Warwick noise standards. Ambient background sound levels were also measured and compared to proposed Facility sound levels.

The modeling study utilized vendor obtained data and field measurement data for the major noise generating equipment sources (i.e., CPS DC-AC inverters and Maddox transformer), which were incorporated into the WSP and SoundPLAN computer propagation models. The modeling results reveal that the sound level from the proposed Facility will be in compliance with the City of Warwick noise standards at all residential property lines. Increases in existing background (i.e., L<sub>90</sub>) sound levels are expected to be minimal.

The sound levels from the Knight Street Solar facility are expected be in full compliance with City of Warwick Code of Ordinances §40-13 at all residences surrounding the Site. Furthermore, the sound levels from the

Facility are <u>not</u> expected to increase offsite background sound levels by 3 dBA or more, as required by the City of Warwick Planning Department.

The conclusions and calculations provided are based on the background sound level measurements collected on October 12 & 13, 2023 by WSP and on source-specific measurements collect on May 14, 2022 by BAC. The observations in this report were valid on the date and time of the investigation. Reported noise levels contained herein are a factor of operational conditions and environmental conditions present at the time of the assessment and may represent "normal" facility noise levels. Measurements and calculations in this report should be considered accurate to within one (1) decibel.

This report is intended to be used in its entirety for the purposes of Verogy Solar Services to assist in making decisions with respect to the development of the proposed solar photovoltaic project located at 275 Knight Street, Warwick, RI. Any use of this report, or portions thereof, out of context or any application of this report for purposes other than those explicitly expressed above is considered inappropriate and is done at the sole risk of the user.

If you have any questions, or require additional information, please contact me (860-966-4391, <u>andy.roland@wsp.com</u>), or Andrew Vardakis, P.E. (781-552-9899, <u>andrew.vardakis@wsp.com</u>), at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

WSP

-

Andrew R. Roland Senior Project Engineer

Andrew P. Vardakis, P.E. Vice President, Civil Engineering

Attachments:

A. Environmental Acoustics Technical Background

- B. Environmental & Community Noise Assessment Figures
- C. Sound Level Monitoring Field Notes and Results
- D. Monitoring Equipment Certificates of Calibration
- E. Manufacturer's Technical Data Sheet
- F. Environmental Noise Modeling Calculations
- G. Planning Department Email Re: Verogy Noise Study, 4/25/2023

cc: Paul G. Richard (WSP)

Attachment A

**Environmental Acoustics Technical Background** 

#### **Decibel Scale**

All sounds originate from a source. The sound energy produced by a source creates variations in air pressure which travel in all directions, much like how a wave ripples across water. The "loudness" or intensity of a sound depends on the sound pressure level, defined as the ratio of two pressures: the measured sound pressure from the source divided by a reference pressure (i.e., the minimum threshold pressure of human hearing). This measured ratio is expressed using the decibel ("dB") scale, which is a logarithmic scale designed to accommodate the wide range of sound intensities the human ear can respond to – that is, approximately 20 micropascals (" $\mu$ Pa") up to 100 kilopascals ("kPa"). On the decibel scale, the threshold of human hearing is equal to 0 dB, while levels above 140 dB can cause immediate hearing damage.

The following formula is used to convert a sound pressure value measured in pascals into a decibel value:

 $Lp [dB] = 20 \cdot log_{10}(P_{rms} / P_0)$ 

where: Lp = sound pressure level in decibels (dB)

*P<sub>rms</sub>* = root mean square of measured sound pressure waveform in pascals (Pa)

 $P_0$  = 0.00002 Pa, reference sound pressure in pascals (Pa)

The table below provides some examples of common sources of sound and their sound pressure levels. All sound levels in this assessment are provided in A-weighted decibels, abbreviated "dBA." The A-weighted sound level reflects how the human ear responds to sound, by deemphasizing sounds that occur in frequencies (i.e., pitch) at which the human ear is least sensitive to sound and emphasizing sounds that occur in frequencies at which the human ear is most sensitive. In the context of environmental and community sound, noise is defined as "unwanted sound."

Sound Pressure Level (dBA)	Example Sound Source	Perceived Loudness
140	Gun Shot at 3 ft.	Dhysical Dain
130	Jet Aircraft at 200 ft.	Physical Pain
120	Rock Band (near stage)	Deefering
110	Motorcycle at 3 ft.	Dealening
100	Lawn Mower at 3 ft.	Mamel and
90	Noisy Factory Floor	very Loud
80	Heavy Truck at 50 ft.	Laud
70	Busy Restaurant	Loud
60	Normal Conversation	Normal
50	Quiet Office	Quiet
40	Living Room	Quiet
30	Quiet Library	Faint
20	Empty Auditorium	Faint
10	Soundproof Room	Barely Audible
0	-	Threshold of Hearing

#### **Comparison of Sound Levels and Sensation of Loudness**

One property of the logarithmic nature of the decibel scale is that the combined sound levels of multiple sound sources is not simply the sum of the contributing sound decibel levels. For example, if the sound of one source measured to have a sound level of 70 dBA is added to another source of 70 dBA, the total is only 73 dBA, not a doubling to 140 dBA. Another mathematical property of the decibel scale is that is one source of sound is at least 10 dB higher than another source, then the total sound is simply the sound level of the louder source. For example, if a sound source at 80 dBA is added to a source at 65 dBA, then the total sound level is 80 dBA.

The following formula is used to combine decibel sound level values:

$$L[dB] = 10 \cdot log_{10}(10^{L_x/10} + 10^{L_z/10})$$

where: L = combined sound level of source 1 and source 2 in decibels (dB)

 $L_1$  = sound level of sound source 1 in decibels (dB)

 $L_2$  = sound level of sound source 2 in decibels (dB)

In terms of human perception of sound, a  $\pm 3$  dB difference is considered a barely perceptible change for broadband sounds (i.e., sounds that include all frequencies). Similarly, a difference of  $\pm 10$  dB is perceived as a halving or doubling of apparent sound loudness and the response that goes with it.

The tables below provide a summary comparison of sound pressure levels and loudness sensations.

#### Subjective Perception of Changes in Sound level

Change in Sound Level	Perceived Change in Loudness (Absolute Difference in Sound Energy)
± 3 dB	Barely Noticeable Change (2x [or 1/2] energy)
± 5 dB	Easily Noticeable Change (4x [or 1/4] energy)
± 10 dB	Double (or Half) as Loud (10x [or 1/10] energy)
± 20 dB	Very "Dramatic" Change (100x [or 1/100] energy)

#### Frequency / A-Weighting

Sound is transmitted by pressure variations in air – that is, the compression / release of gas pressure in air. Frequency of pressure waves is expressed in Hertz ("Hz"), which is defined as the number of complete wave cycles per second. Low frequency sound has fewer waves per second (longer wavelength) than high frequency sound (shorter wavelength) and is often described in musical terms as 'pitch' or 'tone'. The frequency range of audible sound that the human ear responds to is 20 to 20,000 Hz. This range is difficult to use to express individual sounds since most sounds created within the environment are composed of multiple frequencies being emitted simultaneously (i.e., broadband). Broadband sound is therefore divided into frequency "bands" called octaves which are identified by their center frequency to make using frequency measurements easier. Octave bands are necessary to evaluate environmental noise because the human ear responds differently to each octave band.

Environmental sound is commonly expressed in terms of an A-weighted sound decibel level ("dBA"). The Aweighting is a standard frequency filter used to make measured sound levels more nearly approximate the frequency response of the human ear, which is centered at a frequency of 1,000 Hz. The table below shows the approximate adjustments made within each octave band frequency to contour un-weighted octave band sound pressure levels in decibels ("dB" or "dBZ") to A-weighted sound pressure levels ("dBA").

Octave (Hz)	32	64	125	250	500	1K	2K	4K	8K	16K
A-Adj. Value (dB)	-39.4	-26.2	-16.1	-8.6	-3.6	0.0	+1.2	+1.0	-1.1	-6.6

#### **A-Weighed Octave Band Adjustments**

As shown above, the A-weighting sound levels emphasize the middle frequency sounds (i.e., 1 kHz - 4 kHz), and de-emphasize low- and high-frequency sounds. A 'broadband' sound includes sound pressures at all octave bands expressed as a single representative value.

The A-weighted broadband value is calculated by taking the logarithmic summation of all octave band sound pressure level according to the following formula:

 $Lp [dBA] = 10 \cdot log_{10}(\sum 10^{(L_x + Adj_x)/10})$ 

where: Lp = broadband sound pressure level in A-weighted decibels (dBA)

 $L_x$  = sound pressure level at octave band (x) in un-weighted decibels (dB)

 $Adj_x$  = octave band (x) adjustment to A-weighting (±dB) (see table above)

#### **Temporal Sound Metrics**

Environmental sound levels vary from moment to moment – that is, some sounds are sharp and impulsive lasting a very short time, while others rise and fall over much longer periods of time. These are termed "temporal" sound level variations, and there are various measures (i.e., metrics) which are designed to account for various levels of temporal variation in sound. The most commonly used in this analysis are the 90% exceedance level (i.e.,  $L_{90}$ ), and the equivalent sound level (i.e.,  $L_{eq}$ ).

- L<sub>90</sub> sound metric is a statistical value that calculates the steady-state sound pressure level that is exceeded during 90% of the measurement period. In other words, the L<sub>90</sub> represents the "quietest" 10% of a sound measurement period and is normally considered the background sound level. The L<sub>90</sub> calculation effectively eliminates nearly all temporal variation in recorded noise and is used to set baseline and continuous background sound levels. The L<sub>90</sub> can be considered the "residual" sound level, which is the ambient sound leftover when nearly all obvious intermittent noise sources are eliminated from the measurement. This is known as an exceedance value, or the percent of time (n) during a measurement period a sound level value is exceeded (L<sub>n</sub>). Conversely, the L<sub>10</sub> sound level metric is the statistical value that calculates the "loudest" 10% of the measurement period (i.e., the sound pressure level that is exceeded for only 10% of the measurement period).
- L<sub>50</sub> is the median sound level that is, the sound level value that is exceeded by 50% (i.e., half) of the data sample. The L<sub>50</sub> is not skewed by a small proportion of extremely high or low sound level values, and therefore provides a good representation of the most typical sound level recorded during the sample period.
- Leq, or equivalent sound level, is the steady-state sound level over a period that has the same acoustic energy as the fluctuating sound that occurred during the same period of time. As an example, if two (2) sounds were measured, and one (1) sound had twice (2X) the sound energy but lasted for half as long, the two (2) sounds would be characterized as having the same equivalent sound level (since the energy released is equivalent). The Leq is directly related to the effects of sound on peoples' perceived intrusiveness or level of annoyance since it expresses the equivalent magnitude of the sound as a function of occurrence frequency and time. The Leq is commonly referred to as the average sound pressure level, although this is not necessarily an accurate description. In certain situations, the Leq sound level should be considered overly conservative as the value is more significantly affected by short-duration loud noises. This is caused by the logarithmic nature of the decibel scale and that it is a time-integrated energy average (as opposed to a simple arithmetic average). For example, a 76 dB sound level equates to 'quadruple' (i.e., four times) the sound energy produced by a 70 dB source, therefore the Leq value is mostly determined by loud sounds if there are fluctuations during a measurement period.

The L<sub>eq</sub> and L<sub>90</sub> (L<sub>50</sub> and L<sub>10</sub>) values are both automatically calculated with a sound level meter in accordance with the methods define in American National Standards Institute ("ANSI") S1.4-1983. The figure below provides a visual description of how these sound level metrics are used to summarize fluctuating sound data during an example 15-minute measurement period. The figure also demonstrates how the 'skewness' of the data frequency distribution will generate a L<sub>eq</sub> value which exceeds the L<sub>10</sub> metric due to several loud, short-duration events.



Sound Level Occurrence Distribution Plot



#### Sound Power versus Sound Pressure

Sound power ("Lw") and sound pressure ("Lp") are two distinct and commonly confused descriptors of sound because both values are typically expressed in the decibel scale. Sound power is the acoustical energy emitted by the sound source and is an absolute value. It is not affected by the environment and is independent of distance to the source. On the other hand, sound pressure levels vary substantially with distance from the source and also are diminished by other environmental factors (e.g., obstacles, barriers, air absorption, wind, etc.). Sound pressure is what human ears experience (or hear), and what sound level meters measure.

The total acoustical power emitted by a sound source is given in terms of the sound power level (Lw). The sound power level of a source is an intrinsic property of the unit for a give set of operating conditions irrespective of the orientation of the source. Sound power is a theoretical value that is not directly measured. It is a characteristic of the sound source and is an estimate of the total sound power emitting in all directions by the source. The value of sound power level is determined by the following equation:

#### $Lw (dB) = 10 \cdot log_{10}(W / W_0)$

where: Lw = sound power of source in decibels (dB)

W = acoustic power radiated by the source in watts (W)

 $W_0 = 10^{-12}$  W, reference power in watts (W)

The sound pressure level (Lp) is a measure of the magnitude of the acoustical pressure wave at a specific receptor location. The magnitude of the sound pressure level is a result of how the sound power is distributed and influenced by the environment between the sound source and the receiver location. Environmental influences may include distance between the source and the receiver, atmospheric attenuation of the path of propagation, reflections from surfaces, as well as sound transmission and refraction through and around

fluid/solid structures. In many instances these effects are frequency dependent necessitating an analysis that can account for the change in spectral distribution of the sound power during the propagation from the source to the receiver.

#### **Sound Level Reduction Over Distance**

The calculation to estimate environmental sound pressure value at a given location from a source value at a given sound power level is detailed in ISO 9613-2: Acoustics – Attenuation of Sound During Propagation Outdoors. This is the commonly accepted procedure for 'modeling' predicted sound level impact at a receptor location due to the introduction of a sound source. As mentioned above, this calculation is influenced by numerous factors – for example, geometric divergence (i.e., wave-spreading due to distance between source and receiver), atmospheric absorption, ground and surface reflection and absorption, and screening and refraction due to obstacles between source and receiver. The following section provides an explanation of the most basic of these 'factors' – that is, geometric divergence or sound level reduction over distance.

When traveling from a source to a receptor in an outdoor environment, sound energy levels decrease with increasing distance from source to receptor. This is due to geometric divergence (or wave-spreading), and the decrease in sound level from any source normally follows the "inverse-square law". The inverse-square law generally applies to energy as it is radiated outward in three-dimensions (i.e., spherically). As the emitted energy gets farther from the source it is spread out over an area that increases in proportion to the square of the distance from the source (i.e.,  $r^2$ ). The attenuation value due to spherical spreading in the free field is equal to:

 $A_{div}(dB) = 10 \cdot \log_{10}(4 \cdot \pi \cdot r^2) = 20 \cdot \log_{10}(r) + 11$ 

where:  $A_{div}$  = attenuation due to geometric divergence in decibels (dB)

r = distance from the source to the receiver in meters (m)

In general, at distances greater than 50 feet from a point source, every doubling of the distance between the source and the receiver produces a 6 dB reduction in sound level at the receptor. However, for heavy roadway traffic, which can be approximated as a line source, sound levels typically decrease by approximately 3 dB every time the distance between the road and the receptor is doubled due to the cylindrical spreading of the waves. In either case the actual reduction in sound levels over the distance is dependent on the characteristics of the source itself (e.g., frequency, directionality, etc.) and the conditions over which the sound travels (e.g., barriers, topography, groundcover, etc.).

#### **Atmospheric Effects**

Wind and temperature variations can cause bending of sound waves and can influence changes in sound levels at large distances and help explain the variation that occurs in outdoor sound propagation and measurements.

A steady, smooth flow of wind, equal at all altitudes, would have no noticeable effect on sound transmission. In practice, however, wind speeds are generally higher above the ground than at the ground level, and the resulting wind speed gradients tend to "bend" sound waves over large distances. Sound traveling with the wind is bent down to earth, while sound traveling against the wind is bent upwards toward the sky.

The figure below shows illustrates the influence wind can have on sound propagation:



Attachment B

Environmental & Community Noise Assessment Figures



PROVIDENCE	WSP USA INFRAS 100 APOLL CHELMS CLIENT: VEROGY	SOLAR SERVICES
/	124 L WEST HA	ASALLE ROAD RTFORD, CT 06107
1		
	PROJECT: <b>KNIGHT</b> 275 K WAR	<b>STREET SOLAR</b> NIGHT STREET WICK, RI 02886
	PROJECT NO:	3652230417
	REVISION:	00
	DRAWN BY:	ARR
	CHECKED BY:	PGR
	DATE:	10/24/2023
	SCALE: 0	0.5 1.0 MILES
	NOTES: FIGURE TITLE:	E LOCUS MAP
	FIGURE NUMBER	₹: 1







WSP USA ENVIRONMENT & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. 100 APOLLO DRIVE, SUITE 302 CHELMSFORD, MA 01824

#### CLIENT:

VEROGY SOLAR SERVICES 124 LASALLE ROAD WEST HARTFORD, CT 06107

PROJECT:

KNIGHT STREET SOLAR 275 KNIGHT STREET WARWICK, RI 02886

PROJECT NO:	3652230417
REVISION:	00
DRAWN BY:	ARR
CHECKED BY:	PGR
DATE:	10/24/2023
SCALE:	

0	40	80

METERS

CONTOUR LEVELS (dBA):

000					
	35				
	40				
	45				
	50	◄ Nighttime Limit			
	55				
	60	◄ Daytime Limit			
	65				

NOTES:

SPL = SOUND PRESSURE LEVEL dBA = A-WEIGHTED DECIBELS

City of Warwick Ordinance, §40-13 Noise.
Prohibits noise impacting residential property use which equals or exceeds:
60 dBA (8:00 AM – 10:00 PM)
50 dBA (10:00 PM – 8:00 AM)

FIGURE TITLE:

#### SOUNDPLAN MODELING RESULTS SUMMARY

FIGURE NUMBER:

Attachment C

Sound Level Monitoring Field Notes and Results

#### WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION				
Location ID:	PL-1			
Description:	Daytime, Session 1			
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023			
Start Time:	12:20:00 PM			
End Time:	12:50:00 PM			

WEATHER CONDITIONS				
Temperature:	65 °F			
Wind Speed:	3 - 5 mph			
Direction:	S			
Humidity:	65%			
Sky Type:	Mostly clear			
Precipitation:	n/a			



GENERAL SOUND SOURCES (order by most prominent)										
1)	1) Distant vehicle traffic on I-95 (consistent)									
2)	2) Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)									
3)	Rustling lea	aves from l	ight breeze	(consistent	), with occa	ssional gue	sts (7-10 m	oh)		
4)	Vehicles pa	assing on K	Inight Stree	t (~2-3 vehi	cles per mi	nute)				
5)										
				MONITORI	NG NOTES	3 / EVENTS	3			
Event Des	cription:		Number of	Instances,	Start Time,	End Time:				
Loud engir	ne on I-95*		12:22, 12:2	23, 12:34, 1	2:34, 12:38					
Vehicle on	site**		12:24, 12:3	37, 12:47, 1	2:48, 12:49	, 12:50				
Speaking v	w/ owner***		12:42-12:4	5						
			T	RAFFIC CC	OUNT (near	est roadwa	y)			
	12:20 PM	12:21 PM	12:22 PM	12:23 PM	12:24 PM	12:25 PM	12:26 PM	12:27 PM	12:28 PM	12:29 PM
St.	5	0	1	2	3	1	4	2	3	1
ht	12:30 PM	12:31 PM	12:32 PM	12:33 PM	12:34 PM	12:35 PM	12:36 PM	12:37 PM	12:38 PM	12:39 PM
nig	2	3	3	2	5	4	1	1	1	2
X	12:40 PM	12:41 PM	12:42 PM	12:43 PM	12:44 PM	12:45 PM	12:46 PM	12:47 PM	12:48 PM	12:49 PM
	2	2	0	3	1	3	5	2	1	3
OTHER COMMENTS										

\* Several "loud engines" on I-95 may have been actually been airplanes taking off from T.F. Green. Difficult to distinguish between the two sources with the prevailing wind direction from the south.

\*\* Onsite vehicles included trucks, bobcat, and front end loader

\*\*\* Owner of Earth Products, Inc. approached monitor to talk. He and A. Roland stood ~20 ft. from monitor and briefly discussed project and site history.

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION				
Location ID:	PL-1			
Description:	Daytime, Session 1			
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023			
Start Time:	12:20:00 PM			
End Time:	12:50:00 PM			

SUMMARY INFORMATION					
Duration:	00:30:00				
Response:	Random				
Overload:	FALSE				
Cal. (Before):	12:18:54 PM				
Cal. (After):	12:50:59 PM				
Cal. Drift:	-0.1				



	RESULTS SUMMARY						
		Cumulative Results					
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>		
3)	32 Hz:	68.2	69.7	66.9	63.3		
(dE	63 Hz:	64.7	67.4	62.6	59.2		
2	125 Hz:	55.4	57.8	52.4	49.1		
S	250 Hz:	51.8	54.1	48.7	45.8		
pu	500 Hz:	52.3	54.1	50.7	48.4		
Ba	1 kHz:	50.9	51.9	50.3	48.7		
Ae	2 kHz:	39.7	41.1	39.1	37.6		
cta	4 kHz:	35.8	37.7	34.5	32.4		
0	8 kHz:	32.2	33.3	31.6	30.1		
Broadband (dBA):		54.1	55.5	53.1	51.7		

#### PL-1 / Daytime, Session 1

#### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# vsp

# **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**





# visp



# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts



## WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION				
Location ID:	PL-1			
Description:	Daytime, Session 2			
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023			
Start Time:	10:21:00 AM			
End Time:	10:50:59 AM			

WEATHER CONDITIONS				
Temperature:	55 °F			
Wind Speed:	5 - 7 mph			
Direction:	N			
Humidity:	70%			
Sky Type:	Clear			
Precipitation:	n/a			



GENERAL SOUND SOURCES (order by most prominent)										
1)	Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)									
2)	Rustling leaves from breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (10-12 mph)									
3)	Vehicles pa	Vehicles passing on Knight Street (~2-3 vehicles per minute)								
4)	Distant veh	Distant vehicle traffic on I-95 (barely audible due to prevailing wind direction)								
5)										
				MONITORI	NG NOTES	3 / EVENTS	;			
Event Des	cription:		Number of	Instances,	Start Time,	, End Time:				
Vehicle on	site*		10:21-10:2	4, 10:24, 10	0:25					
Truck enter/exit site		10:21, 10:2	24, 10:26, 1	0:28, 10:43						
Backup alarm onsite		10:25, 10:2	26, 10:28, 1	0:29, 10:31						
Dog barking (distant)		10:27								
Leaf blower (distant)		10:32~10:33, 10:38~10:39								
Airplane (high altitude)		10:35								
	TRAFFIC COUNT (nearest roadway)									
	10:21 AM	10:22 AM	10:23 AM	10:24 AM	10:25 AM	10:26 AM	10:27 AM	10:28 AM	10:29 AM	10:30 AM
St.	2	1	1	4	3	2	0	3	2	2
ht :	10:31 AM	10:32 AM	10:33 AM	10:34 AM	10:35 AM	10:36 AM	10:37 AM	10:38 AM	10:39 AM	10:40 AM
nig	2	3	5	2	0	3	6	2	2	1
N	10:41 AM	10:42 AM	10:43 AM	10:44 AM	10:45 AM	10:46 AM	10:47 AM	10:48 AM	10:49 AM	10:50 AM
	3	3	5	2	1	2	1	2	2	2
OTHER COMMENTS										
Traffic poise from LOE reduced dramatically due to shift in the wind direction to the porth										

Traffic noise from I-95 reduced dramatically due to shift in the wind direction to the north.

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION			
Location ID:	PL-1		
Description:	Daytime, Session 2		
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023		
Start Time:	10:21:00 AM		
End Time:	10:50:59 AM		

SUMMARY INFORMATION				
Duration:	00:29:59			
Response:	Random			
Overload:	FALSE			
Cal. (Before):	10:17:37 AM			
Cal. (After):	10:51:29 AM			
Cal. Drift:	0.5			



RESULTS SUMMARY						
		Cumulative Results				
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>	
3)	32 Hz:	67.3	69.9	63.0	57.9	
(dE	63 Hz:	66.8	67.9	62.5	58.4	
ctave Band SPL	125 Hz:	56.4	57.8	52.8	49.6	
	250 Hz:	52.2	52.8	47.0	44.2	
	500 Hz:	49.4	51.6	46.6	44.4	
	1 kHz:	49.8	51.1	46.6	44.7	
	2 kHz:	48.6	49.4	44.0	39.5	
	4 kHz:	46.2	47.9	42.1	36.9	
0	8 kHz:	38.1	40.6	34.9	30.4	
Broadband (dBA):		55.2	56.7	51.7	48.9	

PL-1 / Daytime, Session 2

#### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# wsp

# **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**





# \\**S**D



# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts



## WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION			
Location ID:	PL-2		
Description:	Daytime, Session 1		
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023		
Start Time:	12:54:00 PM		
End Time:	1:24:00 PM		

WEATHER CONDITIONS		
Temperature:	67 °F	
Wind Speed:	3 - 5 mph	
Direction:	S	
Humidity:	61%	
Sky Type:	Partly cloudy	
Precipitation:	n/a	



1)       Distant vehicle traffic on I-95 (consistent)         2)       Vehicles passing on Knight Street (~3-4 vehicles per minute)         3)       Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)         4)       Rustling leaves from light breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (7-10 mph)         5)						
2)       Vehicles passing on Knight Street (~3-4 vehicles per minute)         3)       Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)         4)       Rustling leaves from light breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (7-10 mph)         5)          MONITORING NOTES / EVENTS         Event Description:         Number of Instances, Start Time, End Time:         Vehicle onsite*       12:54-1:03         Truck enter/exit site       12:56, 12:59, 1:02         Police siren (distant)       12:57, 1:01						
3)       Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)         4)       Rustling leaves from light breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (7-10 mph)         5)						
4)       Rustling leaves from light breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (7-10 mph)         5)       5)         MONITORING NOTES / EVENTS         Event Description:       Number of Instances, Start Time, End Time:         Vehicle onsite*       12:54-1:03         Truck enter/exit site       12:56, 12:59, 1:02         Police siren (distant)       12:57, 1:01						
5) MONITORING NOTES / EVENTS Event Description: Number of Instances, Start Time, End Time: Vehicle onsite* 12:54-1:03 Truck enter/exit site 12:56, 12:59, 1:02 Police siren (distant) 12:57, 1:01						
MONITORING NOTES / EVENTS           Event Description:         Number of Instances, Start Time, End Time:           Vehicle onsite*         12:54-1:03           Truck enter/exit site         12:56, 12:59, 1:02           Police siren (distant)         12:57, 1:01						
Event Description:Number of Instances, Start Time, End Time:Vehicle onsite*12:54-1:03Truck enter/exit site12:56, 12:59, 1:02Police siren (distant)12:57, 1:01						
Vehicle onsite*         12:54-1:03           Truck enter/exit site         12:56, 12:59, 1:02           Police siren (distant)         12:57, 1:01						
Truck enter/exit site         12:56, 12:59, 1:02           Police siren (distant)         12:57, 1:01						
Police siren (distant) 12:57, 1:01						
Survey crew (~40 ft.)** 11:12-1:24						
Airplane 1:20						
TRAFFIC COUNT (nearest roadway)						
12:54 PM 12:55 PM 12:56 PM 12:57 PM 12:58 PM 12:59 PM 1:00 PM 1:01 PM 1:02 PM 1:03						
v <u>5 5 5 7 1 2 6 2 3 4</u>						
1:04 PM         1:05 PM         1:06 PM         1:07 PM         1:08 PM         1:09 PM         1:10 PM         1:11 PM         1:12 PM         1:13						
✓         1:14 PM         1:15 PM         1:16 PM         1:17 PM         1:18 PM         1:19 PM         1:20 PM         1:21 PM         1:22 PM         1:23						
2 5 3 3 2 2 3 3 0 4						
OTHER COMMENTS						

\* Onsite vehicles included trucks and bobcat

\*\* Survey crew working (quietly) near corner of property line

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION			
Location ID:	PL-2		
Description:	Daytime, Session 1		
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023		
Start Time:	12:54:00 PM		
End Time:	1:24:00 PM		

SUMMARY INFORMATION				
Duration:	00:30:00			
Response:	Random			
Overload:	FALSE			
Cal. (Before):	12:50:59 PM			
Cal. (After):	1:24:28 PM			
Cal. Drift:	-0.1			



RESULTS SUMMARY							
		Cumulative Results					
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>		
Â	32 Hz:	66.1	67.7	63.3	59.7		
(dE	63 Hz:	68.2	67.4	61.6	57.4		
2	125 Hz:	63.5	63.6	56.4	52.7		
S	250 Hz:	61.4	62.8	54.0	50.6		
pu	500 Hz:	61.3	62.7	54.2	51.1		
Ва	1 kHz:	63.4	66.6	55.7	53.0		
ve Ve	2 kHz:	58.3	61.1	47.1	43.4		
cta	4 kHz:	53.1	51.6	38.9	35.0		
0	8 kHz:	50.0	43.1	31.4	28.8		
Broadband (dBA):		66.4	69.9	58.0	55.4		

#### PL-2 / Daytime, Session 1

#### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# wsp



# **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**





# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts



# WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION				
Location ID:	PL-2			
Description:	Daytime, Session 2			
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023			
Start Time:	10:53:00 AM			
End Time:	11:22:59 AM			

WEATHER CONDITIONS				
Temperature:	56 °F			
Wind Speed:	5 - 7 mph			
Direction:	N / NW			
Humidity:	67%			
Sky Type:	Clear			
Precipitation:	n/a			



GENERAL SOUND SOURCES (order by most prominent)										
1)	Natural sou	Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)								
2)	Rustling leaves from breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (10-12 mph)									
3)	Vehicles pa	assing on K	inight Stree	t (~2 vehicl	es per minu	ıte)				
4)	Distant veh	nicle traffic	on I-95 (bar	ely audible	due to prev	ailing wind	direction)			
5)										
				MONITORI	NG NOTES	S / EVENTS	;			
Event Des	cription:		Number of	Instances,	Start Time,	End Time:				
Leaf blowe	er (distant)		10:53~11:0	)5						
Airplane (h	nigh altitude	)	10:53, 11:0	)6, 11:19, 1	1:22					
Truck ente	er/exit site		10:57, 11:0	)8, 11:11, 1	1:16					
Moderate	wind gust		11:01-11:0	2, 11:08						
			TI	RAFFIC CC	OUNT (near	est roadwa	y)			
	10:53 AM	10:54 AM	10:55 AM	10:56 AM	10:57 AM	10:58 AM	10:59 AM	11:00 AM	11:01 AM	11:02 AM
St.	2	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	1
ht	11:03 AM	11:04 AM	11:05 AM	11:06 AM	11:07 AM	11:08 AM	11:09 AM	11:10 AM	11:11 AM	11:12 AM
Knigl	1	2	3	1	0	2	1	1	3	3
	11:13 AM	11:14 AM	11:15 AM	11:16 AM	11:17 AM	11:18 AM	11:19 AM	11:20 AM	11:21 AM	11:22 AM
	2	0	1	2	3	0	3	2	4	0
OTHER COMMENTS										

Traffic noise from I-95 reduced dramatically due to shift in the wind direction to the north.

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION				
Location ID:	PL-2			
Description:	Daytime, Session 2			
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023			
Start Time:	10:53:00 AM			
End Time:	11:22:59 AM			

SUMMARY INFORMATION				
Duration:	00:29:59			
Response:	Random			
Overload:	FALSE			
Cal. (Before):	10:51:29 AM			
Cal. (After):	11:23:29 AM			
Cal. Drift:	0.1			



RESULTS SUMMARY							
		Cumulative Results					
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>		
3)	32 Hz:	74.0	74.1	64.1	57.7		
(dE	63 Hz:	68.7	69.3	61.0	56.3		
2	125 Hz:	62.2	62.4	53.1	49.5		
S	250 Hz:	58.8	59.6	48.0	44.5		
pu	500 Hz:	59.3	59.9	47.6	44.3		
Ba	1 kHz:	61.5	63.2	48.6	44.9		
ve	2 kHz:	56.8	58.8	44.7	40.1		
cta	4 kHz:	49.2	50.0	41.0	35.5		
Ō	8 kHz:	41.7	43.5	35.0	28.7		
Broadband (dBA):		64.4	66.5	53.4	49.0		

#### PL-2 / Daytime, Session 2

#### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# wsp



# **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**



**\\S**[]



# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts


## WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION					
Location ID: PL-3					
Description:	Daytime, Session 1				
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023				
Start Time:	1:30:00 PM				
End Time:	2:00:00 PM				

WEATHER CONDITIONS					
Temperature:	67 °F				
Wind Speed:	3 - 5 mph				
Direction:	SE				
Humidity:	57%				
Sky Type:	Mostly clear				
Precipitation:	n/a				



GENERAL SOUND SOURCES (order by most prominent)										
1)	Distant vehicle traffic on I-95 (consistent)									
2)	Vehicles passing on Knight Street (~3 vehicles per minute)									
3)	Natural sounds (e.g., crickets, birds, etc.)									
4)	Rustling leaves from light breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (7-10 mph)									
5)										
				MONITORI	NG NOTES	6 / EVENTS	3			
Event Des	cription:		Number of	Instances,	Start Time	, End Time:				
Survey cre	w (~40 ft.)*		1:30-1:33							
Vehicle on	site**		1:33-1:34,	1:39						
Electric sa	W ***		1:39~1:42,	1:46~1:56						
Loud engir	ne on I-95**	**	1:47, 1:57							
			T	RAFFIC CC	DUNT (near	est roadwa	y)			
	1:30 PM	1:31 PM	1:32 PM	1:33 PM	1:34 PM	1:35 PM	1:36 PM	1:37 PM	1:38 PM	1:39 PM
St.	3	4	6	3	0	2	2	3	5	2
ht (	1:40 PM	1:41 PM	1:42 PM	1:43 PM	1:44 PM	1:45 PM	1:46 PM	1:47 PM	1:48 PM	1:49 PM
bir	2	0	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	0
ž	1:50 PM	1:51 PM	1:52 PM	1:53 PM	1:54 PM	1:55 PM	1:56 PM	1:57 PM	1:58 PM	1:59 PM
	1	2 4 2 2 4 4 4 3 2							2	
				OTH	ER COMMI	ENTS				
* Survey crew working (quigtly) poor property line										

\* Survey crew working (quietly) near property line

\*\* Onsite vehicles included trucks, bobcat, and front end loader

\*\*\* Saw sound (periodic) from north of the location (i.e., Bleachery Ct.)

\*\*\*\* Loud engine @ 1:57 may have been actually been airplane taking off from T.F. Green. Difficult to distinguish between the two sources with the prevailing wind direction from the southeast.

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION						
Location ID: PL-3						
Description:	Daytime, Session 1					
Date:	Thu. Oct 12, 2023					
Start Time:	1:30:00 PM					
End Time:	2:00:00 PM					

SUMMARY INFORMATION								
Duration: 00:30:00								
Response:	Random							
Overload:	FALSE							
Cal. (Before):	1:24:28 PM							
Cal. (After):	2:00:32 PM							
Cal. Drift:	0.2							



	RESULTS SUMMARY							
		Cumulative Results						
		L <sub>eq</sub>	L <sub>10</sub>	L <sub>50</sub>	L <sub>90</sub>			
3)	32 Hz:	66.8	69.1	65.2	61.7			
(dE	63 Hz:	71.6	69.0	62.2	58.1			
2	125 Hz:	62.3	61.9	55.8	52.3			
Band SF	250 Hz:	61.2	60.5	53.0	49.7			
	500 Hz:	59.8	60.6	53.5	50.7			
	1 kHz:	61.6	63.8	54.4	51.9			
ve Ve	2 kHz:	56.8	59.7	46.7	42.1			
cta	4 kHz:	51.0	51.4	46.6	45.1			
0	8 kHz:	42.5	42.2	36.6	35.1			
Broadband (dBA):		64.7	67.5	57.6	55.3			

PL-3 / Daytime, Session 1

### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# wsp



## **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**





# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts



## WSP USA E&I, Inc. Environmental Noise Monitoring Field Notes

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Performed By:	A. Roland

LOCATION INFORMATION					
Location ID: PL-3					
Description:	Daytime, Session 2				
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023				
Start Time:	11:25:04 AM				
End Time:	11:55:04 AM				

WEATHER CONDITIONS					
Temperature:	57 °F				
Wind Speed:	5 - 7 mph				
Direction:	NW				
Humidity:	65%				
Sky Type:	Clear				
Precipitation:	n/a				



GENERAL SOUND SOURCES (order by most prominent)										
1)	Natural sou	unds (e.g.,	crickets, bir	ds, etc.)						
2)	Rustling le	Rustling leaves from breeze (consistent), with occassional gusts (10-12 mph)								
3)	Vehicles passing on Knight Street (~3 vehicles per minute)									
4)	Distant vehicle traffic on I-95 (barely audible due to prevailing wind direction)									
5)	Activities fr	rom industr	ial park to tł	ne north (Bl	eachery Ct	.)				
				MONITORI	NG NOTES	S/EVENTS	3			
Event Des	cription:		Number of	Instances,	Start Time	, End Time:				
Airplane (ta	aking off)		11:25							
Airplane (p	orop.)		11:26, 11:3	34, 11:35-1 <sup>-</sup>	1:36, 11:37	-11:38, 11:4	15-11:46, 1 <i>°</i>	1:48		
Police sire	n (distant)		11:30							
Airplane (h	igh altitude	)	11:33, 11:45, 11:47							
Vehicle on	site*		11:50							
			T	RAFFIC CO	DUNT (near	est roadwa	y)			
	11:25 AM	11:26 AM	11:27 AM	11:28 AM	11:29 AM	11:30 AM	11:31 AM	11:32 AM	11:33 AM	11:34 AM
St.	1	3	2	1	2	2	3	4	0	5
ht	11:35 AM	11:36 AM	11:37 AM	11:38 AM	11:39 AM	11:40 AM	11:41 AM	11:42 AM	11:43 AM	11:44 AM
nig	5	3	0	2	4	5	1	2	2	4
Y	11:45 AM	11:46 AM	11:47 AM	11:48 AM	11:49 AM	11:50 AM	11:51 AM	11:52 AM	11:53 AM	11:54 AM
	5	2	5	5 3 2 3 9 3 3 3						
				OTH	ER COMMI	ENTS				

Traffic noise from I-95 reduced dramatically due to shift in the wind direction to the north. \* Onsite vehicles included bobcat and front end loader

# Environmental Noise Monitoring Data Sheet

Project Name:	Verogy - Warwick
Project No:	3652230417

MEASUREMENT INFORMATION						
Location ID:	PL-3					
Description:	Daytime, Session 2					
Date:	Fri. Oct 13, 2023					
Start Time:	11:25:04 AM					
End Time:	11:55:04 AM					

SUMMARY INFORMATION							
Duration:	00:30:00						
Response:	Random						
Overload:	FALSE						
Cal. (Before):	11:23:29 AM						
Cal. (After):	11:55:27 AM						
Cal. Drift:	-0.2						



	RESULTS SUMMARY									
			Cumulativ	e Results						
	L <sub>eq</sub> L <sub>10</sub> L <sub>50</sub> L <sub>90</sub>									
3)	32 Hz:	68.8	70.5	63.9	59.8					
(dE	63 Hz:	66.8	68.3	60.9	57.2					
2	125 Hz:	65.2	63.2	54.4	49.8					
Ind SF	250 Hz:	63.6	61.3	50.6	45.0					
	500 Hz:	59.0	60.9	48.7	44.1					
Ba	1 kHz:	61.9	65.2	50.7	46.1					
<e></e>	2 kHz:	57.7	60.9	47.2	41.5					
cta	4 kHz:	49.8	51.9	43.2	38.5					
0	8 kHz:	43.2	45.3	37.2	32.7					
Broadband (dBA):		65.1	68.5	55.2	50.3					

PL-3 / Daytime, Session 2

### LZ90,1/3 OCTAVE BAND SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY



# wsp



## **Environmental Noise Monitoring Summary Charts**





# Environmental Noise Monitoring Distribution Charts



**Attachment D** 

Monitoring Equipment Certificates of Calibration

# www.casellasolutions.com

# FA05190

CASELLAE

# Certificate of Conformity and Calibration



Test Conditions:-

23.1 °C Test Engineer:-Date of Issue:-44 %RH 986.8 mBar

Chue Moua September 22, 2023



#### Declaration of conformity:-

This test certificate confirms that the instrument specified above has been successfully tested to comply with the manufacturer's published specifications. Tests are performed using equipment traceable to national standards in accordance with Casella's ISO 9001:2015 quality procedures. This product is certified as being compliant to the requirements of the CE Directive.

#### Test Summary:-

Self Generated Noise Test	All Tests Pass
Electrical Signal Test Of Frequency Weightings	All Tests Pass
Frequency & Time Weightings At 1 kHz	All Tests Pass
Level Linearity On The Reference Level Range	All Tests Pass
Toneburst Response Test	All Tests Pass
C-peak Sound Levels	All Tests Pass
Overload Indication .	All Tests Pass
Acoustic Tests	All Tests Pass

#### Combined Electro-Acoustic Frequency Response - A Weighted

Combined Electro-Acoustic Frequency Response - A Weighted (IEC 61672-3:2006)

The following A-Weighted frequency response graph shows this instruments overall frequency response based upon the application of multi-frequency pressure field calibrations. The microphones Pressure to Free field correction coefficients are applied to pressure response. Reference level taken at 1kHz.



Tested to CEL-63X test sheet TP444 revision 06-00

# FA05190 CASELLA

# Certificate of Conformity and Calibration

Customer:	5145086								
Instrument:	CEL-120/1								
Serial Number:	4914399								
Job Number:	300539965								
Date of Issue:	22-Sep-2023								
Engineer:	Chue Moua								
Traceable Equipment:	Referen DVM ty	nce Calibrato pe Fluke 45		E011384 E011386					
Test Conditions: Ambient Temperatu Ambient Humidity Ambient Pressure	ire 23.4 45.5 987	°C %RH mBar							
Results:									
Initial Reading	Level 1 114.09 dB	Level 2 94.04	dB	Frequenc 1.0001	kHz				
Final Reading	114.01 dB	93.98	dB	1.0001	kHz				
Incertainty:									

onoontainty.			
Level	±	0.15	dB
Frequency	+	0.5	L-
	-	0.0	ΠZ

This test certificate confirms that the instrument specified above has been successfully tested to comply with the manufacturer's published specifications.

Tests are performed using equipment traceable to national standards in accordance with Casella's ISO 9001:2015 quality procedures. The reported expanded uncertainty is beauti

The reported expanded uncertainty is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95%.

This certificate may not be reproduced other than in full, except with prior written approval of the issuing laboratory.

#### **Casella UK**

Regent House, Wolseley Road, Kempston, Bedford MK42 7JY United Kingdom Tel: +44 (0)1234 844100 Fax: +44(0)1234 844100 Email: info@casellasolutions.com

## Casella C/o TSI Incorporated

500 Cardigan Road Shoreview MN 55126 USA Tel: +1 851-490-2860 Email: Answers@tsl.com

#### **Casella India**

IDEAL Industries India Pvt. Ltd 229-230 Tower-B, Spazedge, Sector 47, Sohna Road, Gurgaon-122001, India Teit: +91 124 4495100 Email: Casella Sales@ideal-industries.in Attachment E

Manufacturer's Technical Data Sheets



# 100/125 kW, 1500 Vdc String Inverters for North America



#### CPS SCH100/125KTL-DO/US-600

The 100 and 125 kW high power CPS three-phase string inverters are designed for ground mount applications. The units are high performance, advanced and reliable inverters designed specifically for the North American environment and grid. High efficiency at 99.1% peak and 98.5% CEC, wide operating voltages, broad temperature ranges and a NEMA Type 4X enclosure enable this inverter platform to operate at high performance across many applications. The CPS 100/125 kW products ship with the Standard or Centralized Wire-box, each fully integrated and separable with AC and DC disconnect switches. The Standard Wire-box includes touch-safe fusing for up to 20 strings. The CPS FlexOM Gateway enables communication, controls and remote product upgrades.

## **Key Features**

- NFPA 70 and NEC compliant
- Touch-safe DC Fuse holders add convenience and safety
- CPS FlexOM Gateway enables remote firmware upgrades
- Integrated AC and DC disconnect switches
- 1 MPPT with 20 fused inputs for maximum flexibility
- Copper- and Aluminum-compatible AC connections
- NEMA Type 4X outdoor rated enclosure
- Advanced Smart-Grid features (CA Rule 21 certified)
- kVA headroom yields 100 kW @ 0.9 PF and 125 kW @ 0.95 PF
- Generous 1.87 (100 kW) and 1.5 (125 kW) DC/AC inverter load ratios
- Separable wire-box design for fast service
- Standard 5-year warranty with extensions to 20 years



100/125KTL Standard Wire-box



© CHINT POWER SYSTEMS AMERICA 2023/1-MKT NA



100/125KTL Centralized Wire-box



Model Name	CPS SCH100KTL-DO/US-600	CPS SCH125KTL-DO/US-600					
DCInput							
Max. PV power	187.5 k	W					
Max. DC input voltage	1500	V					
Operating DC input voltage range	860-1450	Vdc					
Start-up DC input voltage / power	900 V / 25	50 W					
Number of MPP trackers	1						
MDDT voltage rangel	970 1200	Vdc					
MPPT Voltage range	870-1300	Vdc					
Max. PV Input current (ISC X 1.25)	Z/5 A	i Standar and an end and a familia					
Number of DC inputs	Standard Wire-box: 20 PV source Centralized Wire-box: 1 input circuit, 1-2	circuits, pos. and neg. fused ! terminations per pole, non-fused					
DC disconnection type	Load-rated D	C switch					
DC surge protection	Type II MOV (with indicate	pr/remote signaling)					
AC Output							
Rated AC output power	100 kW	125 kW					
Max AC output power <sup>2</sup>	100 kVA (111 kVA @ PF>0.9)	125 kVA (132 kVA @ PF>0.95)					
Bated output voltage	600 Va	ic					
	528-660	Vac					
Grid connection type <sup>4</sup>	30 / PE / N (neutral optional)						
Max AC output current @ 600 Vac	96.2 / 106.8 A 120.3 / 127.0 A						
Dated output fragues ::	20.2 / 100.0 A	120.3 / 127.0 A					
	60 Hz	-					
Output frequency range <sup>3</sup>	57-63	1Z					
Powerfactor	>0.99 (±0.8 adjustable)	>0.99 (±0.8 adjustable)					
Current THD	<3%						
Max. fault current contribution (1-cycle RMS)	41.47	Ą					
Max. OCPD rating	200 A	l l					
AC disconnection type	Load-rated AC switch						
AC surge protection	Type II MOV (with indicate	pr/remote signaling)					
System							
Topology	Transforme	erless					
Max efficiency	99.1%						
CEC efficiency	98.5%						
Stand by / night consumption							
	<4 W						
Environment		AV					
Enclosure protection degree	NEMA Typ	e 4X					
Cooling method	Variable speed c	ooling fans					
Operating temperature range	-22°F to +140°F / -30°C to +60°C (d	erating from +108°F / +42°C)					
Non-operating temperature range <sup>5</sup>	-40°F to +158°F / -40°C t	o +70°C maximum					
Operating humidity	0-1009	%					
Operating altitude	8202 ft / 2500 m	(no derating)					
Audible noise	<65 dBA @ 1 m	and 25°C					
Display and Communication							
User interface and display	LED indicators, \	NiFi + APP					
Inverter monitoring	Modbus R	\$485					
Site-level monitoring	CPS FlexOM Gateway (1	per 32 inverters)					
Modbus data mapping	SunSpec /	CPS					
Remote diagnostics / firmware ungrade functions	Standard / (with Elev	<pre>com Gateway)</pre>					
Mechanical							
meenanica	Stoppland Wine have 45 20 × 24 25 × 4	$) 94 in (1150 \times 616 \times 250 mm)$					
Dimensions (W x H x D)	Standard Wire-box: 45.28 X 24.25 X Centralized Wire-box: 39 37 x 24 25 v	2.04 in (1130 x 616 x 250 mm)					
	Invertor: 121 lb	25.54 in (1000 x 010 x 250 inin)					
Weight	Standard Wire-boy	55 lbc (25 kg)					
Weight	Controlized Wire box.	(22  kg)					
Mounting / installation angle	15 00 dogroos from basize	ntal (vertical or angled)					
Mounting / Installation angle	N10 studture term incl [2¢] (using some 1/0						
AC termination	אווס stud type terminai (3ש) (Wire range: 170 A Screw clamp terminal block [N	] (#12 - 1/0 AWG CU/AL)					
DC termination	Standard Wire-box: Screw clamp fuse holder (wire range: #12 - #6 AWG CU) Centralized Wire-box: Busbar, M10 bolts (wire range: #1AWG - 500kcmil CU/AL [1 termination per pole], #1 AWG - 300 kcmil CU/AL [2 terminations per pole]; lugs not supplied)						
Fused string inputs	20 A fuses provided (fuse valu	es up to 30 A acceptable)					
Safety							
Certifications and standards	UI 1741-SA/SR Ed 3 (SΔ-C22 2 NO 107	1-01, IFFE 1547-2018 FCC PART15					
Selectable grid standard	IFEE 15475 2014 IEEE 1547 20	$118^6$ CA Rule 21 ISC-NE					
Selectable grid stariuard	IEEE 134/d-2014, IEEE 154/-20						
	voit-kide inru, Freq-kide inru, kamp-Rate, Spe	CITEG-FF, VOIL-VAK, Freq-Watt, VOIL-Watt					
	-						
Standard'	5 year	5					
Extended terms	10, 15 and 2	0 years					

1) See user manual for further information regarding MPPT voltage range when operating at non-unity PF.
2) "Max AC apparent power" rating valid within MPPT voltage range and temperature range of -30°C to +40°C (-22°F to +104°F) for 100 kW PF≥0.9, and 125 kW PF≥0.95.
3) The "output voltage range" and "output frequency range" may differ according to the specific grid standard.
4) Wye neutral-grounded; delta may not be corner-grounded.
5) See user manual for further requirements regarding non-operating conditions.
6) Firmware version 12.0 or later required.
7) 5-year warranty effective for units purchased after October 1, 2019.





# Audible Sound Levels in Liquid-Filled Transformers

# 🖾 in



All transformers have a slight hum (or buzz) when operating, but certain regulations are in place to ensure they are built to operate as quietly as practically possible.

Below are the latest NEMA TR-1 Average decibel ratings as of 2020.

Self-Cooled, Two Winding kVA Rating	Average Decibels (dB)	
45-500	56	
501-700	57	
701-1000	58	
1001-1500	60	
1501-2000	61	

2001-2500	62
2501-3000	63
3001-4000	64
4001-5000	65
5001-6000	66
6001-7500	67
7501-10000	68

Maddox Industrial Transformer liquid-filled **padmount** and **substation transformers** are built to the latest NEMA, IEEE, ANSI standards, and Department of Energy regulations.

Call us if you have any questions: 1-866-485-7802

Attachment F

Environmental Noise Modeling Calculations

Source Sp	ecilic Sound Pressure	e Level Measurements	al Referen	ce Location			(11.)					
Source Eq	uipment		Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz) Sound Level (dB)								Broadband	Broadband
000 400/			63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
CPS 100/	125 KW Inverter		69.3	68.7	64.0	65.1	66.4	61.5	52.1	44.1	74.4 dB	69.2 dBA
The CDS I	nyorter near field cour	d toot was conducted	ot the Fee	t Windoor (	Color One f	ooility by D		ation Corn	(BAC) on	May 14 - 20'	20	
Octove ba	nd sound pressure lev	id lest was conducted			1 ft ) are pr	ovided in B		lindsor Sol	ar Two Ar	Nay 14, 20	zz. sian Study dated Anr	il 26 2023
	na souna pressure lev		values (me	casuleu al	r n.) are pr		AC, Last V		ai iwo-Au		Sign Sludy dated Apr	11 20, 2023
Converting	g Source Specific Sour	nd Pressure Level (Lp)	to Sound	Power Leve	el (Lw)							
Measurem	ent Configuration					* as report	ed by Broo	ks Acousti	cs Corp.			
Source He	eight (h <sub>s</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Measurem	ent Height (h <sub>r</sub> )	1.25 m	4.10	ft								
Horizontal	Offset (d <sub>h</sub> )	0.30 m	1.00	ft								
Measurem	ent Distance (d <sub>p</sub> )	0.39 m	1.29	ft								
Boundary	Condition Factor (Q)	1 -			L	* near-field	l data most	appropriat	ely modeled	d w/ Q = 1		
Temperatu	ıre (T)	25.0 °C										
Pressure (	(P)	101.3 kPa										
Relative H	umidity (RH)	50.0 %										
Water Vap	or Content (H)	15,957 ppmv										
Ground at	Source (G <sub>s</sub> )	0.00 -										
Ground at	Receiver (G <sub>r</sub> )	0.00 -										
Ground in	Middle (G <sub>m</sub> )	0.00 -										
Parameter	S			Octa	/e Band Ce	enter Frequ	ency (Hz) S	Sound Leve	el (dB)		Broadband	Broadband
0 1 0			63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Sound Pre	essure Level (L <sub>p</sub> )		69.3	68.7	64.0	65.1	66.4	61.5	52.1	44.1	74.4 dB	69.2 dBA
Geometric	Divergence (A <sub>div</sub> )		2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9		
Atmosphe	ric Absorption (A <sub>atm</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Atmospheric Absorpti	ion Coefficient (α)	0.01	0.04	0.13	0.32	0.57	1.02	2.55	8.50		
Ground Ab	osorption (A <sub>gr</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	Source Region Param	neters (a', b', c', d')		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5					
	Source Region Grour	d Attenuation (A <sub>s</sub> )	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
	Receptor Region Para	ameters (a', b', c', d')		1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5					
Receptor Region Ground Attenuation (A <sub>r</sub> )			-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
Middle Region Ground Attenuation (A <sub>m</sub> )			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
A-Weighti	ng Adjustment (ADJ <sub>A</sub> )		26.2	16.1	8.6	3.6	0.0	-1.2	-1.0	1.1		
Sound Po	wer Level (L <sub>w</sub> )		72.2	71.6	66.9	68.0	69.3	64.4	55.0	47.0	77.3 dB	72.2 dBA
Notes:												

Each CPS inverter unit is expected to produce sound power level (Lw) 72.2 dBA when operating at full-load

Comparing Calculated Sound Po	ower Level (Lw) with V	endor Supp	olied Sound	Pressure I	_evel (Lp)						
Projection Configuration					* as report	ed in Chint	Power Sys	stems (CPS	<li>Fechnica</li>	l Data Sheet	
Source Height (h <sub>s</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Measurement Height (h <sub>r</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Horizontal Offset (d <sub>h</sub> )	1.00 m	3.28	ft								
Measurement Distance (d <sub>p</sub> )	1.00 m	3.28	ft	Ì							
Boundary Condition Factor (Q)	1 -			Ŧ	* near-field data most appropriately modeled w/ Q = 1						
Temperature (T)	25.0 °C										
Pressure (P)	101.3 kPa										
Relative Humidity (RH)	70.0 %										
Water Vapor Content (H)	22,484 ppmv										
Ground at Source (G <sub>s</sub> )	0.00 -										
Ground at Receiver (G <sub>r</sub> )	0.00 -										
Ground in Middle (G <sub>m</sub> )	0.00 -										
Parameters	•		Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz) Broadband Broadband								Broadband
		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-W eighted
Sound Power Level (L <sub>w</sub> )		72.2	71.6	66.9	68.0	69.3	64.4	55.0	47.0	77.3 dB	72.2 dBA
Geometric Divergence (A <sub>div</sub> )		11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0		
Atmospheric Absorption (A <sub>atm</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1		
Atmospheric Absorpt	ion Coefficient (α)	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.30	0.62	1.05	2.18	6.50		
Ground Absorption (A <sub>gr</sub> )		-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0	-3.0		
Source Region Paran	neters (a', b', c', d')		1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5					
Source Region Grour	nd Attenuation (A <sub>s</sub> )	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
Receptor Region Para	ameters (a', b', c', d')		1.5	1.6	1.6	1.5					
Receptor Region Gro	und Attenuation (A <sub>r</sub> )	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
Middle Region Groun	d Attenuation (A <sub>m</sub> )	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
A-Weighting Adjustment (ADJ <sub>A</sub> )		26.2	16.1	8.6	3.6	0.0	-1.2	-1.0	1.1		
Sound Pressure Level (L <sub>p</sub> ) 64.2 63.6			58.9	60.0	61.3	56.4	47.0	39.0	69.3 dB	64.2 dBA	
Notes:			•	•			•	•	•		
CPS Technical Data Sheet spec	ifies unit noise <65 dB	A @ 1 m, v	which is sh	own to be c	onsistent v	vith sound I	evel values	measured	by Brooks	Acoustics Corp.	

Converting Transformer Specific	NEMA Sound Level (	Lp) to Sour	nd Power L	evel (Lw)									
Source Equipment													
NEMA Sound Level Rating (L <sub>p</sub> )		58.0	dBA	* NEMA TR1 rating provided by manufacturer (i.e., Maddox)									
Transformer Height (H <sub>t</sub> )	1.98 m	6.50 ft		Ī	* dimensions per Maddox standard specs								
Transformer Width (W <sub>t</sub> )	1.52 m	5.00	ft	]									
Transformer Length (L <sub>t</sub> )	1.70 m	5.58	ft	1									
Surface Area (SA <sub>t</sub> )	12.79 m²	137.58	ft²	Ī									
Source Equipment			Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz) Sound Level (dB) Broadband Broadband								Broadband		
Source Equipment		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted		
NEMA Transformer Octave Ban	d Corrections	-5.0	-3.0	-8.0	-8.0	-14.0	-19.0	-24.0	-31.0				
Sound Power Level (L <sub>w</sub> )		74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8 dB	71.3 dBA		
Notes:													

Maddox certifies that the 750 kVA liquid-filled transformer complies with NEMA TR1 sound levels, or 58 dBA. Lw = NEMA rating + 10 log (SA) + C + 10, where SA = surface area and C = octave band corrections (C1 for outdoor location, per Table 20, Handbook of Noise and Vibration Control)

Propagation Model Source Sound Power Levels										
Source Equipment		Octav	ve Band Ce		Broadband	Broadband				
	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-W eighted
CPS Inverter Bank (6 units)	80.0	79.4	74.7	75.8	77.1	72.2	62.8	54.8	85.1 dB	79.9 dBA
Maddox Transformer (1 unit)	74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8 dB	71.3 dBA
Notes:										
Combined unit sound power level = $Lw_{total} = 10 \cdot log (n \cdot 10^{Lw/10})$ , where n = number of units (i.e., 6)										

Sound Pi	ropagation Modeling											
Projectio	n Configuration	•			Modeling Location: Western property line (non-residential, nearest PL)							
Source H	leight (h <sub>s</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Measure	ment Height (h <sub>r</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft	* Model inverter bank and transformer impact result at PL-1							
Horizonta	al Offset (d <sub>h</sub> )	18.29 m	60.00	ft	- Inverter Bank = 45 ft (13.7 m); Transformer = 60 ft (18.3 m)							
Measurement Distance (d <sub>p</sub> ) 18.29 m 60.00 ft			Ī									
Boundary	/ Condition Factor (Q)	1 -			_	* model as	free radiat	ing source	(i.e., no dir	ectivity)		
Tempera	ture (T)	25.0 °C										
Pressure	e (P)	101.3 kPa										
Relative I	Humidity (RH)	70.0 %	4									
Water Va	apor Content (H)	22,484 ppmv										
Ground a	at Source (G <sub>s</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground a	at Receiver (G <sub>r</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground in	n Middle (G <sub>m</sub> )	0.50 -										
Parameters					Octave	Band Cent	er Frequer	icy (Hz)			Broadband	Broadband
	515		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Sound Power Level (L <sub>w</sub> )			74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8 dB	71.3 dBA
Geometri	ic Divergence (A <sub>div</sub> )		36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2	36.2		
Atmosph	eric Absorption (A <sub>atm</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	1.2		
	Atmospheric Absorpt	ion Coefficient (α)	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.30	0.62	1.05	2.18	6.50		
Ground A	Absorption (A <sub>gr</sub> )		-3.0	-1.3	0.7	0.0	-1.3	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
	Source Region Paran	neters (a', b', c', d')		1.7	3.7	3.0	1.7					
	Source Region Grour	nd Attenuation (A <sub>s</sub> )	-1.5	-0.6	0.3	0.0	-0.6	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
	Receptor Region Para	ameters (a', b', c', d')		1.7	3.7	3.0	1.7					
	Receptor Region Gro	ound Attenuation (A <sub>r</sub> )	-1.5	-0.6	0.3	0.0	-0.6	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
	Middle Region Groun	d Attenuation (A <sub>m</sub> )	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
A-Weigh	ting Adjustment (ADJ <sub>A</sub> )		26.2	16.1	8.6	3.6	0.0	-1.2	-1.0	1.1		
Sound P	ressure Level (L <sub>p</sub> )		40.8	41.1	34.2	34.8	30.0	25.1	19.9	12.1	45.1 dB	35.5 dBA
Propaget	ion Model Sound Imped	ot Posults (conv and p	acto oftor o	ach model	iteration)							
Topayal		t Results (copy and pa	aste alter e	Octor	ve Band Co	nter Freque	ancy (Hz) 9	Sound Leve	l (dB)		Broadband	Broadband
Source E	quipment		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Inverter E	Bank Contribution		49.2	47.0	40.8	42.3	44.6	39.8	30.3	21.7	53.1 dB	47.3 dBA
Transform	mer Contribution		40.8	41.1	34.2	34.8	30.0	25.1	19.9	12.1	45.1 dB	35.5 dBA
Sound L	evel Impact Result		49.8	48.0	41.6	43.0	44.8	40.0	30.6	22.1	53.7 dB	47.6 dBA

Notes:

The combined sound level impact caused by (6) CPS inverters and (1) Maddox transformer operating simultaneously upon the sound environment at the PL-1 nearest (non-residential) property line located to the west of the invert bank source is approximately 47-48 dBA

Sound Propagation Modeling											
Projection Configuration					Modeling	Location:	Northwes	stern prop	erty line (n	earest residential PL	_)
Source Height (h <sub>s</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Measurement Height (h <sub>r</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft	* Model inverter bank and transformer impact result at PL-2							
Horizontal Offset (d <sub>h</sub> )	48.78 m	160.00	ft	Ī	- Inverter	Bank = 200	) ft (61 m);	Transform	er = 160 ft (	(48.8 m)	
Measurement Distance (d <sub>o</sub> ) 48.78 m 160.00 ft			ft	1							
Boundary Condition Factor (Q)	1 -		* model as free radiating source (i.e., no directivity)								
Temperature (T)	25.0 °C						•		• •		
Pressure (P)	101.3 kPa										
Relative Humidity (RH)	70.0 %										
Water Vapor Content (H)	22,484 ppmv										
Ground at Source (G <sub>s</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground at Receiver (G <sub>r</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground in Middle (G <sub>m</sub> )	0.50 -										
Parameters				Octave	Band Cent	ter Frequer	ncy (Hz)			Broadband	Broadband
		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Sound Power Level $(L_w)$		74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8 dB	71.3 dBA
Geometric Divergence (A <sub>div</sub> )		44.8	44.8	44.8	44.8	44.8	44.8	44.8	44.8		
Atmospheric Absorption (A <sub>atm</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.5	1.1	3.2		
Atmospheric Absorpt	tion Coefficient (α)	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.30	0.62	1.05	2.18	6.50		
Ground Absorption (A <sub>gr</sub> )		-3.0	-1.0	2.9	1.6	-1.1	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5		
Source Region Parar	neters (a', b', c', d')		2.0	5.9	4.6	1.9					
Source Region Grou	nd Attenuation (A <sub>s</sub> )	-1.5	-0.5	1.4	0.8	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
Receptor Region Par	ameters (a', b', c', d')		2.0	5.9	4.6	1.9					
Receptor Region Gro	ound Attenuation (A <sub>r</sub> )	-1.5	-0.5	1.4	0.8	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
Middle Region Groun	d Attenuation (A <sub>m</sub> )	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
A-Weighting Adjustment (ADJ <sub>A</sub> )		26.2	16.1	8.6	3.6	0.0	-1.2	-1.0	1.1		
Sound Pressure Level (L <sub>p</sub> )		32.3	32.3	23.4	24.6	21.1	16.3	10.7	1.6	36.1 dB	26.0 dBA
			•	•	•	·	•	•	·		·
Propagation Model Sound Impac	ct Results (copy and pa	aste after e	ach model	iteration)							
Source Equipment		Octave Band Center Frequency (Hz) Sound Level (dB) Broadband Broadbard						Broadband			
		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Inverter Bank Contribution		36.3	33.6	24.5	26.9	31.1	26.4	16.3	5.7	39.6 dB	33.5 dBA

#### Notes:

Transformer Contribution

Sound Level Impact Result

The combined sound level impact caused by (6) CPS inverters and (1) Maddox transformer operating simultaneously upon the sound environment at the PL-2 nearest (residential) property line located to the north of the invert bank source is approximately 34-35 dBA

24.6

28.9

21.1

31.5

16.3

26.8

10.7

17.3

1.6

7.1

36.1 dB

41.2 dB

26.0 dBA

34.2 dBA

23.4

27.0

32.3

37.8

32.3

36.0

Sound Level Impact Result

Notes:

Sound Pr	opagation Modeling											
Projection	n Configuration					Modeling	Location:	North pro	operty line	(alternate	residential PL)	
Source H	leight (h <sub>s</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft								
Measurer	ment Height (h <sub>r</sub> )	1.50 m	4.92	ft	* Model inverter bank and transformer impact result at PL-3							
Horizonta	l Offset (d <sub>h</sub> )	97.56 m	n 320.00 ft			- Inverter	Bank = 350	0 ft (106 m)	); Transforr	ner = 320 ft	t (98 m)	
Measurer	nent Distance (d <sub>p</sub> )	97.56 m	320.00	ft	1							
Boundary	Condition Factor (Q)	1 -	* model as free radiating source (i.e., no directivity)									
Temperat	ture (T)	25.0 °C										
Pressure	(P)	101.3 kPa										
Relative H	Humidity (RH)	70.0 %										
Water Va	apor Content (H)	22,484 ppmv										
Ground a	t Source (G <sub>s</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground a	t Receiver (G <sub>r</sub> )	0.50 -										
Ground ir	n Middle (G <sub>m</sub> )	0.50 -										
Parameters				Octave	Band Cen	Broadband	Broadband					
		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-W eighted	
Sound Po	ower Level (L <sub>w</sub> )		74.1	76.1	71.1	71.1	65.1	60.1	55.1	48.1	79.8 dB	71.3 dBA
Geometri	c Divergence (A <sub>div</sub> )		50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8	50.8		
Atmosphe	eric Absorption (A <sub>atm</sub> )		0.0	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.6	1.0	2.1	6.3		
	Atmospheric Absorpt	ion Coefficient (α)	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.30	0.62	1.05	2.18	6.50		
Ground A	bsorption (A <sub>gr</sub> )		-3.2	-0.9	4.4	2.7	-1.1	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6		
	Source Region Paran	neters (a', b', c', d')		2.2	7.5	5.8	2.1					
	Source Region Grour	nd Attenuation (A <sub>s</sub> )	-1.5	-0.4	2.3	1.4	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
	Receptor Region Par	ameters (a', b', c', d')		2.2	7.5	5.8	2.1					
	Receptor Region Gro	ound Attenuation (A <sub>r</sub> )	-1.5	-0.4	2.3	1.4	-0.5	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8		
	Middle Region Groun	d Attenuation (A <sub>m</sub> )	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1		
A-Weight	ting Adjustment (ADJ <sub>A</sub> )		26.2	16.1	8.6	3.6	0.0	-1.2	-1.0	1.1		
Sound Pr	essure Level (L <sub>p</sub> )		26.5	26.2	15.8	17.3	14.7	9.9	3.8	-7.4	30.0 dB	19.3 dBA
				•	•	•	·	•	•	•		·
Propagati	ion Model Sound Impac	ct Results (copy and pa	aste after e	ach model	iteration)							
Source E	quinment			Octa	ve Band Ce	enter Frequ	ency (Hz) S	Sound Leve	el (dB)		Broadband	Broadband
Cource L	quipment		63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000	Z-Weighted	A-Weighted
Inverter B	Bank Contribution		31.9	28.8	18.6	21.3	26.0	21.3	10.6	-1.9	34.8 dB	28.3 dBA
Transform	ner Contribution		26.5	26.2	15.8	17.3	147	99	3.8	-74	30.0 dB	19.3 dBA

The combined sound level impact caused by (6) CPS inverters and (1) Maddox transformer operating simultaneously upon the sound environment at the PL-3 alternate (residential) property line located to the northeast of the invert bank source is approximately 28-29 dBA

22.7

26.3

21.6

11.5

-0.9

36.1 dB

28.9 dBA

20.4

33.0

30.7



#### Additional Model Notes

Horizontal Offset (d<sub>h</sub>), is the distance from the center of the source to the measurement point transposed onto the x/y-plane.

Boundary Condition Factor (Q), accounts for the reflective planes or boundaries around the source of the noise. These planes act as reflectors focusing the sound into a certain direction. For general modeling, Q has the following values:

Q = 1 -- Point source freely radiating in all directions (e.g. chimney)

Q = 2 -- Point source with a single reflective plane (e.g. source on ground)

Q = 4 -- Point source with two reflective planes (e.g. floor & wall)

Q = 8 -- Point source with three reflective planes (e.g. floor corner)

Ground Effect Factor (G), introduced to represent ground reflectivity (0 = hard, 1 = soft) along the propagation path (i.e. source region, receptor region & middle region). For general modeling, G has the following values:

G = 0 -- Pavement or Water

G = 0.1 -- Packed Ground

G = 0.6 - 0.8 -- Lawn or Field of Grass

G = 0.7 - 0.9 -- Forest (w/ leaves) or Plowed Field

G = 1.0 -- Fresh Snow

Geometric Divergence  $(A_{div}) = 10 \cdot \log[(4 \pi r^2) / Q]$ 

Atmospheric Absorption ( $A_{atm}$ ) =  $\alpha \cdot d_p$  / 100

Table 18	Frequency Adjustments (in	dB)
for DRPR	Electric Motors	

Octave Frequency Band (Hz)	Value Subtracted from Overall (dB)					
31	9					
63	9					
125	7					
250	7					
500	6					
1000	9					
2000	12					
4000	18					
8000	27					
A-weighted	4					

**DRPR Motors** The normalized unweighted sound pressure levels for DRPR motors follow approximately the following relationships

$$L_p = 12 + 17 \log kW + 15 \log rpm$$
 (16)

for power ratings under 37 kW, and

$$L_p = 23 + 10 \log kW + 15 \log rpm$$
 (17)

for power ratings above 37 kW. For motors above 300 kW, the calculated noise value for a 300-kW motor should be used. The octave band adjustments for DRPR motors are given in Table 18.

#### 2.9 Steam Turbines<sup>1</sup>

Steam turbines are sometimes used as primary or backup drivers for chillers, pumps, and air compressors. The noise levels of steam turbines are found generally to increase with increasing power rating, but it has not been possible to attribute any specific noise characteristics with speed or turbine blade passage frequency. Suggested normalized sound pressure levels for steam turbines, with a power range of 370 to 11,000 kW, are given in Table 19.

#### 2.10 Transformers<sup>1</sup>

Transformer manufacturers commonly provide an average A-weighted sound pressure level for their products. Typically, this is an average of the sound pressure levels, on a reference sound producing surface space at a distance of 0.3 m from the outline of the transformer. On the basis of field studies of many transformer installations, the sound power level in octave bands has been related to the average A-weighted sound pressure level and the area of the four side walls of the unit. This relationship is expressed by

$$L_W = \text{average } L_{pA} + 10 \log A + C + 10$$
 (18)

where A is the total surface of the four side walls of the transformer in the square metres and C is an octave band correction that has different values for different uses, as shown in Table 20. If the exact dimensions of the transformer are not known, an approximation will suffice. If in doubt, the area should be estimated on the high side. An error of 25% in area will produce a change of 1 dB in the sound power level. The most nearly applicable C value from Table 20 should be used. The  $\tilde{C}_1$  value assumes normal radiation of sound. The  $C_2$ value should be used in regular-shaped confined spaces where standing waves will likely occur, which typically may produce 6 dB higher sound pressure levels at the transformer harmonic frequencies of 120, 240, 360, 480, and 600 Hz (for 60-Hz line frequency; or other sound frequencies for other line frequencies). The  $C_3$  value is an approximation of the noise of a transformer that has grown noisier (by about 10 dB) during its lifetime. This happens occasionally when the laminations or tie bolts become loose, and the transformer begins to buzz or rattle. In a highly critical location, it would be wise to use this value. Field measurements have shown that transformers may actually have A-weighted sound pressure levels that range from a few decibels (2 or 3 dB) above to as much as 5 or 6 dB below the quoted

Table 19 Normalized Sound Pressure Levels for Steam Turbines

	Sound Pressure Level (dB)									
Octave Frequency	Steam Turbine Power [hp (kW)]									
Band (Hz)	500–1500 (373–1119)	1501–5000 (1120–3730)	5001–15,000 (3731–11,190)							
31	86	88	90							
63	91	93	95							
125	91	93	95							
250	88	90	92							
500	85	87	89							
1000	85	88	91							
2000	87	91	95							
4000	84	88	92							
8000	76	81	86							
Overall	97	99	102							
A-weighted	92	95	99							

1	33	6
-	~~	•

Table 20 (	Octave	Band	Corrections <sup>·</sup>	for	Transformers
------------	--------	------	--------------------------	-----	--------------

Octave Frequency		Octave Bar Corrections (	nd (dB)
Band (Hz)	$C_1^a$	$C_2{}^b$	<i>C</i> <sub>3</sub> <sup><i>c</i></sup>
31	-11	-11	-11
63	-5	-2	-2
125	-3	+3	+3
250	-8	-2	+2
500	-8	-2	+2
1000	-14	-11	-4
2000	-19	-19	-9
4000	-24	-24	-14
8000	-31	-31	-21

<sup>a</sup> Use  $C_1$  for outdoor location or for indoor location in a large mechanical room (over 140 m<sup>3</sup>) containing many other pieces of mechanical equipment that serve as obstacles to diffuse sound and breakup standing waves. <sup>b</sup> Use  $C_2$  for indoor locations in transformer vaults or small rooms (under 140 m<sup>3</sup>) with parallel walls and relatively few other large-size obstacles that can diffuse sound and breakup standing waves.

<sup>c</sup> Use  $\dot{C}_3$  for any location where a serious noise problem would result if the transformer should become noisy following its installation and initial period of use

A-weighted sound pressure level. Quieted transformers that contain various forms of noise control treatments can be purchased at as much as 15 to 20 dB below normal A-weighted ratings.

#### 3 PROPAGATION OF AIRBORNE NOISE FROM MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT ROOMS

The analysis of the impact of mechanical equipment, on surrounding spaces is relatively straightforward.<sup>5</sup> Once the sound pressure level within the mechanical equipment room has been established, the degree of transmission to adjacent spaces can be determined with knowledge of the transmission loss properties of the walls, floor, and ceiling of the mechanical room and the acoustical properties of the adjacent room.

# 3.1 Transmission Loss of Mechanical Room Partitions

Transmission loss data for different partitions can be found in many publications. As a general rule this information is derived from laboratory measurements (e.g., ASTM E90-04).<sup>6</sup> However, due to measurement limitation, transmission loss data below 100 Hz is rarely reported. Large mechanical equipment will often produce significant acoustic energy below 100 Hz. Therefore, there is a need to obtain transmission loss performance below 100 Hz, or alternatively, estimate the low-frequency performance. Quite often a singlenumber rating, such as the sound transmission class (STC) is provided. However, most of these singlenumber classifications are heavily weighted toward the 500- to 2000-Hz frequency range. While this range is suitable for the evaluation of isolation for speech, some music, and most transportation noise sources,

#### NOISE AND VIBRATION CONTROL IN BUILDINGS

it is not suitable for the evaluation of mechanical equipment noise sources. An alternative rating called the mechanical transmission class (MTC) may be used for rating partition transmission loss for mechanical equipment. The determination of the MTC is similar to the STC in that it uses the same reference curve and measured one-third octave band transmission loss data. The determination of the MTC rating differs from the determination of the STC rating in that:

- 1. No deficiencies are allowed in the 125- and 160-Hz one-third octave bands.
- 2. Moreover, if there are any surpluses above the STC contour in the 125- and 160-Hz one-third octave bands, the rating is increased by one-third of the sum of the surpluses.

Studies have indicated that, when the A-weighted sound pressure level within the mechanical equipment room is less than the sum of the MTC rating of the partition and the room criterion (RC) rating of the background sound within the adjacent room, the intrusive noise should be acceptable. MTC ratings are useful as a cursory evaluation technique. Final selection of partition types should be based on a more complete analysis (e.g., octave or one-third octave band analysis).

#### 3.2 Openings in Walls

An opening, such as a door, window, or louvered vent, in an exterior wall of a noisy room will allow noise to escape from that room and perhaps be disturbing to neighbors. The sound power of the sound that passes through the opening can be estimated from

$$L_W = L_p + 10 \log A \tag{19}$$

where  $L_p$  is the sound pressure level in the room at the location of the opening and A is the area, in square metres, of the opening. For normal openings (windows or vents) without ducted connections to the noise source, it may be assumed that the sound radiates freely in all directions in front of the opening.

# 4 VIBRATION ISOLATION OF MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT<sup>1</sup>

If mechanical equipment is not provided with proper vibration isolation, acoustic energy will be transmitted into the supporting structure resulting in unwanted vibration and structure-borne sound. The isolator types and isolation guidelines presented in this chapter are based on experience with successful installation of mechanical equipment in commercial buildings.

#### 4.1 Isolator Types and Transmissibility

A transmissibility curve is often used to indicate the general behavior of a vibration-isolated system. Transmissibility is roughly defined as the ratio of the force transmitted through the isolated system to the supporting structure to the driving force exerted by the piece of vibrating equipment. Strict interpretation Attachment G

Planning Department Email Re: Verogy – Noise Study, 4/25/2023

From:	Geagan Daniel T
To:	Brad Parsons
Cc:	Kravitz Thomas J; sresnick@resnickandcaffrey.com; Robyn Foster; James Cerkanowicz
Subject:	RE: [EXT] - RE: Verogy - Noise study
Date:	Tuesday, April 25, 2023 11:17:12 AM
Attachments:	image001.png

### Brad-

We certainly defer to standard industry practice when measuring ambient background noise. Please have WSP give a brief explanation of the methodology and how it relates to the City noise Ordinance when submitting the report. As long as the background noise level is obtained within industry standards, we will be in agreement as to the testing.

Thank you,

### Dan



Daniel T Geagan Deputy Director Planning Planning Department City of Warwick (401) 921-9685 https://www.warwickri.gov daniel.t.geagan@warwickri.gov

From: Brad Parsons [mailto:bparsons@verogy.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2023 10:41 AM
To: Geagan Daniel T <daniel.t.geagan@warwickri.gov>
Cc: Kravitz Thomas J <thomas.j.kravitz@warwickri.gov>; sresnick@resnickandcaffrey.com; Robyn
Foster <rfoster@resnickandcaffrey.com>; James Cerkanowicz <jcerkanowicz@verogy.com>
Subject: [EXT] - RE: Verogy - Noise study

Dan,

I talked to my noise consultant about this and he mentioned that just leaving the equipment out on site for a week is not the standard practice to measure noise. The following is from our consultant WSP, and we would be happy to get on a call to discuss with you further. At the end of the day we just wanted to make sure that we are getting this right so we only have to do this once.

• The standard practice in environmental sound measurement is to record sound levels at rapid intervals (i.e., between 125 milliseconds (fast) to 1-second (slow) sampling interval). The sound level measurements are collected consecutively during a 20- to 30-minute sample period (i.e., observation time interval) which is collected during a time period that is reasonably representative of "typical" noise conditions (i.e., minimal wind (< 12 mph), no

precipitation, no snow-cover, no unusual events), and during the time-of-day at which a nuisance is most likely to occur at nearby sensitive receptors (i.e., daytime or nighttime). In the case of solar, because the systems don't operate at night, it would be the daytime.

In talking with WSP this is in line with Section 2 of the City Noise Ordinance to define the ambient noise.

Again we would be happy to get on a call to discuss.

Bradley J. Parsons, PE, PMP *Director of Design and Permitting* M: 203.814.6866

From: Geagan Daniel T <<u>daniel.t.geagan@warwickri.gov</u>>
Sent: Monday, April 24, 2023 9:26 AM
To: Brad Parsons <<u>bparsons@verogy.com</u>>
Cc: 'Joseph Shekarchi' <<u>joe@shekarchilaw.com</u>>; Kravitz Thomas J <<u>thomas.j.kravitz@warwickri.gov</u>>
Subject: Verogy - Noise study

Hi Brad-

I spoke with Tom and with Building – they suggested that a week's worth of data would be a better representation of the ambient background noise. Is it possible to leave the equipment out to capture the average over a week (including capturing measurements on a weekend)?

Thanks,

Dan



Daniel T Geagan Deputy Director Planning Planning Department City of Warwick (401) 921-9685 https://www.warwickri.gov daniel.t.geagan@warwickri.gov